

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number: 1-8443

TELOS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

52-0880974

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

19886 Ashburn Road, Ashburn, Virginia

(Address of principal executive offices)

20147

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (703) 724-3800

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

12% Cumulative Exchangeable Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒ x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒ x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ x No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ x No ☐ o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒ x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☒ x Smaller reporting company ☐
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒ x

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2011: Not applicable

As of March 23, 2012, the registrant had outstanding 35,908,961 shares of Class A Common Stock, no par value; and 4,037,628 shares of Class B Common Stock, no par value.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: None

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item		Page
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	8
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	10
Item 2.	Properties	10
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	10
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	10
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	11
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	11
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	22
Item 8.	Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	23
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	57
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	57
Item 9B.	Other Information	57
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	58
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	58
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	58
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	58
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	58
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	59
	Signatures	61

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report contains statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In addition, in the future the Company, and others on its behalf, may make statements that constitute forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements may include, without limitation, statements relating to the Company’s plans, objectives or goals; future economic performance or prospects; the potential effect on the Company’s future performance of certain contingencies; and assumptions underlying any such statements.

Words such as “believes,” “anticipates,” “expects,” “intends” and “plans” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements. The forward-looking statements are and will be based upon management’s then current views and assumptions regarding future events and operating performance and are only applicable as of the dates of such statements. The Company does not intend to update these forward-looking statements except as may be required by applicable laws.

By their very nature, forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, both general and specific, and risks exist that predictions, forecasts, projections and other outcomes described or implied in forward-looking statements will not be achieved. The Company cautions you that a number of important factors could cause results to differ materially from the plans, objectives, expectations, estimates and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements, including without limitation the risks described under the caption “Risk Factors” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the Company’s forward-looking statements.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

Telos is an information technology leader focused on designing and providing advanced technologies to deliver solutions that empower and protect the world’s most demanding enterprises. We empower our customers with secure solutions that leverage mobile communication and real-time collaboration. We protect vital assets that include the critical operational and tactical systems of our customers so that they can safely conduct their global missions. Our customer base consists primarily of military, intelligence and civilian agencies of the federal government and NATO allies around the world.

We generate approximately 88.8% of our revenues by delivering these solutions at a fixed price to our customers. This focus on fixed price delivery has enabled us to significantly reduce life cycle costs for our customers. We have been able to achieve this by investing in intellectual property development so that we can use automation, when appropriate.

While we were incorporated in 1971, we liquidated and/or sold our original businesses and refocused on delivering secure solutions beginning in 1997. Our Company includes Telos Corporation, Xacta Corporation, Teloworks, Inc. and a 60% interest in Telos Identity Management Solutions, LLC (“Telos ID”, formerly “TIMS LLC”). In July 2011, we acquired all of the assets of IT Logistics, Inc. (“ITL”), see Note 15 – Acquisition of IT Logistics, Inc.

We are incorporated in Maryland, and our headquarters are located at 19886 Ashburn Road, Ashburn, VA 20147, and our telephone number is (703) 724-3800. Our website is www.telos.com.

Our Mission

Our mission is to secure critical assets by protecting communications, systems, networks, and access.

We believe that our customer focus is the foundation of our success to date. We also believe that this focus is critical for the creation of long-term value.

How We Provide Value to Our Customers

We serve our customers by developing solutions that are quickly and efficiently deployed so that our customers have the assurance that they can safely conduct their vital missions around the world. Some of the key benefits we offer our customers include:

Protecting and Securing Assets. Whether we are guarding access to systems, networks, communications, or people, our solutions work to protect what is most important to today’s security-conscious enterprises.

Applying Specialized Expertise. Our teams of security professionals, such as those we provide to protect the Pentagon’s critical networks, are some of the industry’s most experienced in the design and operation of communications systems that must be reliable and secured 24/7.

Achieving Regulatory Compliance. From embedding the latest security standards in our information assurance software, to complying with network security requirements on a particular military base, our solutions give our customers the confidence in their ability to meet established security regulations.

Ensuring the Reliability of Operations. Our testing is comprehensive, assuring our customers of a dependable product when delivered. Our support is worldwide, extending from helpdesk resources for government agencies throughout the country, to field support overseas.

Leveraging Customers' Existing Infrastructure. Our pre-deployment assessment of our customers' environments, ranging from secure network site surveys to evaluations of physical security access, assures our customers of the technical and operational compatibility of our solutions.

Selected Examples of How We Accomplish Our Mission

We protect and enhance the communications of our customers through the development and delivery of our Telos Secure Information eXchange (T-6) platform for unified communication and collaboration. T-6 includes Telos Automated Message Handling System ("AMHS"), which has been adopted by the Department of Defense to carry all official message traffic and is implemented throughout all branches of the military, the intelligence community and other critical civilian agencies. AMHS is also used by U.S. Central Command to meet its critical organization and communications requirements in the CENTCOM Theater of Operations including Iraq and Afghanistan.

We protect the systems of our customers through the development and delivery of our Xacta IA Manager software ("Xacta"). Our Xacta solution is the dominant provider of continuous certification and is used throughout the Department of Defense, intelligence communities and civilian government. To date, we have performed over 4,000 certifications and our product has been adopted as the risk management framework for numerous enterprises.

We protect and extend the networks of our customers by developing and delivering over 40,000 high speed, long range, secure tactical wireless network modules providing last mile connectivity between our warfighters around the world and the U.S. Army logistics networks. We also empower our customers with advanced applications that leverage mobile devices and multi-user, multi-touch interfaces to put mission-critical information literally at users' fingertips.

Through an exclusive subcontractor relationship with Telos ID, we assess, design and deliver identity and access solutions to protect national security assets, people and facilities. Among these programs is the premier federal identity application, which has issued almost 27,000,000 smart card based secure credentials for active and retired military, military dependents and contractors. Additionally, we provide near real-time data collection on personnel movement and location information for operating forces, government civil servants and government contractors in specified operational theaters. This system has captured over 300,000,000 scans with more than 156,000 deployed contractors.

We would not be able to design, deliver, install, and support any of our solutions without our employees. They are a vital element of our success. Our employees know this because we reflect it in their compensation and benefits.

Solutions For Our Customers

Our solution development philosophy involves rapid development and continuous innovation in an effort to keep pace with the dynamic and evolving nature of our customers' requirements.

Our IT solutions consist of the following:

- **Secure Networks** – Secure wired and wireless network solutions for Department of Defense ("DoD") and other federal agencies. We provide an extensive range of wired and wireless voice, data, and video secure network solutions and mobile application development to support defense and civilian missions.
- **Information Assurance** – Software products and consulting services to automate, streamline, and enforce IT security and risk management processes enterprise-wide. We offer information assurance consulting services and Xacta brand GRC (governance, risk, and compliance) solutions to protect and defend IT systems, ensuring their availability, integrity, authentication, and confidentiality.
- **Secure Communications** – The next-generation messaging solutions supporting warfighters throughout the world. Telos Secure Information eXchange (T-6) and the AMHS platform offer secure, automated, Web-based capabilities for distributing and managing enterprise messages formatted for the Defense Messaging System as well as collaborating in real-time through video, text, whiteboarding, and document sharing.
- **Identity Management** – End-to-end logical and physical security from the gate to the network. Our identity management solutions provide control of physical access to bases, offices, workstations, and other facilities, as well as control of logical access to databases, host systems, and other IT resources.

The Technology Behind Our Solutions

- Techniques: We employ development and production methodologies such as Agile and ISO 9001 to ensure predictability, repeatability, and quality. Techniques such as continuous integration are employed to accelerate the solution development and testing process while at the same time reducing cost and improving quality. We believe such techniques are critical for providing our customers with a high quality user experience.
- Architecture: The nature of our customers' missions requires our solutions to be highly secure and scalable. Aside from architecting our solutions with these core objectives in mind, we also employ open standards and technologies that afford a high degree of flexibility and interoperability needed to support web-based and netcentric operations.

Intellectual Property

We invest in the creation of intellectual property and employ various forms of legal intellectual property protection mechanisms including the use of copyright, trademark, patent, and trade secret laws in North America and other jurisdictions. We have intellectual property reviews as an integral part of our development process in order to identify intellectual property as early as possible in the development process so the appropriate form of protection can be obtained. We also vigorously control access to intellectual property via physical and logical protection mechanisms. All of our employees sign agreements that govern intellectual property ownership and confidentiality. We also enter into intellectual property, confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements with partners and other third parties.

Patents, Trademarks, Trade Secrets and Licenses

We have made it a practice of obtaining patent and/or copyright protection on our products and processes where possible. We own a number of patents and copyrights, which we believe to be of material importance to the Company. Our patents and copyrights extend for varying periods of time based on the date of application or registration. Generally, registered copyright protection continues for a term of at least 70 years. Trademark and service mark protection for registered marks generally continues for as long as the marks are used.

Telos, Xacta, and Xacta IA Manager are trademarks of Telos Corporation. Telos ID is a trademark of Telos Identity Management Solutions, LLC.

Sales and Marketing

We target decision makers in government agencies and departments, and commercial businesses who have a need for secure enterprise solutions. Decisions regarding contract awards by our customers typically are based upon an assessment of the quality of our past performance, responsiveness to proposal requirements, uniqueness of the offering itself, price, and other competitive factors.

Our products and services in many instances combine a wide range of skills drawn from each of our major product and service offerings. Accordingly, we must maintain expert knowledge of federal agency policies, procedures and operations.

We employ marketing and business development professionals who identify, qualify, and sell opportunities for us. Virtually all of our officers and managers, including the chief executive officer, executive officers, vice presidents, and division managers, actively engage in new business development.

We have strategic business relationships with certain companies in the information technology industry. These strategic partners have business objectives compatible with ours, and offer products and services that complement ours. We intend to continue developing such relationships wherever they support our marketing, growth and solution offering objectives.

The majority of our business is awarded through submission of formal competitive bids. Commercial bids are frequently negotiated as to terms and conditions such as schedule, specifications, delivery and payment. However, in government proposals, in most cases, the customer specifies the terms, conditions and form of the contract.

Our contracts and subcontracts are generally composed of a wide range of contract types including indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity ("IDIQ") and government-wide acquisition contracts (known as "GWACS") which are generally firm fixed-priced or time-and-materials contracts. For 2011, 2010, and 2009, the Company's revenue derived from firm fixed-price was 88.8%, 82.8%, and 84.5%, respectively, and time-and-material contracts was 11.2%, 17.2%, and 15.5%, respectively.

We derive substantially all of our revenues from contracts and subcontracts with the U.S. Government. Our revenues are generated from a number of contract vehicles and task orders. Over the past several years we have sought to diversify and improve our operating margins through an evolution of our business from an emphasis on product reselling to that of an advanced solutions and services provider. To that end, although we continue to offer resold products through our contract vehicles, we have focused on selling solutions and services and outsourcing product sales, as well as designing and delivering Telos manufactured technology products. In general, we believe our contract portfolio is characterized as having low to moderate financial risk due to the limited number of long-term fixed price development contracts.

Our IT solutions primarily involve the design and integration of commercial off-the-shelf IT products into integrated solutions deliverables. Such equipment is generally available from several sources, although several factors including technical specifications, proprietary or brand-specific equipment requirements, or contractual channel agreements may limit the availability of sourcing options. We utilize more than 300 vendors as direct materials suppliers, subcontractors, and service providers. The vendors utilized in any given measurement period vary based on the mix and the timing of the solutions delivered, but typically our contracts with a smaller subset that comprises the majority of the direct cost of sales on an annual basis. Therefore, while a smaller subset of suppliers, subcontractors, and service providers may be employed to deliver the majority of the revenue for a particular period, were there to be an unforeseen disruption to one of these vendors, the delay would likely be short-term in nature due to the existence of alternate sourcing options.

We derived substantially all of our revenues from contracts and subcontracts with the U.S. Government. Revenue by customer sector for the last three fiscal years is as follows:

	2011		2010		2009	
			(dollar amounts in thousands)			
Federal	\$	188,162	99.1%	\$	220,017	97.4%
Commercial		1,726	0.9%		5,780	2.6%
					3,819	1.4%
Total	\$	189,888	100.0%	\$	225,797	100.0%
					275,681	100.0%

We build market awareness of Telos and our solutions through a variety of marketing programs, including regular briefings with industry analysts, public relations activities, government relations initiatives, web seminars, trade show exhibitions, speaking engagements and web site marketing. When appropriate, we pursue joint marketing and selling efforts with our strategic partners. In addition, we host an annual conference, *Security Solutions*, which is a unique, three-day learning and information-sharing event that consistently attracts top security professionals from all military branches, government agencies, and the intelligence field.

Our People and Culture

As of December 31, 2011, we employed 626 people, which includes 57 from Teloworks, and 66 from Telos ID. Of our employees, 407 hold security clearances of secret or higher.

Our people are proficient in many fields such as computer science, information security and vulnerability testing, networking technologies, physics, engineering, operations research, mathematics, economics, and business administration. We place a high value on our people. As a result, we seek to remain competitive in terms of salary structures, incentive compensation programs, fringe benefits, opportunities for growth, and individual recognition and award programs.

Our management team is committed to maintaining a corporate culture that fosters mutual respect and job satisfaction for our people, while delivering innovation and value to customers and shareholders. This commitment is reflected in our core values.

Always with integrity, at Telos we:

Build trusted relationships,
Work hard together,
Design and deliver superior solutions, and
Have fun doing it.

These values are woven throughout the fabric of Telos. They are reflected in our hiring practices, reinforced regularly, and reviewed during appraisals. They are written into annual and quarterly objectives for staff and managers alike, as well as department and company business goals. Employees are encouraged to challenge themselves and each other to exhibit the core values in everyday activities.

Our employees also are given avenues of communication and interaction should they observe activities that are inconsistent with the Company's core values. Encouraged first to speak openly about any issues, a hotline provides an opportunity to express concerns anonymously.

We consider the foundational value of integrity to be a non-negotiable requirement of employment, and an expectation of suppliers, partners, and our customers. We guard our reputation and will take aggressive action to protect it. An essential part of our brand promise is that we always engage with employees, customers, partners, suppliers, and investors with integrity.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive marketplace. There are other companies that provide solutions similar to ours. Although these companies provide offerings that overlap with some of our solutions, we are not aware of any single company that provides competitive solutions in all of the areas where we compete. The companies that our solution areas compete with range from integrators that provide products and services such as Booz Allen Hamilton, General Dynamics, Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman, SAIC and Daon, to more software-specific organizations such as Agilance and RSA Archer.

The majority of our business is in response to competitive requests from potential and current customers. Decisions regarding contract awards by our customers typically are based upon an assessment of the quality of our past performance, responsiveness to proposal requirements, uniqueness of the offering itself, price, and other competitive factors.

Aside from other companies that compete in our space, we sometimes face indirect competition from solutions that are developed “in-house” by some of our customers.

Government Contracts and Regulation

Our business is heavily regulated. We must comply with and are affected by laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration and performance of U.S. Government and other contracts. These laws and regulations, among other things:

- impose specific and unique cost accounting practices that may differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and therefore require reconciliation;
- impose acquisition regulations that define reimbursable and non-reimbursable costs; and
- restrict the use and dissemination of information classified for national security purposes and the export of certain products and technical data.

Government contracts are subject to congressional funding. Consequently, at the outset of a program, a contract is usually partially funded, and Congress annually determines if additional funds are to be appropriated to the contract. All of our customers have the right to terminate their contract with us at their convenience or in the event that we default.

A portion of our business is classified by the U.S. Government and cannot be specifically described. The operating results of these classified programs are included in our consolidated financial statements.

Backlog

Many of our contracts with the U.S. Government are funded year to year by the procuring U.S. Government agency as determined by the fiscal requirements of the U.S. Government and the respective procuring agency. Such a contracting process results in two distinct categories of backlog: funded and unfunded. Total backlog consists of the aggregate contract revenues remaining to be earned by us at a given time over the life of our contracts, whether funded or not. Funded backlog consists of the aggregate contract revenues remaining to be earned by us at a given time, but only to the extent, in the case of U.S. Government contracts, when funded by the procuring U.S. Government agency and allotted to the specific contracts. Unfunded backlog is the difference between total backlog and funded backlog. Included in unfunded backlog are revenues which may be earned only when and if customers exercise delivery orders and/or renewal options to continue such existing contracts.

A number of contracts that we undertake extend beyond one year, and accordingly portions of contracts are carried forward from one year to the next as part of the backlog. Because many factors affect the scheduling and continuation of projects, no assurance can be given as to when revenue will be realized on projects included in our backlog.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had total backlog from existing contracts of approximately \$609.6 million and \$632.2 million, respectively. Such amounts are the maximum possible value of additional future orders for systems, products, maintenance and other support services presently allowable under those contracts, including renewal options available on the contracts if fully exercised by the customer.

Funded backlog as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$124.2 million and \$124.3 million, respectively.

While backlog remains a measurement consideration, in recent years we, as well as other U.S. Government contractors, experienced a material change in the manner in which the U.S. Government procures equipment and services. These procurement changes include the growth in the use of General Services Administration (“GSA”) schedules which authorize agencies of the U.S. Government to purchase significant amounts of equipment and services. The use of the GSA schedules results in a significantly shorter and much more flexible procurement cycle, as well as increased competition with many companies holding such schedules. Along with the GSA schedules, the U.S. Government is awarding a large number of omnibus contracts with multiple awardees. Such contracts generally require extensive marketing efforts by the multiple awardees to procure such business. The use of GSA schedules and omnibus contracts, while generally not providing immediate backlog, provide areas of growth that we continue to aggressively pursue.

Seasonality

We derive substantially all of our revenue from U.S. Government contracting, and as such we are annually subject to the seasonality of the U.S. Government purchasing. As the U.S. Government fiscal year ends on September 30, it is not uncommon for U.S. Government agencies to award extra tasks in the weeks immediately prior to the end of its fiscal year in order to avoid the loss of unexpended fiscal year funds. As a result of this cyclicity, we have historically experienced higher revenues in the third and fourth fiscal quarters, ending September 30, and December 31, respectively, with the pace of orders substantially reduced during the first and second fiscal quarters ending March 31 and June 30, respectively.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to other information in this Form 10-K, the following risk factors should be carefully considered in evaluating the Company and its businesses because these factors currently have, or may have, a significant impact on our business, operating results or financial condition. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K as a result of the risk factors discussed below and elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Our inability to maintain sufficient availability on our revolving credit facility or sufficient access to capital markets to replace that facility would have a significant impact on our business.

We maintain a revolving credit facility (the “Facility”) with Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. Borrowings under the Facility are collateralized by substantially all of our assets including inventory, equipment, and accounts receivable. The amount of available borrowings fluctuates based on the underlying asset-borrowing base, in general 85% of our trade accounts receivable, as adjusted by certain reserves (as further defined in the Facility agreement). The Facility provides us with virtually all of the liquidity we require to meet our operating, investing and financing needs. Therefore maintaining sufficient availability on the Facility is the most critical factor in our liquidity. While a variety of factors related to sources and uses of cash, such as timeliness of accounts receivable collections, vendor credit terms, or significant collateral requirements, ultimately impact our liquidity, such factors may or may not have a direct impact on our liquidity, based on how the transactions associated with such circumstances impact our availability under the Facility. For example, a contractual requirement to post collateral for a duration of several months, depending on the materiality of the amount, could have an immediate negative effect on our liquidity, as such a circumstance would utilize availability on the Facility without a near-term cash inflow back to us. Likewise, the release of such collateral could have a corresponding positive effect on our liquidity, as it would represent an addition to our availability without any corresponding near-term cash outflow. Similarly, a slow-down of payments from a customer, group of customers or government payment office would not have an immediate and direct effect on our availability on the Facility unless the slow-down was material in amount and occurred over an extended period of time. Any of the examples described above could have an impact on the Facility, and therefore our liquidity.

We depend on the U.S. Government for a significant portion of our sales and a significant decline in U.S. Government defense spending could have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our sales are highly concentrated with the U.S. Government. The customer relationship with the U.S. Government involves certain risks that are unique. In each of the past three years, substantially all of our net sales were to the U.S. Government. U.S. defense spending has historically been cyclical. Defense budgets have received their strongest support when perceived threats to national security raise the level of concern over the country’s safety. As these threats subside, spending on the military tends to decrease. Accordingly, while Department of Defense funding has grown rapidly over the past few years, there is no assurance that this trend will continue. Rising budget deficits, the cost of the global war on terrorism and increasing costs for domestic programs continue to put pressure on all areas of discretionary spending, which could ultimately impact the defense budget. Wartime support for defense spending could wane if the country’s troop deployments in support of operations in Iraq and Afghanistan are reduced. A decrease in U.S. Government defense spending or changes in spending allocation could result in one or more of our programs being reduced, delayed or terminated. Reductions in our existing programs, unless offset by other programs and opportunities, could adversely affect our ability to sustain and grow our future sales and earnings.

U.S. Government contracts generally are not fully funded at inception and are subject to amendment or termination, which places a significant portion of our revenues at risk and could adversely impact our earnings.

Our U.S. Government sales are funded by customer budgets, which operate on an October-to-September fiscal year. In February of each year, the President of the United States presents to the Congress the budget for the upcoming fiscal year. This budget proposes funding levels for every federal agency and is the result of months of policy and program reviews throughout the Executive branch. From February through September of each year, the appropriations and authorization committees of Congress review the President’s budget proposals and establish the funding levels for the upcoming fiscal year in appropriations and authorization legislation. Once these levels are enacted into law, the Executive Office of the President administers the funds to the agencies. There are two primary risks associated with this process. First, the process may be delayed or disrupted. Changes in congressional schedules, negotiations for program funding levels or unforeseen world events can interrupt the funding for a program or contract. Second, funds for multi-year contracts can be changed in subsequent years in the appropriations process. In addition, the U.S. Government has increasingly relied on indefinite delivery, indefinite quantity (“IDIQ”) contracts and other procurement vehicles that are subject to a competitive bidding and funding process even after the award of the basic contract, adding an additional element of uncertainty to future funding levels. Delays in the funding process or changes in funding can impact the timing of available funds or can lead to changes in program content or termination at the government’s convenience. The loss of anticipated funding or the termination of multiple or large programs could have an adverse effect on our future sales and earnings.

We are subject to substantial oversight from federal agencies that have the authority to suspend our ability to bid on contracts.

As a U.S. Government contractor, we are subject to oversight by many agencies and entities of the U.S. Government that may investigate and make inquiries of our business practices and conduct audits of contract performance and cost accounting. Depending on the results of any such audits and investigations, the U.S. Government may make claims against us. Under U.S. Government procurement regulations and practices, an indictment of a U.S. Government contractor could result in that contractor being fined and/or suspended for a period of time from eligibility for bidding on, or for the award of, new U.S. Government contracts. A conviction could result in debarment for a specified period of time. To the best of management’s knowledge, there are no pending investigations, inquiries, claims or audits against the Company likely to have a material adverse effect on our business or our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

We depend on third parties in order to fully perform under our contracts and the failure of a third party to perform could have an adverse impact on our earnings.

We rely on subcontractors and other companies to provide raw materials, major components and subsystems for our products or to perform a portion of the services that we provide to our customers. Occasionally, we rely on only one or two sources of supply, which, if disrupted, could have an adverse effect on our ability to meet our commitments to customers. We depend on these subcontractors and vendors to fulfill their contractual obligations in a timely and satisfactory manner in full compliance with customer requirements. If one or more of our subcontractors or suppliers is unable to satisfactorily provide on a timely basis the agreed-upon supplies or perform the agreed-upon services, our ability to perform our obligations as a prime contractor may be adversely affected.

Our future profitability depends, in part, on our ability to develop new technologies and maintain a qualified workforce to meet the needs of our customers.

Virtually all of the products that we produce and sell are highly engineered and require sophisticated manufacturing and system integration techniques and capabilities. The government market in which we primarily operate is characterized by rapidly changing technologies. The product and program needs of our government and commercial customers change and evolve regularly. Accordingly, our future performance in part depends on our ability to identify emerging technological trends, develop and manufacture competitive products, and bring those products to market quickly at cost-effective prices. In addition, because of the highly specialized nature of our business, we must be able to hire and retain the skilled and appropriately qualified personnel necessary to perform the services required by our customers. If we are unable to develop new products that meet customers' changing needs or successfully attract and retain qualified personnel, future sales and earnings may be adversely affected.

The business environment in which we operate is highly competitive and may impair our ability to achieve revenue growth.

We operate in industry segments that are diverse. Based upon our current market analysis, there is no single company or small group of companies in a dominant competitive position. Some large competitors offer capabilities in a number of markets that overlap many of the same areas in which we offer services, while certain companies are focused upon only one or a few of such markets. Some of the firms that compete with us in multiple areas include: Northrop Grumman, Lockheed Martin and General Dynamics. In addition, we compete with smaller specialty companies in risk and compliance management companies, organizational messaging companies, security consulting organizations, as well as companies that provide secure network offerings. If we do not compete effectively, we may suffer price reductions, reduced gross margins and loss of market share.

Some of our security solutions have lengthy sales and implementation cycles, which could impact significantly our results of operations if projected orders are not realized.

We market the majority of our security solutions directly to U.S. Government customers. The sale and implementation of our services to these entities typically involves a lengthy education process and a significant technical evaluation and commitment of capital and other resources. This process is also subject to the risk of delays associated with customers' internal budgeting and other procedures for approving large capital expenditures, deploying new technologies within their networks and testing and accepting new technologies that affect key operations. As a result, the sales and implementation cycles associated with certain of our services can be lengthy, potentially lasting from three to nine months. Our quarterly and annual operating results could be materially harmed if orders forecasted for a specific customer for a particular quarter are not realized.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property, our revenues may be impacted adversely by the unauthorized use of our products and services.

Our success depends on our internally developed technologies, patents and other intellectual property. Despite our precautions, it may be possible for a third party to copy or otherwise obtain and use our trade secrets or other forms of intellectual property without authorization. Furthermore, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our proprietary rights in those countries to the same extent U.S. law protects these rights in the United States. In addition, it is possible that others may independently develop substantially equivalent intellectual property. If we do not effectively protect our intellectual property, our business could suffer. In the future, we may have to resort to litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets or to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. This type of litigation, regardless of its outcome, could result in substantial costs and diversion of management and technical resources.

If we are unable to license third-party technology that is used in our products and services to perform key functions, the loss could have an adverse affect on our revenues.

The third-party technology licenses used by us may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Our business could suffer if we lost the rights to use these technologies. A third-party could claim that the licensed software infringes a patent or other proprietary right. Litigation between the licensor and a third-party or between us and a third-party could lead to royalty obligations for which we are not indemnified or for which indemnification is insufficient, or we may not be able to obtain any additional license on commercially reasonable terms or at all. The loss of, or our inability to obtain or maintain, any of these technology licenses could delay the introduction of new products or services until equivalent technology, if available, is identified, licensed and integrated. This could harm our business.

Any potential future acquisitions, strategic investments, divestitures, mergers or joint ventures may subject us to significant risks, any of which could harm our business.

Our long-term strategy may include identifying and acquiring, investing in or merging with suitable candidates on acceptable terms, or divesting of certain business lines or activities. In particular, over time, we may acquire, make investments in, or merge with providers of product offerings that complement our business or may terminate such activities. Mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures include a number of risks and present financial, managerial and operational challenges, including but not limited to:

- diversion of management attention from running our existing business;
- possible material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting;
- increased expenses including legal, administrative and compensation expenses related to newly hired or terminated employees;
- increased costs to integrate the technology, personnel, customer base and business practices of the acquired company with us;
- potential exposure to material liabilities not discovered in the due diligence process;
- potential adverse effects on reported operating results due to possible write-down of goodwill and other intangible assets associated with acquisitions; and
- unavailability of acquisition financing or unavailability of such financing on reasonable terms.

Any acquired business, technology, service or product could significantly under-perform relative to our expectations, and may not achieve the benefits we expect from possible acquisitions. For all these reasons, our pursuit of an acquisition, investment, divestiture, merger, or joint venture could cause its actual results to differ materially from those anticipated.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable to us as we are not an “accelerated filer” or “large accelerated filer” as such terms are defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Exchange Act or a “well-known seasoned issuer” as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Item 2. Properties

We lease 191,700 square feet of space for our corporate headquarters, integration facility, and primary service depot in Ashburn, Virginia. The lease expires in March 2016, with a ten-year extension available at our option.

We sublease 27,000 square feet of space at the Ashburn, Virginia facility to our affiliate, Telos ID which space serves as Telos ID’s corporate headquarters. This sublease will expire on December 31, 2012.

We lease additional office space in 7 separate facilities located in Alabama, California, Colorado, Maryland, Mississippi, and New Jersey under various leases expiring through July of 2016.

We believe that the current space is substantially adequate to meet our operating requirements.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Information regarding legal proceedings may be found in Note 14 – Commitments, Contingencies and Subsequent Events to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

No public market exists for our Class A or Class B Common Stock. As of March 1, 2012, there were 199 holders of our Class A Common Stock and 10 holders of our Class B Common Stock. We have not paid dividends on either class of our Common Stock during the last two fiscal years. For a discussion of restrictions on our ability to pay dividends, see Item 7 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources and Note 7 – Current Liabilities and Debt Obligations to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

No public market exists for our Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock (“Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock”). See Note 8 – Redeemable Preferred Stock to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our 12% Cumulative Exchangeable Redeemable Preferred Stock (“Public Preferred Stock”) is quoted as TLSRP in the Pink Sheets. See Note 8 – Redeemable Preferred Stock to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

On February 5, 2008, our Board adopted the Telos Corporation 2008 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (the “2008 Plan”), which was subsequently approved by our Class A and Class B Common stockholders at a special meeting of stockholders held on February 21, 2008. The number of shares available for issuance under the 2008 Plan is 15,000,000.

As of December 31, 2011, there were 35,906,461 Class A Common shares issued and outstanding, and the number of remaining shares available for issuance under the 2008 Plan was 264,741.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following should be read in connection with the accompanying information presented in Item 7 and Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

OPERATING RESULTS

Years Ended December 31,

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
	(amounts in thousands)				
Sales	\$ 189,888	\$ 225,797	\$ 275,681	\$ 217,067	\$ 226,585
Operating income	12,687	15,006	13,713	14,613	9,353
Income before income taxes	6,741	8,952	6,572	7,103	6,936
Net income attributable to Telos Corporation	1,454	3,047	1,277	10,688	5,546

FINANCIAL CONDITION

As of December 31,

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
	(amounts in thousands)				
Total assets	\$ 89,837	\$ 74,804	\$ 104,927	\$ 62,701	\$ 67,456
Senior credit facility, long-term (1)	17,501	13,786	9,198	12,162	12,849
Senior subordinated debt (1)	----	----	4,179	4,179	5,179
Note payable (1)	12,056	----	----	----	----
Capital lease obligations, long-term (2)	4,948	5,950	6,896	7,559	8,129
Senior redeemable preferred stock (3)	8,227	10,190	10,294	9,871	9,447
Public preferred stock (3)	108,628	104,806	100,983	97,160	92,837

- (1) See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 regarding our debt obligations.
- (2) See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 regarding our capital lease obligations.
- (3) See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 regarding our redeemable preferred stock.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General

Our goal is to deliver superior IT solutions that meet or exceed our customers' expectations. We focus on secure enterprise solutions that address the unique requirements of the federal government, the military, and the intelligence community, as well as commercial enterprises that require secure solutions. Our IT solutions consist of the following:

- Secure Networks – Secure wired and wireless network solutions for DoD and other federal agencies. We provide an extensive range of wired and wireless voice, data, and video secure network solutions and mobile application development to support defense and civilian missions. In July 2011, we acquired all of the assets of IT Logistics, Inc. ("ITL") and incorporated such assets into our Secure Networks business solutions.
- Information Assurance – Software products and consulting services to automate, streamline, and enforce IT security and risk management processes enterprise-wide. We offer information assurance consulting services and Xacta brand GRC (governance, risk, and compliance) solutions to protect and defend IT systems, ensuring their availability, integrity, authentication, and confidentiality.
- Secure Communications (formerly Secure Messaging) – The next-generation messaging solution supporting warfighters throughout the world. Telos Secure Information eXchange (T-6) and the AMHS platform offer secure, automated, Web-based capabilities for distributing and managing enterprise messages formatted for the Defense Messaging System as well as collaborating in real-time through video, text, whiteboarding, and document sharing.
- Identity Management – End-to-end logical and physical security from the gate to the network. Our identity management solutions provide control of physical access to bases, offices, workstations, and other facilities, as well as control of logical access to databases, host systems, and other IT resources.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires management to make judgments based upon estimates and assumptions that are inherently uncertain. Such judgments affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management continuously evaluates its estimates and assumptions including those related to contract percentage of completion methodology (on a proportional performance basis for service contracts) for revenue recognition purposes, allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, allowance for inventory obsolescence, valuation allowance for deferred tax assets, long-lived assets, warranty obligations, income taxes, contingencies and litigation and the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and/or on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The following is a summary of the most critical accounting policies used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Estimating future costs and, therefore, revenues and profits, is a process requiring a high degree of management judgment. In the event of a change in total estimated contract cost or profit, the cumulative effect of a change is recorded in the period the change in estimate occurs. In the event cost estimates indicate a loss on a contract, the total amount of such loss, excluding general and administrative expense, is recorded in the period in which the loss is first estimated.

Revenues are recognized in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") ASC 605-10-S99. We consider amounts earned upon evidence that an arrangement has been obtained, services are delivered, fees are fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Additionally, revenues on arrangements requiring the delivery of more than one product or service are recognized in accordance with ASC 605-25, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables."

We recognize revenues for software arrangements upon persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery of the software, and determination that collection of a fixed or determinable license fee is probable. Revenues for software licenses sold on a subscription basis are recognized ratably over the related license period. For arrangements where the sale of software licenses are bundled with other products, including software products, upgrades and enhancements, post-contract customer support (“PCS”), and installation, the relative fair value of each element is determined based on vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”). VSOE is defined by ASC 985-605, “Software Revenue Recognition,” and is limited to the price charged when the element is sold separately or, if the element is not yet sold separately, the price set by management having the relevant authority. When VSOE exists for undelivered elements, the remaining consideration is allocated to delivered elements using the residual method. If VSOE does not exist for the allocation of revenue to the various elements of the arrangement, all revenue from the arrangement is deferred until the earlier of the point at which (1) such VSOE does exist or (2) all elements of the arrangement are delivered. PCS revenues, upon being unbundled from a software license fee, are recognized ratably over the PCS period.

Substantially all of our contracts are contracts with the U.S. Government involving the complex delivery of technology products and services. Accordingly, these contracts are within the scope of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountant’s Audit and Accounting Guide for Audits of Federal Government Contractors. To the extent contracts are incomplete at the end of an accounting period, revenue is recognized on the percentage-of-completion method, on a proportional performance basis, using costs incurred in relation to total estimated costs.

We may use subcontractors and suppliers in the course of performing on contracts. Some of these arrangements may fall within the scope of ASC 605-45, “Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent.” We presume that revenues on our contracts are recognized on a gross basis, as we generally provide significant value-added services, assume credit risk, and reserve the right to select subcontractors and suppliers, but we evaluate the various criteria specified in the guidance in making the determination of whether revenue should be recognized on a gross or net basis.

A description of the business lines, the typical deliverables, and the revenue recognition criteria in general for such deliverables follows:

Secure Networks – We provide wireless and wired networking solutions consisting of hardware and services to our customers. Also within the Secure Networks solution area is our Emerging Technologies Group, which creates innovative, custom-tailored solutions for government and commercial enterprises. The solutions within Secure Networks and Emerging Technologies are generally sold as FFP bundled solutions. Certain of these networking solutions involve contracts to design, develop, or modify complex electronic equipment configurations to a buyer’s specification or to provide network engineering services related to the performance of such contracts, and as such fall within the scope of ASC 605-35. Revenue is earned upon percentage of completion based upon proportional performance, such performance generally being defined by performance milestones. Certain other solutions fall within the scope of ASC 605-10-S99, such as resold information technology products, like laptop computers, printers, networking equipment and peripherals, and ASC 605-25. Revenue is recognized based upon objective reliable evidence of fair value of the elements, such as upon delivery of the hardware product or other direct costs (“ODC”) and the ongoing maintenance. For product sales, revenue is recognized upon proof of acceptance by the customer, otherwise it is deferred until such time as the proof of acceptance is obtained. For example, in delivery orders for Department of Defense customers, which comprise the majority of the Company’s customers, such acceptance is achieved with a signed Department of Defense Form DD-250. Services provided under these contracts are generally provided on a FFP basis, and as such fall within the scope of ASC 605-10-S99. Revenue for services is recognized based on proportional performance, as the work progresses. FFP services may be billed to the customer on a percentage-of-completion basis or based upon milestones, which may approximate the proportional performance of the services under the agreements, as specified in such agreements. To the extent that customer billings exceed the performance of the specified services, the revenue would be deferred. Revenue is recognized under T&M services contracts based upon specified billing rates and other direct costs as incurred.

Information Assurance – We provide Xacta IA Manager software and cybersecurity services to our customers. The software and accompanying services fall within the scope of ASC 985-605, as fully discussed above. We provide consulting services to our customers under either a FFP or T&M basis. Such contracts fall under the scope of ASC 605-10-S99. Revenue for FFP services is recognized on a proportional performance basis. FFP services may be billed to the customer on a percentage-of-completion basis or based upon milestones, which may approximate the proportional performance of the services under the agreements, as specified in such agreements. To the extent that customer billings exceed the performance of the specified services, the revenue would be deferred. Revenue is recognized under T&M contracts based upon specified billing rates and other direct costs as incurred.

Secure Communications (formerly Secure Messaging) – We provide our Secure Information eXchange (T-6) suite of products which include the flagship product the Automated Message Handling System (“AMHS”), Secure Collaboration, Secure Discovery, Secure Directory and Cross Domain Communication, as well as related services to our customers. The system and accompanying services fall within the scope of ASC 985-605, as fully discussed above. Other services fall within the scope of ASC 605-10-S99 for arrangements that include only time-and-materials (“T&M”) contracts and ASC 605-25 for contracts with multiple deliverables such as T&M elements and firm-fixed price (“FFP”) services where objective reliable evidence of fair value of the elements is available. Under such arrangements, the T&M elements are established by direct costs. Revenue is recognized on T&M contracts according to specified rates as direct labor and other direct costs are incurred. Revenue for FFP services is recognized on a proportional performance basis. FFP services may be billed to the customer on a percentage-of-completion basis or based upon milestones, which may approximate the proportional performance of the services under the agreements, as specified in such agreements. To the extent that customer billings exceed the performance of the specified services, the revenue would be deferred.

Identity Management – We provide our identity assurance and access management solutions and services and sell information technology products, such as laptop computers and specialized printers, and consumables, such as identity cards, to our customers. The solutions are generally sold as FFP bundled solutions, which would typically fall within the scope of ASC 605-25 and ASC 605-10-S99. Revenue is recognized based upon objective reliable evidence of fair value of the elements, such as upon delivery of the hardware product or ODC's and the ongoing maintenance. Revenue for services is recognized based on proportional performance, as the work progresses. FFP services may be billed to the customer on a percentage-of-completion basis or based upon milestones, which may approximate the proportional performance of the services under the agreements, as specified in such agreements. To the extent that customer billings exceed the performance of the specified services, the revenue would be deferred. Revenue is recognized under T&M contracts based upon specified billing rates and other direct costs as incurred.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value, where cost is determined primarily on the weighted average cost method. Inventories consist primarily of purchased customer off-the-shelf hardware and software, and component computer parts used in connection with system integration services that we perform. Inventories also include spare parts utilized to support certain maintenance contracts. Spare parts inventory is amortized on a straight-line basis over two to five years, which represents the shorter of the warranty period or estimated useful life of the asset. An allowance for obsolete, slow-moving or non-salable inventory is provided for all other inventory. This allowance is based on our overall obsolescence experience and our assessment of future inventory requirements.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

We evaluate the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets in accordance with ASC No. 350, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets," which requires goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets to be assessed on at least an annual basis for impairment using a fair value basis. Between annual evaluations, if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount, then impairment must be evaluated. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to: (1) a significant adverse change in legal factors or business climate, or (2) a loss of key contracts or customers.

As the result of an acquisition, we record any excess purchase price over the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired as goodwill. An allocation of the purchase price to tangible and intangible net assets acquired is based upon our valuation of the acquired assets. Goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to annual impairment tests. We complete goodwill impairment tests at least annually as of September 30 each year. We estimate fair value of our reporting unit and compare the valuation with the respective carrying value for the reporting unit to determine whether any goodwill impairment exists. If we determine through the impairment review process that goodwill is impaired, we will record an impairment charge in our consolidated statements of operations. Goodwill is amortized and deducted over a 15-year period for tax purposes.

To date intangible assets consist primarily of customer relationship enhancements. Intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives of 5 years. The amortization is based on a forecast of approximately equal annual customer orders over the 5-year period. Amortization expense for 2011 was \$1.1 million and will be approximately \$2.3 million per year for the next 5 years. Intangible assets are subject to impairment review if there are events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying amount is not recoverable. As of December 31, 2011, no impairment charges were taken.

Warranty Obligations

We record a liability in connection with various warranty obligations. Such warranty obligations are affected by product failure rates and material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure. Should actual product failure rates, material usage or service delivery costs differ from estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required, resulting in additional income statement charges.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740. Under ASC 740, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences of temporary differences and income tax credits. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured by applying enacted statutory tax rates that are applicable to the future years in which deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be settled or realized for differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. Any change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in net income in the period in which the tax rate change is enacted. We record a valuation allowance that reduces deferred tax assets when it is "more likely than not" that deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Results of Operations

We derive substantially all of our revenues from contracts and subcontracts with the U.S. Government. Our revenues are generated from a number of contract vehicles and task orders. Over the past several years we have sought to diversify and improve our operating margins through an evolution of our business from an emphasis on product reselling to that of an advanced solutions and services provider. To that end, although we continue to offer resold products through our contract vehicles, we have focused on selling solutions and services and outsourcing product sales, as well as designing and delivering Telos manufactured technology products. In general, we believe our contract portfolio is characterized as having low to moderate financial risk due to the limited number of long-term fixed price development contracts. Our firm fixed-price contracts consist principally of contracts for the purchase of computer equipment at established contract prices or contracts for certification and accreditation services offerings. Our time-and-material contracts generally allow the pass-through of allowable costs plus a profit margin. For 2011, 2010, and 2009, the Company's revenue derived from firm fixed-price was 88.8%, 82.8%, and 84.5%, respectively, and time-and-material contracts was 11.2%, 17.2%, and 15.5%, respectively.

We provide different solutions and revenue types under the NETCENTS (Network-Centric Solutions) contract to the U.S. Air Force. NETCENTS is a GWAC-like IDIQ contract, therefore any government customer may utilize the NETCENTS vehicle to meet its purchasing needs. Consequently, revenue earned on the underlying NETCENTS delivery orders varies from period to period according to the customer and solution mix for the products and services delivered during a particular period, unlike a standalone contract with one separately identified customer. The majority of our task/delivery orders have periods of performance of less than 12 months, which contributes to the variances between interim and annual reporting periods. The NETCENTS contract was awarded in 2004 and has been modified 30 times since that time, including numerous modifications to extend the period of performance. The contract itself does not award any revenue and it states that the contract is for an indefinite delivery and indefinite quantity. While we derive a substantial amount of revenue from task/delivery orders under the NETCENTS contract, we have also been awarded other IDIQ/GWACs, including blanket purchase agreements under our GSA schedule.

Statement of Operations Data

The following table sets forth certain consolidated financial data and related percentages for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2011		2010		2009	
			(dollar amounts in thousands)			
Revenue	\$	189,888	100.0%	\$	225,797	100.0%
Cost of sales		142,345	74.9		178,497	79.1
Selling, general and administrative expenses		34,856	18.4		32,294	14.3
Operating income		12,687	6.7		15,006	6.6
Other income (expenses):						
Non-operating income		319	0.2		174	0.1
Interest expense		(6,265)	(3.3)		(6,228)	(2.8)
Income before income taxes		6,741	3.6		8,952	3.9
Provision for income taxes		(3,238)	(1.7)		(4,708)	(2.1)
Net income		3,503	1.9		4,244	1.8
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interest		(2,049)	(1.1)		(1,197)	(0.5)
Net income attributable to Telos Corporation	\$	1,454	0.8%	\$	3,047	1.3%

Results of Operations

Years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Revenue. Revenue decreased by 15.9% to \$189.9 million for 2011 from \$225.8 million for 2010. Such decrease is primarily attributable to decreased sales of Secured Networks solutions from the U.S. Air Force NETCENTS and U.S. Army ADMC-2 contracts, decreased sales of Secure Communications solutions, offset by an increase in sales of Identity Management solutions. Services revenue increased by 1.7% to \$125.0 million for 2011 from \$122.9 million for 2010, primarily attributable to increases in revenue of \$8.9 million for Secure Networks solutions, including an increase of \$2.1 million in net agency revenue which is a direct result of continued emphasis on selling solutions and services while outsourcing product sales, \$0.3 million of Secure Communications solutions, offset by decreases in sales of \$6.8 million of Information Assurance solutions, and \$0.3 million of Identity Management solutions. Product revenue for 2011 decreased by 36.9% to \$64.9 million from \$102.9 million for 2010, attributable to a decrease in sales of \$43.1 million of Secured Networks solutions primarily due to a continued emphasis on selling solutions and services while outsourcing product sales in keeping with our focus as described above, and a decrease in sales of Telos manufactured technology solutions, as well as decreases in sales of \$2.1 million of Information Assurance solutions, and \$1.1 million of Secure Communications solutions, offset by an increase in sales of Identity Management solutions of \$8.3 million.

Revenue decreased by 18.1% to \$225.8 million for 2010 from \$275.7 million for 2009. Such decrease is primarily attributable to decreased sales of Secured Networks solutions from the U.S. Air Force NETCENTS contract, offset by an increase in sales of Secure Communications solutions. Services revenue increased by 8.9% to \$122.9 million for 2010 from \$112.8 million for 2009, primarily attributable to increases in revenue of \$7.9 million for Secure Networks solutions, including an increase of \$3.8 million in net agency revenue which is a direct result of continued emphasis on selling solutions and services while outsourcing product sales, \$4.0 million of Secure Communications solutions, and \$0.3 million of Identity Management solutions, offset by a decrease in sales of \$2.1 million of Information Assurance solutions. Product revenue for 2010 decreased by 36.8% to \$102.9 million from \$162.9 million for 2009, attributable to a decrease in sales of \$57.1 million of Secured Networks solutions primarily due to decreased sales of Telos manufactured technology solutions under the NETCENTS contract and resold products under the NETCENTS and U.S. Army ADMC-2 contracts, a decrease in sales of \$3.8 million of Identity Management solutions, offset by an increase in sales of Information Assurance solutions of \$0.8 million, and an increase of \$2.1 million in sales of proprietary software.

Cost of sales. Cost of sales decreased by 20.3% to \$142.3 million for 2011 from \$178.5 million for 2010 as a result of decreases in revenue, primarily product revenue from Telos manufactured technology solutions and resold products under NETCENTS and ADMC-2. This was a direct result of continued emphasis on selling solutions and services while outsourcing product sales. Cost of sales decreased by 22.4% to \$178.5 million for 2010 from \$230.1 million for 2009 as a result of decreases in revenue, primarily product revenue from Telos manufactured technology solutions and resold products under NETCENTS and ADMC-2. This was a direct result of continued emphasis on selling solutions and services while outsourcing product sales.

Gross profit. Gross profit increased by 0.6% to \$47.6 million for 2011 from \$47.3 million for 2010. Gross margin increased 4.2% to 25.1% for 2011 from 20.9% for 2010, due to various changes in the mix of contracts in all business lines, primarily solutions delivery in Secure Networks and Identity Management business lines and continued emphasis on selling solutions while outsourcing product sales. Gross profit increased by 3.8% to \$47.3 million for 2010 from \$45.6 million for 2009. Gross margin increased 4.3% to 20.9% for 2010 from 16.6% for 2009, primarily due to a combination of factors in various business lines, including increases in proprietary software sales, an adjustment to warranty liability in 2010, recognition of an estimated loss on a contract in 2009 which was not repeated in 2010, various changes in the mix of contracts in all business lines, and continued emphasis on selling solutions while outsourcing product sales.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased 7.9% to \$34.9 million for 2011 from \$32.3 million for 2010. Such increase is primarily attributable to increases in the amortization of intangible assets of \$1.1 million, bonuses of \$0.6 million, labor and other costs of \$0.4 million, and consulting fees of \$0.5 million.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased 1.4% to \$32.3 million for 2010 from \$31.9 million for 2009. Such increase is primarily attributable to increases in bonuses of \$0.9 million, labor and other costs of \$0.6 million, offset by decreases in outside services of \$0.7 million, legal costs of \$0.1 million, and trade show expenses of \$0.2 million.

Interest expense. Interest expenses increased 0.6% to \$6.3 million for 2011 from \$6.2 million for 2010, primarily due to an increase in interest expense due to accretion on notes payable to ITL as a result of the ITL acquisition, offset by a reduction of interest expense due to the partial redemption of the senior redeemable preferred stock and the pay off of the subordinated debt in May 2010. Interest expenses decreased 13.8% to \$6.2 million for 2010 from \$7.2 million for 2009, primarily due to a decrease in the applicable interest rate on the Facility, and the pay off of the subordinated debt in May 2010. Components of interest expense are as follows:

	December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(amounts in thousands)		
Commercial and subordinated note interest incurred	\$ 1,745	\$ 1,987	\$ 2,980
Preferred stock interest accrued	4,159	4,241	4,246
ITL note accretion	361	----	----
Total	\$ 6,265	\$ 6,228	\$ 7,226

Provision for income taxes. Provision for income taxes decreased 31.2% to \$3.2 million for 2011 from \$4.7 million for 2010, primarily due to a decrease in pretax income. Provision for income taxes increased 10.4% to \$4.7 million for 2010 from \$4.3 million for 2009, primarily due to an increase in operating income and the utilization of all alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards for federal tax purposes. Provision for income taxes for 2009 was \$4.3 million, primarily due to the utilization of principally all net operating loss carryforwards for federal tax purposes, and state income tax liabilities.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As described in more detail below, we maintain a revolving credit facility (the “Facility”) with Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. (“Wells Fargo”) which was formerly Wells Fargo Foothill, Inc. Borrowings under the Facility are collateralized by substantially all of our assets including inventory, equipment, and accounts receivable. The amount of available borrowings fluctuates based on the underlying asset-borrowing base, in general 85% of our trade accounts receivable, as adjusted by certain reserves (as further defined in the Facility agreement). The Facility provides us with virtually all of the liquidity we require to meet our operating, investing and financing needs. Therefore maintaining sufficient availability on the Facility is the most critical factor in our liquidity. While a variety of factors related to sources and uses of cash, such as timeliness of accounts receivable collections, vendor credit terms, or significant collateral requirements, ultimately impact our liquidity, such factors may or may not have a direct impact on our liquidity, based on how the transactions associated with such circumstances impact our availability under the Facility. For example, a contractual requirement to post collateral for a duration of several months, depending on the materiality of the amount, could have an immediate negative effect on our liquidity, as such a circumstance would utilize availability on the Facility without a near-term cash inflow back to us. Likewise, the release of such collateral could have a corresponding positive effect on our liquidity, as it would represent an addition to our availability without any corresponding near-term cash outflow. Similarly, a slow-down of payments from a customer, group of customers or government payment office would not have an immediate and direct effect on our availability on the Facility unless the slowdown was material in amount and over an extended period of time. Any of these examples would have an impact on the Facility, and therefore our liquidity. Management believes that the Company’s borrowing capacity is sufficient to fund our capital and liquidity needs for the foreseeable future.

Cash provided by operating activities was \$14.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$3.1 million for 2010. Cash provided by or used in operating activities is primarily driven by our operating income, the timing of receipt of customer payments, the timing of payments to vendors and employees, and the timing of inventory turnover, adjusted for certain non cash items that do not impact cash flows from operating activities. In 2011, net income was \$3.5 million, which included \$1.1 million of amortization of intangible assets resulting from the ITL acquisition. In 2010, net income was \$4.2 million, which included \$1.5 million of deferred income tax provision primarily resulting from the realization of alternative minimum tax credit. In 2009, net income was \$2.3 million, which included \$3.3 million of deferred income tax provision primarily as a result of the realization of net operating loss carryforward.

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$8.6 million, which primarily consisted of the \$8.0 million initial payment for the acquisition of ITL, and the purchase of \$0.6 million of property and equipment. Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$0.7 million, which consisted of the purchases of property and equipment. Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$1.0 million, which consisted of the purchase of \$1.1 million of property and equipment, offset by the maturity of restricted investments of \$0.1 million.

Cash used in financing activities for year ended December 31, 2011 was \$6.2 million, compared to \$2.4 million for 2010, and \$3.8 million for 2009. The financing activities in 2011 consisted primarily of net proceeds of \$2.8 million from the Facility, payment of \$3.5 million of ITL notes, repayments of \$0.9 million under capital leases, repayments of a \$0.4 million of term loan, distributions of \$2.1 million to the Class B Member of Telos ID, and redemption of \$2.1 million of senior preferred stock. The financing activities in 2010 consisted primarily of net proceeds of \$7.3 million from a term loan, payment of \$4.2 million of senior subordinated notes, net repayments of \$3.1 million to the Facility, repayments of \$0.8 million under capital leases, distributions of \$1.1 million to the Class B Member of Telos ID, and redemption of \$0.4 million of senior preferred stock. The financing activities in 2009 consisted primarily of net repayments of \$1.6 million to the Facility, repayments of \$0.7 million under capital leases, and distributions of \$1.3 million to the Class B Member of Telos ID.

Additionally, our capital structure consists of redeemable preferred stock and common stock. The capital structure is complex and requires an understanding of the terms of the instruments, certain restrictions on scheduled payments and redemptions of the various instruments, and the interrelationship of the instruments especially as it relates to the subordination hierarchy. Therefore a thorough understanding of how our capital structure impacts our liquidity is necessary and accordingly we have disclosed the relevant information about each instrument as follows:

Senior Revolving Credit Facility

On May 17, 2010, we amended our \$25 million Facility with Wells Fargo. Under the amended terms, the maturity date of the Facility was extended to May 17, 2014 from September 30, 2011, the limit on the Facility was increased to \$30 million from \$25 million, and a term loan component of \$7.5 million was added to the Facility. The principal of the term loan component will be repaid in quarterly installments of \$93,750, with a final installment of the unpaid principal amount payable on May 17, 2014. The interest rate on the term loan component is the same as that on the revolving credit component of the Facility, which was changed to the higher of the Wells Fargo Bank “prime rate” plus 1%, the Federal Funds rate plus 1.5%, or the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 2%. In lieu of having interest charged at the foregoing rates, the Company may elect to have the interest on all or a portion of the advances on the revolving credit component be a rate based on the LIBOR Rate (as defined in the Facility) plus 3.75%. As of December 31, 2011, we did not elect the LIBOR Rate option. Borrowings under the Facility continue to be collateralized by substantially all of the Company’s assets including inventory, equipment, and accounts receivable. The financial covenants were updated to include minimum EBITDA (as defined in the Facility), minimum recurring revenue and a limit on capital expenditures. The Facility’s anniversary fee was discontinued and the collateral management fee was reduced. Additionally, the Facility was amended to permit the full repayment of the entire principal amount of the Subordinated Notes (as defined below).

In accordance with the stated use of proceeds for the term loan component of the Facility, \$4.2 million was paid concurrent with the closing of the amendment to each of the holders of the Subordinated Notes (as defined below) in full repayment of the principal and accrued but unpaid interest on the Subordinated Notes through May 17, 2010.

On September 27, 2010, the Facility was further amended to allow for the redemption of up to \$2.5 million of the aggregate value of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock at a discount from par value of at least 10% (see Note 8 – Redeemable Preferred Stock).

As of December 31, 2011, the interest rate on the Facility was 4.25%. We incurred interest expense in the amount of \$0.7 million, \$0.7 million, and \$1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, on the Facility.

The Facility has various covenants that may, among other things, affect our ability to merge with another entity, sell or transfer certain assets, pay dividends and make other distributions beyond certain limitations. As of December 31, 2011, we were in compliance with the Facility's financial covenants, including EBITDA covenants. The term loan component of the Facility amortizes at 5% per year, or \$0.4 million, which is paid in quarterly installments and is classified as current on the consolidated balance sheet. The revolving component of the Facility and the remaining balance of the term loan, or \$6.5 million, mature over the period 2011 through 2014.

At December 31, 2011, we had outstanding borrowings of \$17.9 million on the Facility, which included the \$6.9 million term loan, of which \$0.4 million was short-term. At December 31, 2010, the outstanding borrowings on the Facility were \$14.2 million. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had unused borrowing availability on the Facility of \$5.3 million and \$9.1 million, respectively. The effective weighted average interest rates on the outstanding borrowings under the Facility were 6.3% and 7.0% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The effective weighted average interest rates (including various fees paid whether capitalized or expensed pursuant to the Facility agreement and related amendments) on the outstanding borrowings under the Facility were 6.6% and 7.8% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Notes payable – IT Logistics, Inc.

On July 1, 2011, we entered into, and concurrently completed the transactions contemplated by, the Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") with IT Logistics Inc., an Alabama Corporation ("ITL"), and its sole stockholder. The Agreement provides for the purchase of certain assets relating to the operation of ITL's business of providing survey, design, engineering, and installation services of inside and outside plant secure networking infrastructure and program management expertise. Under the terms of the Agreement, Telos assumed certain liabilities of ITL, principally liabilities that accrue on or after July 1, 2011, under certain contracts assumed by Telos.

The purchase price for the assets (in addition to the assumed liabilities described above) consisted of (1) \$8 million payable on July 1, 2011, (2) \$7 million payable in ten monthly payments of \$700,000, together with interest on the unpaid balance of such amount at the rate of 0.50% per annum, beginning on August 1, 2011 and on the first day of each subsequent month thereafter, and (3) a subordinated promissory note (the "Note") with a principal amount of \$15 million. The Note accrues interest at a rate of 6.0% per annum beginning November 1, 2012, and is payable on July 1, 2041. The entire unpaid principal balance plus accrued and unpaid interest is due and payable upon the occurrence of a Change in Control (as defined in the Note), provided that all "Senior Obligations" are satisfied prior to or concurrent with such Change in Control. For purposes of the Note, "Senior Obligations" means, collectively, all (1) outstanding indebtedness of Telos, and (2) amounts due to the holders of the outstanding shares of the Company's Series A-1 Redeemable Preferred Stock, Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock, and 12% Cumulative Exchangeable Preferred Stock (or any securities redeemable or exchangeable for any of the foregoing) upon a Change in Control, upon the voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the affairs of Telos, or otherwise.

At December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance of the \$7 million note was \$3.5 million. Additionally, the \$15 million subordinated promissory note related to the acquisition of ITL was recorded at its fair value of \$11.7 million and has been accreted to its current carrying value of \$12.1 million as of December 31, 2011. The \$15 million subordinated promissory note will be accreted to its face value over 60 months, when we estimate repayment. We incurred interest expense in the amount of \$12,000 on the \$7 million note in 2011.

Senior Subordinated Notes

In 1995, we issued Senior Subordinated Notes ("Subordinated Notes") to certain shareholders. Such Subordinated Notes were classified as either Series B or Series C. The Series B Subordinated Notes were secured by our property and equipment, but subordinate to the security interests of Wells Fargo under the Facility. The Series C Subordinated Notes were unsecured. The maturity date of such Subordinated Notes was December 31, 2011, with interest rates ranging from 14% to 17%, and paid quarterly on January 1, April 1, July 1, and October 1 of each year. The Subordinated Notes could be prepaid at our option; however, the Subordinated Notes contained a cumulative prepayment premium of 13.5% per annum payable upon certain circumstances, which included, but were not limited to, an initial public offering of our common stock or a significant refinancing ("qualifying triggering event"), to the extent that sufficient net proceeds from either of the above events were received to pay such cumulative prepayment premium. Due to the contingent nature of the cumulative prepayment premium, any associated premium expense could only be quantified and recorded subsequent to the occurrence of such a qualifying triggering event.

On May 17, 2010, the principal balances of the Subordinated Notes plus accrued but unpaid interest totaling \$4.2 million were paid in full, with the prepayment penalties on the repayment waived by the note holders. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, we incurred interest expense in the amount of \$0, \$0.2 million, and \$0.6 million, respectively, on the Subordinated Notes.

Redeemable Preferred Stock

We currently have two primary classes of redeemable preferred stock - Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock and Public Preferred Stock. These classes of stock carry cumulative dividend rates of 14.125% and 12%, respectively. We accrue dividends on both classes of redeemable preferred stock and provide for accretion related to the Public Preferred Stock. As of December 31, 2008, the Public Preferred Stock has been fully accreted. The total carrying amount of redeemable preferred stock, including accumulated and unpaid dividends was \$116.9 million and \$115.0 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We recorded dividends of \$4.2 million each for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, on the two classes of redeemable preferred stock, and such amounts have been included in interest expense.

Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock

The Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock is senior to all other outstanding equity of the Company, including the Public Preferred Stock. The Series A-1 ranks on a parity with the Series A-2. The components of the authorized Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock are 1,250 shares of Series A-1 and 1,750 shares of Series A-2 Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, each with \$.01 par value. The Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock carries a cumulative per annum dividend rate of 14.125% of its liquidation value of \$1,000 per share. The dividends are payable semiannually on June 30 and December 31 of each year. We have not declared dividends on our Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock since its issuance. The liquidation preference of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock is the face amount of the Series A-1 and A-2 (\$1,000 per share), plus all accrued and unpaid dividends.

Due to the terms of the Facility and of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, we have been and continue to be precluded from paying any accrued and unpaid dividends on the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock. Certain holders of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock have entered into standby agreements whereby, among other things, those holders will not demand any payments in respect of dividends or redemptions of their instruments and the maturity dates of the instruments have been extended. As a result of such standby agreements, as of December 31, 2010, instruments held by Toxford Corporation, the holder of 76.4% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, will mature on August 31, 2014.

On September 27, 2010, the Facility was amended to allow for the redemption of up to \$2.5 million of the aggregate value of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock under certain conditions, the most significant being the redemption at a discount from par value of at least 10%. In accordance with the terms of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, however, any redemption must be pro rata among the holders of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock unless such holders waive their rights to have their shares redeemed. Due to the limitations, contractual restrictions, and agreements described above, the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock is classified as noncurrent as of December 31, 2011.

On September 27, 2010, with the consent and waiver of the remaining holders of the outstanding shares of Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4.9% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock with a carrying value of \$522,000 was redeemed for \$430,000, resulting in a gain in the amount of \$92,000, representing a discount of approximately 17.5%, which was recorded in other income on the consolidated statements of operations.

On or about March 15, 2011, Mr. John Porter, the beneficial owner of 44.0% of our Class A Common Stock, acquired a total of 75 shares and 105 shares of Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock, respectively, from other holders of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock. As of December 31, 2011, Mr. Porter held 6.3% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, of which 3.1% matured on December 31, 2011. In the aggregate, as of December 31, 2011, Mr. Porter and Toxford held a total of 763 shares and 1,069 shares of Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock, respectively, or 82.7% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock. Mr. Porter is the sole stockholder of Toxford.

On April 8, 2011, with the consent of the holders of the outstanding shares of Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, 22.3% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock with a carrying value of \$2.3 million was redeemed for \$2.1 million, resulting in a gain in the amount of approximately \$0.2 million, representing a discount of 10%, which was recorded in other income on the consolidated statements of operations. Subsequent to such redemption, the total number of shares of Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock issued and outstanding was 923 shares and 1,292 shares for Series A-1 and Series A-2, respectively.

The total number of shares of Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 923 shares and 1,188 shares for Series A-1, respectively; and 1,292 shares and 1,664 shares for Series A-2, respectively.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, cumulative undeclared, unpaid dividends relating to Senior Redeemable Preferred stock totaled \$6.0 million and \$7.4 million, respectively. We accrued dividends on the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock of \$337,000, \$418,000 and \$423,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, which were reported as interest expense. Prior to the effective date of ASC 480-10, "Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity," on July 1, 2003, such dividends were charged to stockholders' deficit.

Public Preferred Stock

Redemption Provisions

Since 1991, we have not declared or paid any dividends on our Public Preferred Stock, based upon our interpretation of restrictions in our Articles of Amendment and Restatement, filed with the State of Maryland on January 5, 1992, as amended on April 14, 1995 (“Charter”), limitations in the terms of the Public Preferred Stock instrument, specific dividend payment restrictions in the Facility entered into with Wells Fargo, other senior obligations and Maryland law limitations in existence prior to October 1, 2009. Pursuant to their terms, we were scheduled, but not required, to redeem the Public Preferred Stock in five annual tranches during the period 2005 through 2009. However, due to our substantial senior obligations, limitations set forth in the covenants in the Facility, foreseeable capital and operational requirements, and restrictions and prohibitions of our Charter, we were unable to meet the redemption schedule set forth in the terms of the Public Preferred Stock instrument. Moreover, the Public Preferred Stock is not payable on demand, nor callable, for failure to redeem the Public Preferred Stock in accordance with the redemption schedule set forth in the instrument. Therefore, we have classified these securities as noncurrent liabilities in the balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 and 2010.

We are parties with certain of our subsidiaries to the Facility agreement with Wells Fargo, whose term expires on May 17, 2014. Under the Facility, we agreed that, so long as any credit under the Facility is available and until full and final payment of the obligations under the Facility, we would not make any distribution or declare or pay any dividends (other than common stock) on our stock, or purchase, acquire, or redeem any stock, or exchange any stock for indebtedness, or retire any stock. We continue to actively rely upon the Facility and expect to continue to do so until the Facility expires on May 17, 2014.

Accordingly, as stated above, we will continue to classify the entirety of our obligation to redeem the Public Preferred Stock as a long-term obligation. The Facility prohibits, among other things, the redemption of any stock, common or preferred. The Public Preferred Stock by its terms cannot be redeemed if doing so would violate the terms of an agreement regarding the borrowing of funds or the extension of credit which is binding upon us or any of our subsidiaries, and it does not include any other provisions that would otherwise require any acceleration of the redemption of or amortization payments with respect to the Public Preferred Stock. Thus, the Public Preferred Stock is not and will not be due on demand, nor callable, within twelve months from December 31, 2011. This classification is consistent with ASC 210-10, “Balance Sheet” and 470-10, “Debt” and the FASB ASC Master Glossary definition of “Current Liabilities.”

ASC 210-10 and the FASB ASC Master Glossary define current liabilities as follows: The term current liabilities is used principally to designate obligations whose liquidation is reasonably expected to require the use of existing resources properly classifiable as current assets, or the creation of other current liabilities. As a balance sheet category, the classification is intended to include obligations for items which have entered into the operating cycle, such as payables incurred in the acquisition of materials and supplies to be used in the production of goods or in providing services to be offered for sale; collections received in advance of the delivery of goods or performance of services; and debts that arise from operations directly related to the operating cycle, such as accruals for wages, salaries, commissions, rentals, royalties, and income and other taxes. Other liabilities whose regular and ordinary liquidation is expected to occur within a relatively short period of time, usually twelve months, are also intended for inclusion, such as short-term debts arising from the acquisition of capital assets, serial maturities of long-term obligations, amounts required to be expended within one year under sinking fund provisions, and agency obligations arising from the collection or acceptance of cash or other assets for the account of third persons.

ASC 470-10 provides the following: The current liability classification is also intended to include obligations that, by their terms, are due on demand or will be due on demand within one year (or operating cycle, if longer) from the balance sheet date, even though liquidation may not be expected within that period. It is also intended to include long-term obligations that are or will be callable by the creditor either because the debtor’s violation of a provision of the debt agreement at the balance sheet date makes the obligation callable or because the violation, if not cured within a specified grace period, will make the obligation callable.

If, pursuant to the terms of the Public Preferred Stock, we do not redeem the Public Preferred Stock in accordance with the scheduled redemptions described above, the terms of the Public Preferred Stock require us to discharge our obligation to redeem the Public Preferred Stock as soon as we are financially capable and legally permitted to do so. Therefore, by its very terms, the Public Preferred Stock is not due on demand or callable for failure to make a scheduled payment pursuant to its redemption provisions and is properly classified as a noncurrent liability.

Dividend Provisions

We pay dividends on the Public Preferred Stock when and if declared by the Board of Directors. The Public Preferred Stock accrues a semiannual dividend at the annual rate of 12% (\$1.20) per share, based on the liquidation preference of \$10 per share and is fully cumulative. Dividends in additional shares of the Public Preferred Stock for 1990 and 1991 were paid at the rate of 6% of a share for each \$.60 of such dividends not paid in cash. For the cash dividends payable since December 1, 1995, we have accrued \$76.8 million as of December 31, 2011. In 2011, we accrued cumulative Public Preferred Stock dividends of \$3.8 million, which was recorded as interest expense.

The carrying value of the accrued Paid-in-Kind (“PIK”) dividends on the Public Preferred Stock for the period 1992 through June 1995 was \$4.0 million. Had we accrued such dividends on a cash basis for this time period, the total amount accrued would have been \$15.1 million. However, as a result of the redemption of the 410,000 shares of the Public Preferred Stock in November 1998, such amounts were reduced and adjusted to \$3.5 million and \$13.4 million, respectively. Our Charter, Section 2(a) states, “Any dividends payable with respect to the Exchangeable Preferred Stock (“Public Preferred Stock”) during the first six years after the Effective Date (November 20, 1989) may be paid (subject to restrictions under applicable state law), in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors, in cash or by issuing additional fully paid and nonassessable shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock ...”. Accordingly, the Board had the discretion to pay the dividends for the referenced period in cash or by the issuance of additional shares of Public Preferred Stock. During the period in which we stated our intent to pay PIK dividends, we stated our intention to amend our Charter to permit such payment by the issuance of additional shares of Public Preferred Stock. In consequence, as required by applicable accounting requirements, the accrual for these dividends was recorded at the estimated fair value (as the average of the ask and bid prices) on the dividend date of the shares of Public Preferred Stock that would have been (but were not) issued. This accrual was \$9.9 million lower than the accrual would be if the intent was only to pay the dividend in cash, at that date or any later date.

In May 2006, the Board concluded that the accrual of PIK dividends for the period 1992 through June 1995 was no longer appropriate. Since 1995, we have disclosed in the footnotes to our audited consolidated financial statements the carrying value of the accrued PIK dividends on the Public Preferred Stock for the period 1992 through June 1995 was \$4.0 million, and that had we accrued cash dividends during this time period, the total amount accrued would have been \$15.1 million. As stated above, such amounts were reduced and adjusted to \$3.5 million and \$13.4 million, respectively, due to the redemption of 410,000 shares of the Public Preferred Stock in November 1998. On May 12, 2006, the Board voted to confirm that our intent with respect to the payment of dividends on the Public Preferred Stock for this period changed from its previously stated intent to pay PIK dividends to that of an intent to pay cash dividends. We therefore changed the accrual from \$3.5 million to \$13.4 million, the result of which was to increase our negative shareholder equity by the \$9.9 million difference between those two amounts, by recording an additional \$9.9 million charge to interest expense for the second quarter of 2006, resulting in a balance of \$108.6 million and \$104.8 million for the principal amount and all accrued dividends on the Public Preferred Stock as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. This action is considered a change in assumption that results in a change in accounting estimate as defined in ASC 250-10, which sets forth guidance concerning accounting changes and error corrections.

Borrowing Capacity

Our working capital was \$15.2 million and \$21.3 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

At December 31, 2011, we had outstanding debt and long-term obligations of \$151.5 million, consisting of \$17.5 million under the Facility, \$12.1 million notes payable to ITL, \$4.9 million in capital lease obligations and \$116.9 million in redeemable preferred stock, which is classified as a liability pursuant to ASC 480-10, and \$0.1 million in other liabilities.

We believe that available cash and borrowings under the Facility will be sufficient to generate adequate amounts of cash to meet our needs for operating expenses, debt service requirements, and projected capital expenditures for the foreseeable future. We anticipate the continued need for a credit facility upon terms and conditions substantially similar to the Facility in order to meet our long term needs for operating expenses, debt service requirements, and projected capital expenditures. Although no assurances can be given, we expect that we will be in compliance throughout the term of the Facility with respect to the financial and other covenants in the Facility agreement.

Contractual Obligations

The following summarizes our contractual obligations and our redeemable preferred stock at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Total	Payments due by Period			
		2012	2013 - 2015	2016 - 2018	2019 and later
Capital lease obligations (1)	\$ 8,810	\$ 2,102	\$ 6,193	\$ 515	\$ ----
Senior revolving credit facility (2)	17,876	375	17,501	----	----
Note payable - short-term (3)(4)	3,504	3,504	----	----	----
Note payable - long-term (3)(5)	40,800	----	----	----	40,800
Operating lease obligations	1,484	534	827	123	----
	<u>\$ 72,474</u>	<u>\$ 6,515</u>	<u>\$ 24,521</u>	<u>\$ 638</u>	<u>\$ 40,800</u>
Senior preferred stock (6)	\$ 8,227				
Public preferred stock (7)	108,628				
	<u>\$ 116,855</u>				
Total	<u>\$ 189,329</u>				
(1) Includes interest expense:	\$ 2,829	\$ 1,068	\$ 1,744	\$ 17	----
(2) Amount does not include interest on the Facility as we are unable to predict the amounts of interest due to the short-term nature of the advances and repayments. Interest expense for 2011 was \$0.7 million.					
(3) See Note 15 – Acquisition of IT Logistics, Inc.					
(4) Amount represents the amount to be paid, including accrued interest through May 2012, based on contractual term of the agreement.					
(5) Amount represents the amount to be paid, including accrued interest through July 2041, based on contractual term of the agreement.					
(6) In accordance with ASC 480, the senior preferred stock was reclassified from equity to liability in July 2003. Amount represents the carrying value as of December 31, 2011, and includes accrual of accumulated dividends of \$6.0 million. Payment of such amount presumes conditions precedent being satisfied (See Note 8 – Redeemable Preferred Stock) and as such, redemption date is unknown and accordingly payment is not reflected in a particular period. Amount does not reflect additional dividends through the redemption date as such date is unknown. Such additional dividends accrue annually in the amount of \$313,000.					
(7) In accordance with ASC 480, the public preferred stock was reclassified from equity to liability in July 2003. Amount represents the carrying value as of December 31, 2011, and includes accrual of accumulated dividends and accretion of \$102.3 million. Payment of such amount presumes conditions precedent being satisfied (See Note 8 – Redeemable Preferred Stock) and as such, redemption date is unknown and accordingly payment is not reflected in a particular period. Amount does not reflect additional dividends and accretion through the redemption date as such date is unknown. Such additional dividends accrue annually in the amount of \$3.8 million. Such accretion has been fully accreted as of December 31, 2008.					

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements (as defined in Item 303, paragraph (a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K) that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, sales or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures for property and equipment were \$0.7 million in 2011, \$0.7 million in 2010, and \$1.1 million in 2009. We presently anticipate capital expenditures of approximately \$1.9 million in 2012; however, there can be no assurance that this level of capital expenditures will occur. We believe that available cash and borrowings under the amended Facility will be sufficient to generate adequate amounts of cash to fund our projected capital expenditures for 2012.

Capital Leases and Related Obligations

We have various lease agreements for property and equipment that, pursuant to ASC 840, require us to record the present value of the minimum lease payments for such equipment and property as an asset in our consolidated financial statements. Such assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease or their useful life, whichever is shorter.

Inflation

The rate of inflation has been moderate over the past five years and, accordingly, has not had a significant impact on the Company. We have generally been able to pass through any increased costs to customers through higher prices to the extent permitted by competitive pressures.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of recently issued accounting pronouncements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to interest rate volatility with regard to our variable rate debt obligations under the Facility. As of December 31, 2011, interest on the Facility is charged at 4.25%. The effective average interest rates on the outstanding borrowings under the Facility in 2011 and 2010 were 6.3% and 7.0%, respectively. The Facility had an outstanding balance of \$17.9 million at December 31, 2011.

Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

TELOS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	24
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	25
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010	26 - 27
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009	28 - 29
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Deficit for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009	30
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	31 – 56

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Telos Corporation

Ashburn, Virginia

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Telos Corporation and Subsidiaries (“the Company”) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders’ deficit, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Telos Corporation and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Bethesda, Maryland

March 30, 2012

TELOS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(amounts in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Revenue (Note 6)			
Services	\$ 124,988	\$ 122,902	\$ 112,770
Products	64,900	102,895	162,911
	<u>189,888</u>	<u>225,797</u>	<u>275,681</u>
Costs and expenses			
Cost of sales – Services	91,353	92,413	92,600
Cost of sales – Products	50,992	86,084	137,508
	<u>142,345</u>	<u>178,497</u>	<u>230,108</u>
Selling, general and administrative expenses	<u>34,856</u>	<u>32,294</u>	<u>31,860</u>
Operating income	12,687	15,006	13,713
Other income (expenses)			
Non-operating income	319	174	85
Interest expense	<u>(6,265)</u>	<u>(6,228)</u>	<u>(7,226)</u>
Income before income taxes	6,741	8,952	6,572
Provision for income taxes (Note 10)	<u>(3,238)</u>	<u>(4,708)</u>	<u>(4,263)</u>
Net income	<u>3,503</u>	<u>4,244</u>	<u>2,309</u>
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interest (Note 2)	<u>(2,049)</u>	<u>(1,197)</u>	<u>(1,032)</u>
Net income attributable to Telos Corporation	<u>\$ 1,454</u>	<u>\$ 3,047</u>	<u>\$ 1,277</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TELOS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(amounts in thousands)

ASSETS

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Current assets (Note 7)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 220	\$ 116
Accounts receivable, net of reserve of \$375 and \$358, respectively (Note 6)	36,946	54,085
Inventories, net of obsolescence reserve of \$315 and \$319, respectively	14,711	7,309
Deferred income taxes (Note 10)	423	----
Deferred program expenses	2,636	2,658
Other current assets	2,942	2,878
Total current assets	<u>57,878</u>	<u>67,046</u>
Property and equipment (Note 7)		
Furniture and equipment	9,873	9,497
Leasehold improvements	1,903	1,833
Property and equipment under capital leases	<u>14,065</u>	<u>14,362</u>
	25,841	25,692
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	<u>(20,994)</u>	<u>(19,950)</u>
	4,847	5,742
Deferred income taxes, long-term (Note 10)	1,617	1,665
Goodwill (Note 15)	15,058	----
Other intangible assets (Note 15)	10,157	----
Other assets (Note 7)	<u>280</u>	<u>351</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 89,837</u>	<u>\$ 74,804</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TELOS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(amounts in thousands, except share data)

**LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK,
AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT**

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and other accrued payables (Note 7)	\$ 21,947	\$ 28,933
Accrued compensation and benefits	8,091	6,880
Deferred revenue	4,387	4,386
Deferred income taxes (Note 10)	----	434
Senior credit facility – short-term (Note 7)	375	375
Notes payable – short-term (Note 7)	3,478	----
Capital lease obligations – short-term (Note 11)	1,033	945
Other current liabilities	3,396	3,832
Total current liabilities	42,707	45,785
Senior revolving credit facility (Note 7)	17,501	13,786
Notes payable (Note 7)	12,056	----
Capital lease obligations (Note 11)	4,948	5,950
Senior redeemable preferred stock (Note 8)	8,227	10,190
Public preferred stock (Note 8)	108,628	104,806
Other liabilities	124	83
Total liabilities	194,191	180,600
Commitments, contingencies and subsequent events (Notes 11 and 14)		
Stockholders' deficit (Note 9)		
Telos stockholders' deficit		
Class A common stock, no par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, 35,906,461 and 33,588,901 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	65	65
Class B common stock, no par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 4,037,628 shares issued and outstanding	13	13
Additional paid-in capital	103	103
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	35	(26)
Accumulated deficit	(104,951)	(106,405)
Total Telos stockholders' deficit	(104,735)	(106,250)
Non-controlling interest in subsidiary (Note 2)	381	454
Total stockholders' deficit	(104,354)	(105,796)
Total liabilities, redeemable preferred stock, and stockholders' deficit	\$ 89,837	\$ 74,804

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TELOS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(amounts in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 3,503	\$ 4,244	\$ 2,309
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:			
Gain on redemption of senior preferred stock	(230)	(92)	----
Dividends of preferred stock as interest expense	4,159	4,241	4,246
Accretion of notes payable	361	----	----
Depreciation and amortization	2,728	1,625	1,472
Provision for inventory obsolescence	51	78	84
Provision (benefit) for doubtful accounts receivable	17	(3)	138
Amortization of debt issuance costs	71	102	106
Deferred income tax (benefit) provision	(809)	1,515	3,287
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	17,122	(1,380)	(13,022)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(7,232)	25,225	(25,564)
Decrease (increase) in deferred program expenses	22	3,771	(4,961)
(Increase) decrease in other current assets and other assets	(64)	339	(2,363)
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and other accrued payables	(5,677)	(32,103)	33,568
Increase (decrease) in accrued compensation and benefits	1,211	966	(1,094)
(Decrease) increase in deferred revenue	1	(4,454)	5,125
(Decrease) increase in other current liabilities	(334)	(952)	1,446
Cash provided by operating activities	14,900	3,122	4,777
Investing activities:			
Acquisition of ITL (Note 15)	(8,000)	----	----
Purchases of property and equipment	(596)	(680)	(1,075)
Maturity of restricted investments	----	----	103
Cash used in investing activities	(8,596)	(680)	(972)
Financing activities:			
Proceeds from senior credit facility	257,023	244,313	267,280
Repayments of senior credit facility	(252,933)	(246,662)	(270,244)
Proceeds from term loan	----	7,500	----
Repayments of term loan	(375)	(188)	----
(Decrease) increase in book overdrafts	(1,309)	(774)	1,321
Repayments of note payable	(3,500)	----	----
Payments under capital lease obligations	(914)	(833)	(692)
Redemption of senior preferred stock	(2,070)	(430)	----
Repayment of senior subordinated notes	----	(4,179)	----
Debt issuance costs	----	(85)	(150)
Distributions to Telos ID Class B membership unit – non-controlling interest	(2,122)	(1,071)	(1,267)
Cash used in financing activities	(6,200)	(2,409)	(3,752)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	----	5	3
Increase in cash and cash equivalent	104	38	56
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year	116	78	22
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 220	\$ 116	\$ 78

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	\$ 1,727	\$ 2,102	\$ 2,983
Income taxes	\$ 4,485	\$ 3,140	\$ 337
Noncash:			
Interest on redeemable preferred stock	\$ 4,159	\$ 4,241	\$ 4,246
Net assets of acquired company	\$ 26,673	\$ ----	\$ ----
Acquisition financed through issuance of notes payable	\$ 18,673	\$ ----	\$ ----
Financing of capital leases	\$ ----	\$ ---	\$ 216

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TELOS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT
(amounts in thousands)

	Class A Common Stock	Class B Common Stock	Additional Paid –in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehen- sive Income	Accumulated Deficit	Non- Controlling Interest	Total Stockholders Deficit
Balance December 31, 2008	\$ 65	\$ 13	\$ 103	\$ ----	\$ (110,729)	\$ 563	\$ (109,985)
Net income for the year	----	----	----	----	1,277	1,032	2,309
Foreign currency translation income	----	----	----	17	----	----	17
Comprehensive income	----	----	----	17	1,277	1,032	2,326
Distributions	----	----	----	----	----	(1,267)	(1,267)
Balance December 31, 2009	\$ 65	\$ 13	\$ 103	\$ 17	\$ (109,452)	\$ 328	\$ (108,926)
Net income for the year	----	----	----	----	3,047	1,197	4,244
Foreign currency translation loss	----	----	----	(43)	----	----	(43)
Comprehensive (loss) income	----	----	----	(43)	3,047	1,197	4,201
Distributions	----	----	----	----	----	(1,071)	(1,071)
Balance December 31, 2010	\$ 65	\$ 13	\$ 103	\$ (26)	\$ (106,405)	\$ 454	\$ (105,796)
Net income for the year	----	----	----	----	1,454	2,049	3,503
Foreign currency translation income	----	----	----	61	----	----	61
Comprehensive income	----	----	----	61	1,454	2,049	3,564
Distributions	----	----	----	----	----	(2,122)	(2,122)
Balance December 31, 2011	\$ 65	\$ 13	\$ 103	\$ 35	\$ (104,951)	\$ 381	\$ (104,354)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TELOS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Business and Organization

Telos Corporation, together with its subsidiaries, (the “Company” or “Telos” or “We”) is an information technology solutions and services company addressing the needs of U.S. Government and commercial customers worldwide. We own all of the issued and outstanding share capital of Xacta Corporation, a subsidiary that develops, markets and sells government-validated secure enterprise solutions to government and commercial customers. We also own all of the issued and outstanding share capital of Ubiquity.com, Inc., a holding company for Xacta Corporation and Telos Delaware, Inc. We also have a 60% ownership interest in Telos Identity Management Solutions, LLC (“Telos ID”, formerly “TIMS LLC”) and a 100% ownership interest in Teloworks, Inc. (“Teloworks”).

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Telos and its subsidiaries, including Ubiquity.com, Inc., Xacta Corporation and Telos Delaware, Inc., all of whose issued and outstanding share capital is owned by the Company. We have also consolidated the results of operations of Telos ID (see Note 2 – Sale of Assets), and Teloworks (see Note 3 – Investment in Teloworks). Significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated on consolidation.

On January 1, 2009, we adopted a new accounting standard issued by the Financial Accounting Standard Board (“FASB”) that establishes accounting and reporting standards for non-controlling interests in a subsidiary in consolidated financial statements. In accordance with the requirements of this standard, we have provided a new presentation on the face of the consolidated financial statements to separately classify non-controlling interests within the equity section of the consolidated balance sheets and to separately report the amounts attributable to controlling and non-controlling interests in the consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. See Note 2 – Sale of Assets.

In preparing these consolidated financial statements, we have evaluated subsequent events through the date that these consolidated financial statements were issued.

Segment Reporting

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance. The Company currently has one reportable segment for financial reporting purposes.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”) in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements include revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, allowance for inventory obsolescence, the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets, goodwill and intangible assets, warranty obligations, income taxes, contingencies and litigation, and assumptions used in evaluating potential impairments of goodwill and intangible assets, estimated pension-related costs for our foreign subsidiaries and accretion of Public Preferred Stock. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues are recognized in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 605-10-S99, “Revenue Recognition.” We consider amounts earned upon evidence that an arrangement has been obtained, services are delivered, fees are fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Additionally, revenues on arrangements requiring the delivery of more than one product or service are recognized in accordance with ASC 605-25, “Revenue Recognition – Multiple-Element Arrangements.”

We recognize revenues for software arrangements upon persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery of the software, and determination that collection of a fixed or determinable license fee is probable. Revenues for software licenses sold on a subscription basis are recognized ratably over the related license period. For arrangements where the sale of software licenses are bundled with other products, including software products, upgrades and enhancements, post-contract customer support (“PCS”), and installation, the relative fair value of each element is determined based on vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”). VSOE is defined by ASC 985-605, “Software: Revenue Recognition,” and is limited to the price charged when the element is sold separately or, if the element is not yet sold separately, the price set by management having the relevant authority. When VSOE exists for undelivered elements, the remaining consideration is allocated to delivered elements using the residual method. If VSOE does not exist for the allocation of revenue to the various elements of the arrangement, all revenue from the arrangement is deferred until the earlier of the point at which (1) such VSOE does exist or (2) all elements of the arrangement are delivered. PCS revenues, upon being unbundled from a software license fee, are recognized ratably over the PCS period.

Substantially all of our contracts are contracts with the U.S. Government involving the complex delivery of technology products and services. Accordingly, these contracts are within the scope of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountant’s Audit and Accounting Guide for Audits of Federal Government Contractors. To the extent contracts are incomplete at the end of an accounting period, revenue is recognized on the percentage-of-completion method, on a proportional performance basis, using costs incurred in relation to total estimated costs.

We may use subcontractors and suppliers in the course of performing on contracts. Some of these arrangements may fall within the scope of ASC 605-45, “Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent.” We presume that revenues on our contracts are recognized on a gross basis, as we generally provide significant value-added services, assume credit risk, and reserve the right to select subcontractors and suppliers, but we evaluate the various criteria specified in the guidance in making the determination of whether revenue should be recognized on a gross or net basis.

A description of the business lines, the typical deliverables, and the revenue recognition criteria in general for such deliverables follows:

Secure Networks – We provide wireless and wired networking solutions consisting of hardware and services to our customers. Also within the Secure Networks solution area is our Emerging Technologies Group, which creates innovative, custom-tailored solutions for government and commercial enterprises. The solutions within Secure Networks and Emerging Technologies are generally sold as FFP bundled solutions. Certain of these networking solutions involve contracts to design, develop, or modify complex electronic equipment configurations to a buyer’s specification or to provide network engineering services related to the performance of such contracts, and as such fall within the scope of ASC 605-35 “Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts”. Revenue is earned upon percentage of completion based upon proportional performance, such performance generally being defined by performance milestones. Certain other solutions fall within the scope of ASC 605-10-S99, such as resold information technology products, like laptops, printers, networking equipment and peripherals, and ASC 605-25. Revenue is recognized based upon objective reliable evidence of fair value of the elements, such as upon delivery of the hardware product or other direct costs (“ODC”) and the ongoing maintenance. For product sales, revenue is recognized upon proof of acceptance by the customer, otherwise it is deferred until such time as the proof of acceptance is obtained. For example, in delivery orders for Department of Defense customers, which comprise the majority of the Company’s customers, such acceptance is achieved with a signed Department of Defense Form DD-250. Services provided under these contracts are generally provided on a FFP basis, and as such fall within the scope of ASC 605-10-S99. Revenue for services is recognized based on proportional performance, as the work progresses. FFP services may be billed to the customer on a percentage-of-completion basis or based upon milestones, which may approximate the proportional performance of the services under the agreements, as specified in such agreements. To the extent that customer billings exceed the performance of the specified services, the revenue would be deferred. Revenue is recognized under T&M services contracts based upon specified billing rates and other direct costs as incurred.

Information Assurance – We provide Xacta IA Manager software and cybersecurity services to our customers. The software and accompanying services fall within the scope of ASC 985-605, “Software Revenue Recognition,” as fully discussed above. We provide consulting services to our customers under either a FFP or T&M basis. Such contracts fall under the scope of ASC 605-10-S99. Revenue for FFP services is recognized on a proportional performance basis. FFP services may be billed to the customer on a percentage-of-completion basis or based upon milestones, which may approximate the proportional performance of the services under the agreements, as specified in such agreements. To the extent that customer billings exceed the performance of the specified services, the revenue would be deferred. Revenue is recognized under T&M contracts based upon specified billing rates and other direct costs as incurred.

Secure Communications (formerly Secure Messaging) – We provide Secure Information eXchange (T-6) suite of products which include the flagship product the Automated Message Handling System (“AMHS”), Secure Collaboration, Secure Discovery, Secure Directory and Cross Domain Communication, as well as related services to our customers. The system and accompanying services fall within the scope of ASC 985-605, as fully discussed above. Other services fall within the scope of ASC 605-10-S99 for arrangements that include only time-and-materials (“T&M”) contracts and ASC 605-25 for contracts with multiple deliverables such as T&M elements and firm-fixed price (“FFP”) services where objective reliable evidence of fair value of the elements is available. Under such arrangements, the T&M elements are established by direct costs. Revenue is recognized on T&M contracts according to specified rates as direct labor and other direct costs are incurred. Revenue for FFP services is recognized on a proportional performance basis. FFP services may be billed to the customer on a percentage-of-completion basis or based upon milestones, which may approximate the proportional performance of the services under the agreements, as specified in such agreements. To the extent that customer billings exceed the performance of the specified services, the revenue would be deferred.

Identity Management – We provide our identity assurance and access management solutions and services and sell information technology products, such as computer laptops and specialized printers, and consumables, such as identity cards, to our customers. The solutions are generally sold as FFP bundled solutions, which would typically fall within the scope of ASC 605-25 and ASC 605-10-S99. Revenue is recognized based upon objective reliable evidence of fair value of the elements, such as upon delivery of the hardware product or ODC's and the ongoing maintenance. Revenue for services is recognized based on proportional performance, as the work progresses. FFP services may be billed to the customer on a percentage-of-completion basis or based upon milestones, which may approximate the proportional performance of the services under the agreements, as specified in such agreements. To the extent that customer billings exceed the performance of the specified services, the revenue would be deferred. Revenue is recognized under T&M contracts based upon specified billing rates and other direct costs as incurred.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Our cash management program utilizes zero balance accounts. Accordingly, all book overdraft balances have been reclassified to accounts payable and other accrued payables, to the extent that availability of funds exists on our revolving credit facility.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at the invoiced amount, less allowances for doubtful accounts. Collectability of accounts receivable is regularly reviewed based upon managements' knowledge of the specific circumstances related to overdue balances. The allowance for doubtful accounts is adjusted based on such evaluation. Accounts receivable balances are written off against the allowance when management deems the balances uncollectible.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value, where cost is determined on the weighted average method. Substantially all inventories consist of purchased customer off-the-shelf hardware and software, and component computer parts used in connection with system integration services that we perform. An allowance for obsolete, slow-moving or nonsalable inventory is provided for all other inventory. This allowance is based on our overall obsolescence experience and our assessment of future inventory requirements. This charge is taken primarily due to the age of the specific inventory and the significant additional costs that would be necessary to upgrade to current standards as well as the lack of forecasted sales for such inventory in the near future. Gross inventory is \$15.0 million and \$7.6 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, it is management's judgment that we have fully provided for any potential inventory obsolescence.

The components of the allowance for inventory obsolescence are set forth below (in thousands):

	Balance Beginning of Year	Additions Charge to Costs and Expense	Deductions	Balance End of Year
Year Ended December 31, 2011	\$ 319	\$ 51	\$ (55)	\$ 315
Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$ 241	\$ 78	\$ ----	\$ 319
Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 1,709	\$ 84	\$ (1,552)	\$ 241

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method at rates based on the estimated useful lives of the individual assets or classes of assets as follows:

Buildings	20 Years
Machinery and equipment	3-5 Years
Office furniture and fixtures	5 Years
Leasehold improvements	Lesser of life of lease or useful life of asset

Leased property meeting certain criteria is capitalized at the present value of the related minimum lease payments. Amortization of property and equipment under capital leases is computed on the straight-line method over the lesser of the term of the related lease and the useful life of the related asset.

Upon sale or retirement of property and equipment, the costs and related accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the accounts, and any gain or loss on such disposition is reflected in the consolidated statements of operations. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, such amounts are negligible. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to operations as incurred.

Our policy on internal use software is in accordance with ASC 350, "Intangibles- Goodwill and Other." This standard requires companies to capitalize qualifying computer software costs which are incurred during the application development stage and amortize them over the software's estimated useful life. We expensed all such software development costs in 2011, 2010 and 2009, as we believe that such amounts are immaterial.

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment, including property and equipment under capital leases was \$1.6 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Other Assets

The balance of other assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 consists of refundable deposits in the amount of \$280,000 and \$351,000, respectively.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, "Income Taxes." Under ASC 740, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences of temporary differences and income tax credits. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured by applying enacted statutory tax rates that are applicable to the future years in which deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be settled or realized for differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. Any change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in net income in the period in which the tax rate change is enacted. We record a valuation allowance that reduces deferred tax assets when it is "more likely than not" that deferred tax assets will not be realized.

We follow the provisions of ASC 740 related to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. The accounting estimates related to liabilities for uncertain tax positions require us to make judgments regarding the sustainability of each uncertain tax position based on its technical merits. If we determine it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained based on its technical merits, we record the impact of the position in our consolidated financial statements at the largest amount that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. These estimates are updated at each reporting date based on the facts, circumstances and information available. We are also required to assess at each reporting date whether it is reasonably possible that any significant increases or decreases to our unrecognized tax benefits will occur during the next twelve months.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

We evaluate the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets in accordance with ASC No. 350, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets," which requires goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets to be assessed on at least an annual basis for impairment using a fair value basis. Between annual evaluations, if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount, then impairment must be evaluated. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to: (1) a significant adverse change in legal factors or business climate, or (2) a loss of key contracts or customers.

As the result of an acquisition, we record any excess purchase price over the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired as goodwill. An allocation of the purchase price to tangible and intangible net assets acquired is based upon our valuation of the acquired assets. Goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to annual impairment tests. We complete goodwill impairment tests at least annually as of September 30 each year. We estimate fair value of our reporting unit and compare the valuation with the respective carrying value for the reporting unit to determine whether any goodwill impairment exists. If we determine through the impairment review process that goodwill is impaired, we will record an impairment charge in our consolidated statement of operations. Goodwill is amortized and deducted over a 15-year period for tax purposes.

Other intangible assets consist primarily of customer relationship enhancements. Intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives of 5 years. The amortization is based on a forecast of approximately equal annual customer orders over the 5-year period. Amortization expense for 2011 was \$1.1 million and will be approximately \$2.3 million for the next 5 years. Intangible assets are subject to impairment review if there are events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying amount is not recoverable. As of December 31, 2011, no impairment charges were taken.

Other intangible asset consists of the following:

	Cost	Accumulated Amortization
Other intangible asset	\$ 11,286	\$ 1,129
	<u>\$ 11,286</u>	<u>\$ 1,129</u>

Stock-Based Compensation

We follow ASC 718, "Stock Compensation". Under this method, compensation cost is recognized based on the requirements of ASC 718 for all share-based awards granted. There were no options granted on or after December 31, 2005.

Restricted Stock Grants

In June 2008, we issued 4,774,273 shares of restricted stock (Class A common) in exchange for the majority of stock options outstanding under the Telos Corporation, Xacta Corporation and Telos Delaware, Inc. stock option plans. In addition, we granted 7,141,501 shares of restricted stock to our executive officers and employees. In September 2008 and December 2009, we granted 480,000 shares and 80,000 shares, respectively, of restricted stock to certain of our directors. In February 2011, we granted an additional 2,330,804 shares of restricted stock to our executive officers, directors and employees. In March 2012, we granted an additional 10,000 shares to our employee. Such stock is subject to a vesting schedule as follows: 25% of the restricted stock vests immediately on the date of grant, thereafter, an additional 25% will vest annually on the anniversary of the date of grant subject to continued employment or services. In accordance with ASC 718, we reported no compensation expense for any of the issuances as the value of the common stock was negligible, based on the deduction of our outstanding debt, capital lease obligations, and preferred stock from an estimated enterprise value, which was estimated based on discounted cash flow analysis, comparable public company analysis, and comparable transaction analysis.

Research and Development

For all years presented, we charge all research and development costs to expense as incurred. For software research and development costs, such costs are capitalized once technological feasibility is reached. Technological feasibility is established when all planning, designing, coding and testing activities have been completed, and all risks have been identified. To date, no such costs have been capitalized, as costs incurred after reaching technological feasibility have been insignificant. During 2011, 2010, and 2009, we incurred salary costs for research and development of approximately \$1.0 million, \$0.8 million, and \$1.3 million, respectively, which are recorded as selling, general and administrative expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Earnings per Share

As we do not have publicly held common stock or potential common stock, no earnings per share data is reported for any of the years presented.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes changes in equity (net assets) during a period from non-owner sources. Our comprehensive income is comprised of a loss from foreign currency translation of \$18,000 and actuarial gain on pension liability adjustment in Teloworks of \$53,000.

Financial Instruments

We use various methods and assumptions to estimate the fair value of our financial instruments. Due to their short-term nature, the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximates fair value. The fair value of long-term debt is based on the discounted cash flows for similar term borrowings based on market prices for the same or similar issues. See Note 5 – Fair Value Measurements for fair value disclosures of the ITL notes and senior redeemable preferred stock.

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve matters of judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements – a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issue Task Force (“ASU No. 2009-13”). The objective of this Update is to address the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-17, “Revenue Recognition - Milestone Method.” ASU 2010-17 allows, but not require, an entity to make an accounting policy election to recognize a payment that is contingent upon the achievement of a substantive milestone in its entirety in the period in which the milestone is achieved. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, “Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (International Financial Reporting Standards).” This guidance is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011, and will be applied prospectively beginning in the period of adoption. The amendments change the wording used to describe requirements for measuring fair value under U.S. GAAP to be more consistent with IFRSs. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, “Presentation of Comprehensive Income.” ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders’ equity, which is our current presentation, and also requires presentation of reclassification adjustments from other comprehensive income to net income on the face of the financial statements. ASU 2011-05 is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 will have no impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, though it will change our financial statement presentation.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, “Testing Goodwill for Impairment,” that amends the accounting guidance on goodwill impairment testing. The amended guidance will allow companies to assess qualitative factors to determine if it is more-likely-than-not that goodwill might be impaired and whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test required under current accounting standards. This guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2009 consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year presentation.

Note 2. Sale of Assets

On April 11, 2007, Telos ID was formed as a limited liability company under the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act. We contributed substantially all of the assets of our Identity Management business line and assigned our rights to perform under our U.S. Government contract with the Defense Manpower Data Center ("DMDC") to Telos ID at their stated book values. The net book value of assets we contributed totaled \$17,000. Until April 19, 2007, we owned 99.999% of the membership interests of Telos ID and certain private equity investors ("Investors") owned 0.001% of the membership interests of Telos ID. On April 20, 2007, we sold an additional 39.999% of the membership interests to the Investors in exchange for \$6 million in cash consideration. In accordance with ASC 505-10, "Equity-Overall," we recognized a gain of \$5.8 million. As a result, we own 60% of Telos ID, and therefore continue to account for the investment in Telos ID using the consolidation method.

The Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of Telos ID ("Operating Agreement") provides for a Board of Directors comprised of five members. Pursuant to the Operating Agreement, John B. Wood, Chairman and CEO of Telos, has been designated as the Chairman of the Board of Telos ID. The Operating Agreement also provides for two subclasses of membership units: Class A, held by us and Class B, held by certain private equity investors. The Class A membership unit owns 60% of Telos ID, as mentioned above, and as such is allocated 60% of the profits, which was \$3.1 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.6 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and is entitled to appoint three members of the Board of Directors. The Class B membership unit owns 40% of Telos ID, and as such is allocated 40% of the profits, which was \$2.0 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and is entitled to appoint two members of the Board of Directors. The Class B membership unit is the non-controlling interest.

In accordance with the Operating Agreement, quarterly distributions of \$450,000 were required to be made to the Class B members for the initial eighteen month period after the sale of Telos ID membership interests. Further, subsequent to the initial eighteen month period, distributions were to be made to the members only when and to the extent determined by the Telos ID's Board of Directors, in accordance with the Operating Agreement. During the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Class B membership unit received a total of \$2.1 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, of such distributions.

The following table details the changes in non-controlling interest for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	2011	2010	2009
Non-controlling interest, beginning of period	\$ 454	\$ 328	\$ 563
Net income	2,049	1,197	1,032
Distributions	(2,122)	(1,071)	(1,267)
Non-controlling interest, end of period	\$ 381	\$ 454	\$ 328

Note 3. Investment in Teloworks

Effective January 1, 2008, Telos owns 100% of Teloworks. As previously reported, we had recorded all funding to Teloworks as expense in our consolidated statement of operations. Effective January 1, 2009, we accounted for the investment in Teloworks using the consolidation method. The effect of not previously consolidating Teloworks amounted to \$160,000, which was immaterial to all prior periods and was reflected as an increase in the net income attributable to the Company for the year ended December 31, 2009.

In 2008, Teloworks formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, Teloworks BPO Solutions Philippines, Inc., for the purpose of starting up a business-process outsourcing business in the Philippines, which began operations in the third quarter of 2009 but had not generated significant revenue, and in January 2011 operations were suspended. The results of this entity, which are immaterial to our consolidated financial statements, have also been consolidated.

Note 4. Investment in Enterworks

As of December 31, 2011, we owned 671,301 shares of common stock, 729,732 shares of Series A-1 Preferred Stock, 1,793,903 shares of Series B-1 Preferred Stock, and 8,571,429 shares of Series D Preferred Stock of Enterworks, Inc. (“Enterworks”), and warrants to purchase 1,785,714 underlying common stock shares, representing a fully diluted ownership percentage of 8.2% of Enterworks. Since our initial investment in Enterworks, we accounted for such investment under the equity method of accounting, due to our significant influence on Enterworks’ operations through our representation on the Board of Directors of Enterworks. However, effective January 1, 2008, we discontinued the equity method of accounting for our investment in Enterworks due to our significantly diminished role in Enterworks’ operations. We previously reduced the carrying value of our investment in Enterworks to zero.

Note 5. Fair Value Measurements

The accounting standard for fair value measurements provides a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The framework requires the valuation of investments using a three-tiered approach. The statement requires fair value measurement to be classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets and liabilities;

Level 2: Quoted prices in the markets that are not active, or inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; or

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e. supported by little or no market activity).

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we did not have any financial instruments with significant Level 3 inputs and we did not have any financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

On May 17, 2010, in accordance with the amended Wells Fargo Facility’s (as defined in Note 7 – Current Liabilities and Debt Obligations) stated use of proceeds, \$4.2 million was paid to the holders of the Company’s senior subordinated notes (the “Subordinated Notes”) (see Note 7 – Current Liabilities and Debt Obligations). Accordingly, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the carrying value of the Subordinated Notes was zero.

At December 31, 2011, as a result of the acquisition of IT Logistics, Inc. (“ITL”) (see Note 15 – Acquisition of IT Logistics, Inc.), there were two notes payable to the seller of ITL. The \$7 million note was recorded at a fair value of \$6.9 million at the acquisition date. The outstanding balance of the \$7 million note was \$3.5 million as of December 31, 2011. Additionally, the \$15 million subordinated promissory note was recorded at its fair value of \$11.7 million and has been accreted to its current carrying value of \$12.1 million as of December 31, 2011. The \$15 million subordinated promissory note will be accreted to its face value over 60 months.

On September 27, 2010, 4.9% of the senior redeemable preferred stock (the “Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock”) was redeemed for \$430,000 and subsequently on April 8, 2011, 22.3% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock was redeemed for \$2.1 million (see Note 8 – Redeemable Preferred Stock). As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the carrying value of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock was \$8.2 million and \$10.2 million, respectively. Since there have been no material changes in the Company’s financial condition and no material modifications to the financial instruments, other than the redemption of 22.3% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, the estimated fair value of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock remains consistent with those disclosed as of December 31, 2010, adjusted for the accrual of dividends and the redemption as mentioned above on the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the carrying value of the Company’s 12% Cumulative Exchangeable Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share (the “Public Preferred Stock”) was \$108.6 million and \$104.8 million, respectively, and the estimated fair market value was \$65.3 million and \$66.9 million, respectively, based on quoted market prices.

Note 6. Revenue and Accounts Receivable

Revenue resulting from contracts and subcontracts with the U.S. Government accounted for 99.1%, 97.4%, and 98.6% of consolidated revenue in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. As our primary customer base includes agencies of the U.S. Government, we have a concentration of credit risk associated with our accounts receivable, as 98.3% of our billed accounts receivable were directly with U.S. Government customers. While we acknowledge the potentially material and adverse risk of such a significant concentration of credit risk, our past experience of collecting substantially all of such receivables provide us with an informed basis that such risk, if any, is manageable. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of all of our customers and generally do not require collateral or other guarantee from our customers. We maintain allowances for potential losses.

The components of accounts receivable are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Billed accounts receivable	\$ 26,299	\$ 41,422
Amounts currently billable	11,022	13,021
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(375)	(358)
	\$ 36,946	\$ 54,085

The activities in the allowance for doubtful accounts are set forth below (in thousands):

	Balance Beginning of Year	Bad Debt Expenses (1)	Deductions (2)	Balance End of Year
Year ended December 31, 2011	\$ 358	\$ 17	\$ ----	\$ 375
Year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 363	\$ (3)	\$ (2)	\$ 358
Year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 225	\$ 138	\$ ----	\$ 363

(1) Accounts receivable reserves and reversal of allowance for subsequent collections, net

(2) Accounts receivable written-off and subsequent recoveries, net

Revenue by Major Market and Significant Customers

We derived substantially all of our revenues from contracts and subcontracts with the U.S. Government. Revenue by customer sector for the last three fiscal years is as follows:

	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010</u>		<u>2009</u>	
	(dollar amounts in thousands)					
Federal	\$ 188,162	99.1%	\$ 220,017	97.4%	\$ 271,862	98.6%
Commercial	1,726	0.9%	5,780	2.6%	3,819	1.4%
Total	\$ 189,888	100.0%	\$ 225,797	100.0%	\$ 275,681	100.0%

Note 7. Current Liabilities and Debt Obligations

Accounts Payable and Other Accrued Payables

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the accounts payable and other accrued payables consisted of \$16.0 million and \$22.6 million, respectively, in trade account payables and \$5.9 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, in accrued trade payables.

Senior Revolving Credit Facility

On May 17, 2010, we amended our \$25 million revolving credit facility (the “Facility”) with Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. (“Wells Fargo”). Under the amended terms, the maturity date of the Facility was extended to May 17, 2014 from September 30, 2011, the limit on the Facility was increased to \$30 million from \$25 million, and a term loan component of \$7.5 million was added to the Facility. The principal of the term loan component will be repaid in quarterly installments of \$93,750, with a final installment of the unpaid principal amount payable on May 17, 2014. The interest rate on the term loan component is the same as that on the revolving credit component of the Facility, which was changed to the higher of the Wells Fargo Bank “prime rate” plus 1%, the Federal Funds rate plus 1.5%, or the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 2%. In lieu of having interest charged at the foregoing rates, the Company may elect to have the interest on all or a portion of the advances on the revolving credit component be a rate based on the LIBOR Rate (as defined in the Facility) plus 3.75%. As of December 31, 2011, we have not elected the LIBOR Rate option. Borrowings under the Facility continue to be collateralized by substantially all of the Company’s assets including inventory, equipment, and accounts receivable. The financial covenants were updated to include minimum EBITDA (as defined in the Facility), minimum recurring revenue and a limit on capital expenditures. The Facility’s anniversary fee was discontinued and the collateral management fee was reduced. Additionally, the Facility was amended to permit the full repayment of the entire principal amount of the Subordinated Notes (as defined below).

In accordance with the stated use of proceeds for the term loan component of the Facility, \$4.2 million was paid concurrent with the closing of the amendment to each of the holders of the Subordinated Notes (as defined below) in full repayment of the principal and accrued but unpaid interest on the Subordinated Notes through May 17, 2010.

On September 27, 2010, the Facility was further amended to allow for the redemption of up to \$2.5 million of the aggregate value of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock at a discount from par value of at least 10%. On September 27, 2010 and on April 8, 2011, a portion of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock was redeemed (see Note 8 – Redeemable Preferred Stock).

As of December 31, 2011, the interest rate on the Facility was 4.25%. We incurred interest expense in the amount of \$0.7 million, \$0.7 million, and \$1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, on the Facility.

The Facility has various covenants that may, among other things, affect our ability to merge with another entity, sell or transfer certain assets, pay dividends and make other distributions beyond certain limitations. As of December 31, 2011, we were in compliance with the Facility’s financial covenants, including EBITDA covenants. The term loan component of the Facility amortizes at 5% per year, or \$0.4 million, which is paid in quarterly installments and is classified as current on the consolidated balance sheets. The remaining balance of the term loan, or \$6.5 million, and the revolving component of the Facility mature over the period 2011 through 2014.

At December 31, 2011, we had outstanding borrowings of \$17.9 million on the Facility, which included the \$6.9 million term loan, of which \$0.4 million was short-term. At December 31, 2010, the outstanding borrowings on the Facility were \$14.2 million. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had unused borrowing availability on the Facility of \$5.3 million and \$9.1 million, respectively. The effective weighted average interest rates on the outstanding borrowings under the Facility were 6.3% and 7.0% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following are maturities of the Facility presented by year (in thousands):

	2012	2013	2014	Total
Short-term:				
Term loan	\$ 375	\$ ----	\$ ----	\$ 375 ¹
Long-term:				
Term loan	\$ ----	\$ 375	\$ 6,188	\$ 6,563 ¹
Revolving credit	----	----	10,938	10,938 ²
Subtotal	\$ ----	\$ 375	\$ 17,126	\$ 17,501
Total	\$ 375	\$ 375	\$ 17,126	\$ 17,876

¹ The principal will be repaid in quarterly installments of \$93,750, with a final installment of the unpaid principal amount payable on May 17, 2014.

² Balance due represents balance as of December 31, 2011, with fluctuating balances based on working capital requirements of the Company.

Notes payable – IT Logistics, Inc.

On July 1, 2011, we entered into, and concurrently completed the transactions contemplated by, the Asset Purchase Agreement (the “Agreement”) with IT Logistics Inc., an Alabama Corporation (“ITL”), and its sole stockholder, see Note 15 – Acquisition of IT Logistics, Inc. The Agreement provides for the purchase of certain assets relating to the operation of ITL’s business of providing survey, design, engineering, and installation services of inside and outside plant secure networking infrastructure and program management expertise. Under the terms of the Agreement, Telos assumed certain liabilities of ITL, principally liabilities that accrue on or after July 1, 2011, under certain contracts assumed by Telos.

The purchase price for the assets (in addition to the assumed liabilities described above) consisted of (1) \$8 million payable on July 1, 2011, (2) \$7 million payable in ten monthly payments of \$700,000, together with interest on the unpaid balance of such amount at the rate of 0.50% per annum, beginning on August 1, 2011 and on the first day of each subsequent month thereafter, and (3) a subordinated promissory note (the “Note”) with a principal amount of \$15 million. The Note accrues interest at a rate of 6.0% per annum beginning November 1, 2012, and is payable on July 1, 2041. The entire unpaid principal balance plus accrued and unpaid interest is due and payable upon the occurrence of a Change in Control (as defined in the Note), provided that all “Senior Obligations” are satisfied prior to or concurrent with such Change in Control. For purposes of the Note, “Senior Obligations” means, collectively, all (1) outstanding indebtedness of Telos, and (2) amounts due to the holders of the outstanding shares of the Company’s Series A-1 Redeemable Preferred Stock, Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock, and 12% Cumulative Exchangeable Preferred Stock (or any securities redeemable or exchangeable for any of the foregoing) upon a Change in Control, upon the voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the affairs of Telos, or otherwise.

At December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance of the \$7 million note was \$3.5 million. Additionally, the \$15 million subordinated promissory note was recorded at its fair value of \$11.7 million and has been accreted to its current carrying value of \$12.1 million as of December 31, 2011. The \$15 million subordinated promissory note will be accreted to its face value over 60 months, when we estimate repayment. We incurred interest expense in the amount of \$12,000 on the \$7 million note in 2011.

Senior Subordinated Notes

In 1995, we issued Senior Subordinated Notes (“Subordinated Notes”) to certain shareholders. Such Subordinated Notes were classified as either Series B or Series C. The Series B Subordinated Notes were secured by our property and equipment, but subordinate to the security interests of Wells Fargo under the Facility. The Series C Subordinated Notes were unsecured. The maturity date of such Subordinated Notes had been extended to December 31, 2011, with interest rates ranging from 14% to 17%, and paid quarterly on January 1, April 1, July 1, and October 1 of each year. The Subordinated Notes could be prepaid at our option; however, the Subordinated Notes contained a cumulative prepayment premium of 13.5% per annum payable upon certain circumstances, which included, but were not limited to, an initial public offering of our common stock or a significant refinancing (“qualifying triggering event”), to the extent that sufficient net proceeds from either of the above events were received to pay such cumulative prepayment premium. Due to the contingent nature of the cumulative prepayment premium, any associated premium expense could only be quantified and recorded subsequent to the occurrence of such a qualifying triggering event.

On May 17, 2010, the principal balances of the Subordinated Notes plus accrued but unpaid interest totaling \$4.2 million were paid in full, with the prepayment penalties on the repayment waived by the note holders. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, we incurred interest expense in the amount of \$0, \$0.2 million, and \$0.6 million, respectively, on the Subordinated Notes.

Note 8. Redeemable Preferred Stock*Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock*

The Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock is senior to all other outstanding equity of the Company, including the Public Preferred Stock. The Series A-1 ranks on a parity with the Series A-2. The components of the authorized Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock are 1,250 shares of Series A-1 and 1,750 shares of Series A-2 Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, each with \$.01 par value. The Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock carries a cumulative per annum dividend rate of 14.125% of its liquidation value of \$1,000 per share. The dividends are payable semiannually on June 30 and December 31 of each year. We have not declared dividends on our Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock since its issuance. The liquidation preference of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock is the face amount of the Series A-1 and A-2 (\$1,000 per share), plus all accrued and unpaid dividends.

Due to the terms of the Facility and of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, we have been and continue to be precluded from paying any accrued and unpaid dividends on the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, other than described below. Certain holders of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock have entered into standby agreements whereby, among other things, those holders will not demand any payments in respect of dividends or redemptions of their instruments and the maturity dates of the instruments have been extended. As a result of such standby agreements, as of December 31, 2011, instruments held by Toxford Corporation (“Toxford”), the holder of 76.4% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, will mature on August 31, 2014.

On September 27, 2010, the Facility was amended to allow for the redemption of up to \$2.5 million of the aggregate value of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock under certain conditions, the most significant being the redemption at a discount from par value of at least 10%. In accordance with the terms of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, however, any redemption must be pro rata among the holders of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock unless such holders waive their rights to have their shares redeemed. Due to the limitations, contractual restrictions, and agreements described above, the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock is classified as noncurrent as of December 31, 2011.

On September 27, 2010, with the consent and waiver of the remaining holders of the outstanding shares of Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4.9% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock with a carrying value of \$522,000 was redeemed for \$430,000, resulting in a gain in the amount of \$92,000, representing a discount of approximately 17.5%, which was recorded in other income on the consolidated statements of operations.

On or about March 15, 2011, Mr. John Porter, the beneficial owner of 44.0% of our Class A Common Stock, acquired a total of 75 shares and 105 shares of Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock, respectively, from other holders of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock. As of December 31, 2011, Mr. Porter held 6.3% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, of which 3.1% matured on December 31, 2011. In the aggregate, as of December 31, 2011, Mr. Porter and Toxford held a total of 763 shares and 1,069 shares of Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock, respectively, or 82.7% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock. Mr. Porter is the sole stockholder of Toxford.

On April 8, 2011, with the consent of the holders of the outstanding shares of Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, 22.3% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock with a carrying value of \$2.3 million was redeemed for \$2.1 million, resulting in a gain in the amount of approximately \$0.2 million, representing a discount of 10%, which was recorded in other income on the consolidated statements of operations. Subsequent to such redemption, the total number of shares of Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock issued and outstanding was 923 shares and 1,292 shares for Series A-1 and Series A-2, respectively.

The total number of shares of Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 923 shares and 1,188 shares for Series A-1, respectively; and 1,292 shares and 1,664 shares for Series A-2, respectively.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, cumulative undeclared, unpaid dividends relating to Senior Redeemable Preferred stock totaled \$6.0 million and \$7.4 million, respectively. We accrued dividends on the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock of \$337,000, \$418,000 and \$423,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, which were reported as interest expense. Prior to the effective date of ASC 480-10, "Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity," on July 1, 2003, such dividends were charged to stockholders' deficit.

12% Cumulative Exchangeable Redeemable Preferred Stock

A maximum of 6,000,000 shares of the Public Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share, has been authorized for issuance. We initially issued 2,858,723 shares of the Public Preferred Stock pursuant to the acquisition of the Company during fiscal year 1990. The Public Preferred Stock was recorded at fair value on the date of original issue, November 21, 1989, and we made periodic accretions under the interest method of the excess of the redemption value over the recorded value. We adjusted our estimate of accrued accretion in the amount of \$1.5 million in the second quarter of 2006. The Public Preferred Stock was fully accreted as of December 2008. We declared stock dividends totaling 736,863 shares in 1990 and 1991. Since 1991, no other dividends, in stock or cash, have been declared. In November 1998, we retired 410,000 shares of the Public Preferred Stock. The total number of shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010, was 3,185,586. The Public Preferred Stock is no longer quoted on the OTCBB, and is now quoted as TLSRP on the OTCQB marketplace.

Since 1991, no other dividends were declared or paid on our Public Preferred Stock, based upon our interpretation of restrictions in our Articles of Amendment and Restatement, limitations in the terms of the Public Preferred Stock instrument, specific dividend payment restrictions in the Facility entered into with Wells Fargo to which the Public Preferred Stock is subject, other senior obligations, and Maryland law limitations in existence prior to October 1, 2009. Pursuant to their terms, we were scheduled, but not required, to redeem the Public Preferred Stock in five annual tranches during the period 2005 through 2009. However, due to our substantial senior obligations, limitations set forth in the covenants in the Facility, foreseeable capital and operational requirements, and restrictions and prohibitions of our Articles of Amendment and Restatement, we were unable to meet the redemption schedule set forth in the terms of the Public Preferred Stock. Moreover, the Public Preferred Stock is not payable on demand, nor callable, for failure to redeem the Public Preferred Stock in accordance with the redemption schedule set forth in the instrument. Therefore, we classify these securities as noncurrent liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010.

We are parties with certain of our subsidiaries to the Facility agreement with Wells Fargo, whose term expires on May 17, 2014. Under the Facility, we agreed that, so long as any credit under the Facility is available and until full and final payment of the obligations under the Facility, we would not make any distribution or declare or pay any dividends (other than common stock) on our stock, or purchase, acquire, or redeem any stock, or exchange any stock for indebtedness, or retire any stock.

Accordingly, as stated above, we will continue to classify the entirety of our obligation to redeem the Public Preferred Stock as a long-term obligation. The Facility prohibits, among other things, the redemption of any stock, common or preferred, other than as described above. The Public Preferred Stock by its terms cannot be redeemed if doing so would violate the terms of an agreement regarding the borrowing of funds or the extension of credit which is binding upon us or any of our subsidiaries, and it does not include any other provisions that would otherwise require any acceleration of the redemption of or amortization payments with respect to the Public Preferred Stock. Thus, the Public Preferred Stock is not and will not be due on demand, nor callable, within twelve months from December 31, 2011. This classification is consistent with ASC 210-10, "Balance Sheet" and 470-10, "Debt" and the FASB ASC Master Glossary definition of "Current Liabilities."

ASC 210-10 and the FASB ASC Master Glossary define current liabilities as follows: The term current liabilities is used principally to designate obligations whose liquidation is reasonably expected to require the use of existing resources properly classifiable as current assets, or the creation of other current liabilities. As a balance sheet category, the classification is intended to include obligations for items which have entered into the operating cycle, such as payables incurred in the acquisition of materials and supplies to be used in the production of goods or in providing services to be offered for sale; collections received in advance of the delivery of goods or performance of services; and debts that arise from operations directly related to the operating cycle, such as accruals for wages, salaries, commissions, rentals, royalties, and income and other taxes. Other liabilities whose regular and ordinary liquidation is expected to occur within a relatively short period of time, usually twelve months, are also intended for inclusion, such as short-term debts arising from the acquisition of capital assets, serial maturities of long-term obligations, amounts required to be expended within one year under sinking fund provisions, and agency obligations arising from the collection or acceptance of cash or other assets for the account of third persons.

ASC 470-10 provides the following: The current liability classification is also intended to include obligations that, by their terms, are due on demand or will be due on demand within one year (or operating cycle, if longer) from the balance sheet date, even though liquidation may not be expected within that period. It is also intended to include long-term obligations that are or will be callable by the creditor either because the debtor's violation of a provision of the debt agreement at the balance sheet date makes the obligation callable or because the violation, if not cured within a specified grace period, will make the obligation callable.

If, pursuant to the terms of the Public Preferred Stock, we do not redeem the Public Preferred Stock in accordance with the scheduled redemptions described above, the terms of the Public Preferred Stock require us to discharge our obligation to redeem the Public Preferred Stock as soon as we are financially capable and legally permitted to do so. Therefore, by its very terms, the Public Preferred Stock is not due on demand or callable for failure to make a scheduled payment pursuant to its redemption provisions and is properly classified as a noncurrent liability.

We pay dividends on the Public Preferred Stock when and if declared by the Board of Directors. The Public Preferred Stock accrues a semi-annual dividend at the annual rate of 12% (\$1.20) per share, based on the liquidation preference of \$10 per share and is fully cumulative. Dividends in additional shares of the Public Preferred Stock for 1990 and 1991 were paid at the rate of 6% of a share for each \$.60 of such dividends not paid in cash. For the cash dividends payable since December 1, 1995, the Company has accrued \$76.8 million and \$72.9 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In accordance with ASC 480-10, both the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock and the Public Preferred Stock have been reclassified from equity to liability. Consequently, for the periods ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, dividends totaling \$4.2 million each were accrued and reported as interest expense in the respective periods. Prior to the effective date of ASC 480-10 on July 1, 2003, such dividends were charged to stockholders' accumulated deficit.

The carrying value of the accrued Paid-in-Kind ("PIK") dividends on the Public Preferred Stock for the period 1992 through June 1995 was \$4.0 million. Had we accrued such dividends on a cash basis for this time period, the total amount accrued would have been \$15.1 million. However, as a result of the redemption of the 410,000 shares of the Public Preferred Stock in November 1998, such amounts were reduced and adjusted to \$3.5 million and \$13.4 million, respectively. Our Articles of Amendment and Restatement, Section 2(a) states, "Any dividends payable with respect to the Exchangeable Preferred Stock ("Public Preferred Stock") during the first six years after the Effective Date (November 20, 1989) may be paid (subject to restrictions under applicable state law), in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors, in cash or by issuing additional fully paid and nonassessable shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock ..." Accordingly, the Board had the discretion to pay the dividends for the referenced period in cash or by the issuance of additional shares of Public Preferred Stock. During the period in which we stated our intent to pay PIK dividends, we stated our intention to amend our Charter to permit such payment by the issuance of additional shares of Public Preferred Stock. In consequence, as required by applicable accounting requirements, the accrual for these dividends was recorded at the estimated fair value (as the average of the ask and bid prices) on the dividend date of the shares of Public Preferred Stock that would have been (but were not) issued. This accrual was \$9.9 million lower than the accrual would be if the intent was only to pay the dividend in cash, at that date or any later date.

In May 2006, the Board concluded that the accrual of PIK dividends for the period 1992 through June 1995 was no longer appropriate. Since 1995, we have disclosed in the footnotes to our audited financial statements the carrying value of the accrued PIK dividends on the Public Preferred Stock for the period 1992 through June 1995 as \$4.0 million, and that had we accrued cash dividends during this time period, the total amount accrued would have been \$15.1 million. As stated above, such amounts were reduced and adjusted to \$3.5 million and \$13.4 million, respectively, due to the redemption of 410,000 shares of the Public Preferred Stock in November 1998. On May 12, 2006, the Board voted to confirm that our intent with respect to the payment of dividends on the Public Preferred Stock for this period changed from its previously stated intent to pay PIK dividends to that of an intent to pay cash dividends. We therefore changed the accrual from \$3.5 million to \$13.4 million, the result of which was to increase our negative shareholder equity by the \$9.9 million difference between those two amounts, by recording an additional \$9.9 million charge to interest expense for the second quarter of 2006, resulting in a balance of \$108.6 million and \$104.8 million for the principal amount and all accrued dividends on the Public Preferred Stock as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. This action is considered a change in assumption that results in a change in accounting estimate as defined in ASC 250-10, which sets forth guidance concerning accounting changes and error corrections.

Note 9. Stockholders' Deficit, Option Plans, and Employee Benefit Plan

Common Stock

The relative rights, preferences, and limitations of the Class A common stock and the Class B common stock are in all respects identical. The holders of the common stock have one vote for each share of common stock held. Subject to the priority rights of the Public Preferred Stock and any series of the Senior Preferred Stock, holders of Class A and Class B common stock are entitled to receive such dividends as may be declared.

Restricted Stock Grants

In June 2008, we issued 4,774,273 shares of restricted stock (Class A common) in exchange for the majority of stock options outstanding under the Telos Corporation, Xacta Corporation and Telos Delaware, Inc. stock option plans. In addition, we granted 7,141,501 shares of restricted stock to our executive officers and employees. In September 2008 and December 2009, we granted 480,000 shares and 80,000 shares, respectively, of restricted stock to certain of our directors. In February 2011, we granted an additional 2,330,804 shares of restricted stock to our executive officers, directors and employees. In March 2012, we granted an additional 10,000 shares to our employee. Such stock is subject to a vesting schedule as follows: 25% of the restricted stock vests immediately on the date of grant, thereafter, an additional 25% will vest annually on the anniversary of the date of grant subject to continued employment or services. In accordance with ASC 718, we reported no compensation expense for any of the issuances as the value of the common stock was negligible, based on the deduction of our outstanding debt, capital lease obligations, and preferred stock from an estimated enterprise value, which was estimated based on discounted cash flow analysis, comparable public company analysis, and comparable transaction analysis. Additionally, we determined that a significant change in the valuation estimate for common stock would not have a significant effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Stock Options

We have granted stock options to certain of our employees under five plans. The Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan was adopted in 1990 ("1990 Stock Option Plan") and had option grants under it through 2000. In 1993, stock option plan agreements were reached with certain employees ("1993 Stock Option Plan"). In 1996, the Board of Directors approved and the shareholders ratified the 1996 Stock Option Plan ("1996 Stock Option Plan").

In 2000, the Board of Directors approved two stock option plans, one for Telos Delaware, Inc. ("Telos Delaware Stock Incentive Plan") and one for Xacta Corporation ("Xacta Stock Incentive Plan"), both wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company.

As determined by the members of the Compensation Committee, we generally grant options under our respective plans at the estimated fair value at the date of grant, based upon all information available to it at the time.

1990 Stock Option Plan

Under the terms of the 1990 Stock Option Plan, 2,168,215 shares of our Class A common stock were available for issuance under options to key employees, including officers and directors. The options expire 10 years from the date of grant. The option price determined by the Board of Directors was not less than the estimated fair value at the date of the grant and the options generally vest over a four-year period. The 1990 Stock Option Plan expired in 2000, with 923,000 remaining unissued options canceled. There were no options outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010. A total of 0, 0, and 6,000 options expired in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 445,249 options were exchanged for restricted stock in June 2008.

1996 Stock Option Plan

The 1996 Stock Option Plan allowed for the award of options to purchase up to 6,644,974 shares of Class A common stock at an exercise price of not lower than the estimated fair value at the date of grant. Vesting of the stock options for key employees is based both upon the passage of time, generally four years, and certain key events occurring including an initial public offering or a change in control. The stock options may be exercised over a ten-year period subject to the vesting requirements. Effective May 10, 2004, the 1996 Stock Option Plan was amended by the Board of Directors to increase the total amount of authorized shares of Class A common stock to 7,345,433, an increase of 700,459 shares, to reflect those options granted to Mr. Wood that were not exercised under the 1993 Stock Option Plan. The 1996 Stock Option Plan expired in March 2006, with its remaining 516,000 unissued options canceled. A total of 0, 0, and 15,000 options granted under the 1996 Stock Option Plan expired during 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. There were 20,000 options outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010. A total of 2,463,500 options were exchanged for restricted stock in June 2008.

Telos Delaware Stock Incentive Plan

During the third quarter of 2000, our Board of Directors approved a new stock option plan for Telos Delaware, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Certain of our key executives and employees are eligible to receive stock options under the plan. Under the plan, we may award up to 3,500,000 shares of common stock as either incentive or non-qualified stock options. An incentive option must have an exercise price of not lower than fair value on the date of grant. A non-qualified option will not have an exercise price any lower than 85% of the fair value on the date of grant. All options have a term of ten years and vest no less rapidly than the rate of 20% per year for each of the first five years unless changed by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. There were 6,564 and 18,816 options outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. A total of 10,252, 76,378 and 0 options expired during 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively; 2,000, 2,750 and 9,688 options were canceled in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and 983,379 options were exchanged for restricted stock in June 2008.

Xacta Stock Incentive Plan

In the third quarter of 2000, Xacta Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, initiated a stock option plan under which up to 3,500,000 shares of Xacta common stock may be awarded to key employees and associates. The options may be awarded as incentive or non-qualified, have a term of ten years, and vest no less rapidly than the rate of 20% per year for each of the first five years unless changed by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The exercise price may not be less than the estimated fair value on the date of grant for an incentive option, or less than 85% of the estimated fair value on the date of grant for a non-qualified stock option. There were 3,750 and 16,564 options outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. A total of 8,564, 39,005 and 0 options expired during 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively; 4,250, 876 and 10,625 options were canceled in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and 2,498,564 options were exchanged for restricted stock in June 2008.

A summary of the status of our stock options for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 is as follows:

	Number of Shares (000's)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
2011 Stock Option Activity		
Outstanding at beginning of year	55	\$ 1.75
Granted	----	----
Exercised	----	----
Canceled	(25)	2.27
Outstanding at end of year	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ 1.33</u>
Exercisable at end of year	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ 1.33</u>
2010 Stock Option Activity		
Outstanding at beginning of year	174	\$ 2.48
Granted	----	----
Exercised	----	----
Canceled	(119)	2.81
Outstanding at end of year	<u>55</u>	<u>\$ 1.75</u>
Exercisable at end of year	<u>55</u>	<u>\$ 1.75</u>
2009 Stock Option Activity		
Outstanding at beginning of year	216	\$ 2.34
Granted	----	----
Exercised	----	----
Canceled	(42)	1.74
Outstanding at end of year	<u>174</u>	<u>\$ 2.48</u>
Exercisable at end of year	<u>174</u>	<u>\$ 2.48</u>

The aggregate intrinsic value for options outstanding and exercisable at year end 2011 is negligible. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between our fair market value as determined by management and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of share-based awards) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2011.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

December 31, 2011:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding (000's)	Weighted Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable (000's)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.50 – \$0.99	24	1.5 years	\$ 0.64	24	\$ 0.64
\$3.85 – \$4.00	6	0.4 years	\$ 3.85	6	\$ 3.85

December 31, 2010:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding (000's)	Weighted Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable (000's)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.50 – \$0.99	36	2.1 years	\$ 0.68	36	\$ 0.68
\$3.85 – \$4.00	19	0.3 years	\$ 3.85	19	\$ 3.85

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, all outstanding shares under the plans are vested.

Telos Shared Savings Plan

We sponsor a defined contribution employee savings plan (the "Plan") under which substantially all full-time employees are eligible to participate. The Plan holds 3,658,536 shares of Telos Class A common stock. Since no public market exists for Telos Class A common stock, the Trustees of the Plan and their professional advisors undertake an annual evaluation, based upon the most recent audited financial statements. To date, the Plan's trustees have priced the stock at the exact midpoint of the evaluated range of the value of the stock. We match one-half of voluntary participant contributions to the Plan up to a maximum contribution by us of 3% of a participant's salary. Our total contributions to this Plan for 2011, 2010, and 2009 were \$591,000, \$786,000, and \$791,000, respectively.

Additionally, effective September 1, 2007, Telos ID sponsors a defined contribution savings plan under which substantially all full-time employees are eligible to participate. Telos ID matches one-half of voluntary participant contributions to the Plan up to a maximum Company contribution of 3% of a participant's salary. The total 2011, 2010 and 2009 Telos ID contributions to this plan were \$69,000, \$85,000 and \$76,000, respectively.

Note 10. Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes attributable to income from operations includes the following (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Current provision			
Federal	\$ 3,426	\$ 2,588	\$ 156
State	621	605	820
Total current	4,047	3,193	976
Deferred (benefit) provision			
Federal	(718)	1,473	2,941
State	(91)	42	346
Total deferred	(809)	1,515	3,287
Total provision	\$ 3,238	\$ 4,708	\$ 4,263

The provision (benefit) for income taxes related to operations varies from the amount determined by applying the federal income tax statutory rate to the income or loss before income taxes, exclusive of net income attributable to non-controlling interest. The reconciliation of these differences is as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Computed expected income tax provision	35.0%	35.0%	34.0%
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	3.5	5.6	16.0
Change in valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(1.4)	(0.1)	5.0
Other permanent differences	1.0	2.2	3.6
Dividend and accretion on preferred stock	34.1	19.1	26.1
FIN 48 reversal	(1.6)	----	----
R&D credit	(1.8)	----	----
Other	0.2	(1.1)	(7.8)
	69.0%	60.7%	76.9%

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Deferred tax assets:		
Accounts receivable, principally due to allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 144	\$ 140
Allowance for inventory obsolescence and amortization	785	104
Accrued liabilities not currently deductible	2,475	2,211
Accrued compensation	562	468
Amortization and depreciation	1,682	1,465
Telos ID basis difference	57	34
Net operating loss carryforwards - state	623	671
Total gross deferred tax assets	6,328	5,093
Less valuation allowance	(2,281)	(2,348)
Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	4,047	2,745
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Unbilled accounts receivable, deferred for tax purposes	(1,374)	(1,514)
Section 481(a) adjustment - inventory	(440)	----
Goodwill amortization	(193)	----
Total deferred tax liabilities	(2,007)	(1,514)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 2,040	\$ 1,231

The components of the valuation allowance are as follows (in thousands):

	Balance Beginning of Period	Additions	Deductions	Balance End of Period
December 31, 2011	\$ 2,348	\$ ----	\$ 67	\$ 2,281
December 31, 2010	\$ 2,388	\$ ----	\$ 40	\$ 2,348
December 31, 2009	\$ 1,965	\$ 423	\$ ----	\$ 2,388

At December 31, 2011, for federal income tax purposes all available net operating loss carryforwards and alternative minimum tax credits have been fully utilized.

We adopted the provisions of ASC 740 as of January 1, 2007 and determined that there were approximately \$400,000 and \$501,000 of unrecognized tax benefits required to be recorded in other current liabilities as of December 31, 2011 or 2010, respectively. We believe that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will not significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months. The period for which tax years are open, 2008 to 2011, has not been extended beyond the applicable statute of limitations.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010	2009
Unrecognized tax benefits, beginning of period	\$ 501	\$ 316	\$ ----
Gross increases—tax positions in prior period	20	83	298
Gross increases—tax positions in current period	90	139	18
Settlements	(211)	(37)	----
Unrecognized tax benefits, end of period	\$ 400	\$ 501	\$ 316

Note 11. Commitments

Leases

We lease office space and equipment under noncancelable operating and capital leases with various expiration dates, some of which contain renewal options.

On March 1, 1996, we entered into a twenty-year capital lease for a building in Ashburn, Virginia, that serves as our corporate headquarters. We have accounted for this transaction as a capital lease and have accordingly recorded assets and a corresponding liability of approximately \$12.3 million.

The following is a schedule by years of future minimum payments under capital leases together with the present value of the net minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	<u>Property</u>	<u>Equipment</u>	<u>Total</u>
2012	\$ 2,064	\$ 38	\$ 2,102
2013	2,064	1	2,065
2014	2,064	----	2,064
2015	2,064	----	2,064
2016	515	----	515
Total minimum obligations	8,771	39	8,810
Less amounts representing interest (ranging from 4.4% to 17.4%)	(2,828)	(1)	(2,829)
Net present value of minimum obligations	5,943	38	5,981
Less current portion	(996)	(37)	(1,033)
Long-term capital lease obligations at December 31, 2011	<u>\$ 4,947</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 4,948</u>

In accordance with the Ashburn lease agreement, every three years the rent is subject to adjustments in accordance with changes in the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index ("CPI"). Accordingly, effective April 2011, the adjustment in monthly rent payment based on the change in CPI was \$7,000 per month, from \$165,000 to \$172,000.

Accumulated amortization for property and equipment under capital leases at December 31, 2011 and 2010 is \$11.1 million and \$10.6 million, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments for all noncancelable operating leases at December 31, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

2012	\$ 534
2013	319
2014	252
2015	256
2016	123
Total minimum lease payments	<u>\$ 1,484</u>

Rent expense charged to operations for 2011, 2010, and 2009, totaled \$1.2 million, \$1.2 million, and \$1.3 million, respectively.

Warranties

We provide product warranties for products sold through certain U.S. Government contract vehicles. We accrue a warranty liability at the time that we recognize revenue for the estimated costs that may be incurred in connection with providing warranty coverage. Warranties are valued using historical warranty usage trends; however, if actual product failure rates or service delivery costs differ from estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability may be required. Accrued warranties are reported as other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	Balance Beginning of Year	Accruals	Warranty Expenses	Balance End of Year
	(amount in thousands)			
Year Ended December 31, 2011	\$ 1,079	\$ 257	\$ (383)	\$ 953
Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$ 1,708	\$ (341)	\$ (288)	\$ 1,079
Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 1,686	\$ 542	\$ (520)	\$ 1,708

Note 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Information concerning certain relationships and related transactions between us and certain of our current shareholders and former officers is set forth below.

The brother of our Chairman and CEO, Emmett Wood, has been an employee of ours since 1996. The amounts paid to this individual as compensation for 2011, 2010, and 2009 were \$320,000, \$298,000, and \$221,000, respectively. Additionally, Mr. Wood owned 250,000 shares and 50,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock, respectively, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010.

On April 8, 2011, the Company redeemed a total of 637 shares of Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock, including 220 shares and 307 shares of Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock, respectively, held by Mr. Porter and Toxford, the beneficial owner of 44.0% of our Class A Common Stock. Mr. Porter is the sole stockholder of Toxford. Subsequent to such redemption, Mr. Porter and Toxford held 763 shares and 1,069 shares of Series A-1 and Series A-2, respectively, or 82.7% of the Senior Redeemable Preferred Stock.

Note 13. Summary of Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of selected quarterly financial data for the previous two fiscal years (in thousands):

	Quarters Ended			
	March 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31
2011				
Revenue	\$ 47,977	\$ 41,145	\$ 52,982	\$ 47,784
Gross profit	11,898	11,321	11,697	12,626
Income before income taxes and non-controlling interest	2,638	2,136	1,047	920
Net income (loss) (1)(2)	1,116	780	84	(526)
2010				
Revenue	\$ 68,848	\$ 48,157	\$ 57,630	\$ 51,162
Gross profit	9,259	10,398	15,258	12,385
(Loss) income before income taxes and non-controlling interest	(581)	1,585	5,155	2,793
Net (loss) income (1)	(164)	382	1,636	1,193

- (1) Changes in net income are the result of several factors, including seasonality of the government year-end buying season, as well as the nature and timing of other deliverables.
- (2) Reflects tax adjustments of \$1.1 million in the fourth quarter to reflect the actual tax expense for the year.

Note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Subsequent Events

Financial Condition and Liquidity

As described in Note 7 – Current Liabilities and Debt Obligations, we maintain a revolving Facility with Wells Fargo. Borrowings under the Facility are collateralized by substantially all of our assets including inventory, equipment, and accounts receivable. The amount of available borrowings fluctuates based on the underlying asset-borrowing base, in general 85% of our trade accounts receivable, as adjusted by certain reserves (as further defined in the Facility agreement). The Facility provides us with virtually all of the liquidity we require to meet our operating, investing and financing needs. Therefore, maintaining sufficient availability on the Facility is the most critical factor in our liquidity. While a variety of factors related to sources and uses of cash, such as timeliness of accounts receivable collections, vendor credit terms, or significant collateral requirements, ultimately impact our liquidity, such factors may or may not have a direct impact on our liquidity, based on how the transactions associated with such circumstances impact our availability under the Facility. For example, a contractual requirement to post collateral for a duration of several months, depending on the materiality of the amount, could have an immediate negative effect on our liquidity, as such a circumstance would utilize availability on the Facility without a near-term cash inflow back to us. Likewise, the release of such collateral could have a corresponding positive effect on our liquidity, as it would represent an addition to our availability without any corresponding near-term cash outflow. Similarly, a slow-down of payments from a customer, group of customers or government payment office would not have an immediate and direct effect on our availability on the Facility unless the slowdown was material in amount and over an extended period of time. Any of these examples would have an impact on the Facility, and therefore our liquidity.

We believe that available cash and borrowings under the amended Facility will be sufficient to generate adequate amounts of cash to meet our needs for operating expenses, debt service requirements, and projected capital expenditures through the foreseeable future. We anticipate the continued need for a credit facility upon terms and conditions substantially similar to the amended Facility in order to meet our long term needs for operating expenses, debt service requirements, and projected capital expenditures. Our working capital was \$15.2 million and \$21.3 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Although no assurances can be given, we expect that we will be in compliance throughout the term of the amended Facility with respect to the financial and other covenants.

Legal Proceedings

Costa Brava Partnership III, L.P., et al. v. Telos Corporation, et al.

As previously reported, Costa Brava Partnership III, L.P. (“Costa Brava”), a holder of our Public Preferred Stock, filed a lawsuit (hereinafter the “Complaint”) on October 17, 2005 in the Circuit Court for the City of Baltimore in the State of Maryland (the “Court”) against the Company, its directors, and certain of its officers. As of December 31, 2011, Costa Brava owns 12.7% of the outstanding Public Preferred Stock.

The Complaint alleged that the Company and its officers and directors had engaged in tactics to avoid paying mandatory dividends on the Public Preferred Stock, and asserted that the Public Preferred Stock had characteristics of debt instruments even though it was issued by the Company in the form of stock. Costa Brava alleged, among other things, that the Company and an independent committee of the Board of Directors had done nothing to improve what they claimed to be the Company’s insolvency, or its ability to redeem the Public Preferred Stock and pay accrued dividends. They also challenged the bonus payments to the Company’s officers and directors, and consulting fees paid to the holder of a majority of the Company’s common stock.

On December 22, 2005, the Company’s Board of Directors established a special litigation committee (“Special Litigation Committee”) composed of independent directors to review and evaluate the matters raised in the derivative suit filed against the Company by Costa Brava.

On January 9, 2006, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint or, in the alternative, to stay the action until the Special Litigation Committee had sufficient time to properly investigate and respond to Costa Brava’s demands. On March 30, 2006, the Court granted the motion to dismiss in part and denied it in part, and denied the alternative request for a stay.

On February 8, 2006, Wynnefield Small Cap Value, L.P. (“Wynnefield”) filed a motion to intervene. An order was entered on May 25, 2006 by the Court, designating Wynnefield Partners as the plaintiff with Costa Brava in the lawsuit. On May 31, 2006, an Amended Complaint was filed in which Wynnefield joined as a Plaintiff. Costa Brava and Wynnefield are hereinafter referred to as “Plaintiffs.”

On May 26, 2006, Plaintiffs filed a motion for a preliminary injunction to prevent the sale or disposal of Xacta Corporation, a subsidiary of the Company, or any of its assets until the lawsuit was resolved on the merits. Subsequently, an order was issued dismissing the motion without prejudice on October 26, 2006, and then reissued on January 26, 2007.

On August 30, 2006, Plaintiffs filed a motion for receivership following the resignations of six of the nine members of the Board of Directors on August 16, 2006. Within a week of the resignations, three new independent board members were added and two more were added in October 2006, bringing the total board membership to eight. Thus, the board and all board committees, including the Special Litigation Committee and the Transaction Committee, were fully reconstituted. The Plaintiffs’ motion for receivership was denied on November 29, 2006. In its Memorandum Opinion denying the motion for receivership, the Court concluded that the Plaintiffs’ holdings in the Public Preferred Stock represented a minority equity interest (not a fixed liability), and that their minority equity interest did not provide a guarantee to payment of dividends or redemption of their shares. The Court further stated that it could not find that the Plaintiffs’ expectations were objectively reasonable, and concluded that the Plaintiffs had not been denied any rights as defined by the proxy statement and prospectus forming the terms of the Public Preferred Stock.

On February 15, 2007, the Plaintiffs filed their second Motion for Preliminary Injunction to prevent the sale or disposal of any corporate assets outside the ordinary course of business until such time that two new Class D directors could be elected. On April 19, 2007, the Court denied the Plaintiffs' motion. Two new Class D Directors, Messrs. Seth W. Hamot and Andrew R. Siegel, were elected at the June 18, 2007 special meeting of the holders of Public Preferred Stock.

On February 27, 2007, the Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint and added Mr. John R. C. Porter, then majority shareholder, as a defendant. The Company filed its motion to strike/dismiss and motion for summary judgment on March 28, 2007. On June 6, 2007, the Court granted the motion to dismiss in part and denied it in part. The following counts were dismissed: allegations of fraudulent conveyance (Count I); request for permanent and preliminary injunction related to the fraudulent conveyance allegations (Count II); and allegations of shareholder oppression against Mr. John Porter (Count V). The following counts were not dismissed: request for appointment of a receiver (Count III); request to dissolve the corporation (Count IV); breach of fiduciary duty by directors (Count VI); and breach of fiduciary duty by officers (Count VII).

On May 29, 2007, Telos filed a Counterclaim ("Telos Counterclaim") against the Plaintiffs alleging interference with its relationship with Wells Fargo, and a related motion for a preliminary injunction. On June 4, 2007, the Court entered a consent order in which the Plaintiffs agreed to cease and desist communications with Wells Fargo. On August 28, 2007, the Court issued a ruling granting Telos' motion for a preliminary injunction.

On July 20, 2007, counsel for the Special Litigation Committee issued its final report, which found that the available evidence did not support the derivative claims, and there was no instance of bad faith, breach of fiduciary duty or self-interested action or inaction that would make it in the Company's best interests to support the derivative claims. Further, Special Litigation Committee counsel recommended that the Company take all action necessary, appropriate and consistent with such findings.

Thus, on August 24, 2007, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the derivative claims as recommended by the Special Litigation Committee and its report. On January 7, 2008, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the derivative claims and dismissed Counts VI and VII of the Second Amended Complaint, leaving only Counts III and IV remaining. Accordingly, all counts against the individual defendants were dismissed. Subsequently, the Company filed a motion for Summary Judgment on February 1, 2008 to dismiss the remaining counts.

On February 12, 2008, the Plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Complaint which included all the previous counts from the original Complaint and the Second Amended Complaint as well as additional counts. The additional counts were as follows: breach of contract against Telos (Count VIII); preliminary and permanent injunction to prevent the Company from entering into a transaction to dispose of assets that allegedly would unjustly enrich the officers and directors (Count IX); and a request for an accounting alleging that the Company failed to prepare financial statements as required under Maryland law (Count X). The Company filed a Motion to Dismiss or to Strike the Third Amended Complaint or for Summary Judgment on February 19, 2008.

On March 3, 2008, the Plaintiffs and all the Defendants to the litigation entered into a Stipulation regarding the Third Amended Complaint. All parties stipulated that the Third Amended Complaint alleges causes of action against the Company only and not against the individual defendants. The parties stipulated that, for purposes of appellate preservation only, the Third Amended Complaint contained allegations concerning parties who, and causes of action which, had been dismissed by prior orders of the Court. The parties further stipulated that all causes of action asserted against the individual defendants in the Third Amended Complaint, and Counts I, II, V, VI and VII of the Third Amended Complaint, were dismissed with prejudice in accordance with the Court's prior rulings. The parties stipulated that the Plaintiffs were not seeking reconsideration of the Court's previous rulings concerning parties or causes of action that had been dismissed.

On April 15, 2008, the Court issued an order dismissing with prejudice the remaining counts (Counts III, IV, VIII, IX, and X) of the Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint against the Company.

On June 19, 2008, the Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Leave to Serve Discovery on Wells Fargo in connection with the Telos Counterclaim. The Company filed its opposition to the motion on July 8, 2008. On December 2, 2008, the Company filed a motion for voluntary dismissal of the counterclaim without prejudice, and the Plaintiffs filed their opposition to the motion on December 19, 2008. A hearing was held on January 23, 2009 before Judge W. Michel Pierson. On the same day, Judge Pierson issued an order granting the Company's motion to dismiss the counterclaim without prejudice and denying the Plaintiffs' motion for leave to service discovery as moot.

On February 23, 2009, the Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland.

On March 6, 2009, the Plaintiffs (now Appellants) filed the Civil Appeal Information Report. The Appellees include the Company and the directors and officers previously named in the dismissed complaints. The Appellants listed a total of 12 issues and sub-issues for review.

On April 8, 2009, the Appellants filed a Petition for Writ of Certiorari to Court of Special Appeals with the Court of Appeals of Maryland. On June 12, 2009, the Court of Appeals of Maryland denied the Petition for Writ of Certiorari, stating that "there has been no showing that review by certiorari is desirable and in the public interest." The oral arguments were held before the Maryland Court of Special Appeals on May 3, 2010. The court has yet to render a decision on the matter.

At this stage of the appeal process, it is impossible to reasonably determine the degree of probability related to Plaintiffs' (Appellants') success in any of their assertions. Although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of this appeal process, the Company and its officers and directors strenuously deny Plaintiffs' claims, will continue to vigorously defend the matter, and oppose the relief sought.

On August 2, 2007, Messrs. Seth W. Hamot and Mr. Andrew R. Siegel, principals of Costa Brava Partnership III L.P. (“Costa Brava”) and Class D Directors of Telos (“Class D Directors”), filed a verified complaint against the Company and a motion for a temporary restraining order in the Circuit Court for the City of Baltimore, Maryland (the “Court” or “Circuit Court”). The complaint alleged that certain company documents and records had not been promptly provided to them as requested, and that these documents were necessary to fulfill their fiduciary duty as directors.

On August 22, 2007 the Class D Directors filed an amended verified complaint and an amended motion for temporary restraining order alleging that the Company was denying them the ability to effectively review, examine, consider and question future regulatory filings and other important actions and undertakings of the Company.

On August 28, 2007, the Court converted the motion for temporary restraining order into a request for a preliminary injunction and entered a preliminary injunction stating that the Class D Directors were entitled to documents in response to reasonable requests for information pertinent and necessary to perform their duties as members of the Board. In addition, the Court noted that during the pendency of the shareholder litigation, it was not inclined to permit the Class D Directors, through the guise of their newly acquired director status, to avoid their currently binding commitments under the stipulation and protective order entered on July 7, 2006. Pursuant to the terms of that order the Company is entitled to designate documents produced in discovery or submitted to the Court as “confidential” or “highly confidential” and to withhold from the Class D Directors information protected by the work product doctrine or attorney-client privilege.

On September 24, 2007, the Class D Directors filed a new motion for temporary restraining order and a second amended verified complaint in which they requested that the Court “compel Telos to adhere to the Telos Amended and Restated Bylaws” and alleged that provisions concerning the noticing of Board committee meetings and the recording of Board meeting minutes had been violated and that Mr. Wood’s service as both CEO and Chairman of the Board was improper and impermissible under the Company’s Bylaws. The Court denied the Class D Directors’ motion on October 12, 2007. On the same day, the Court issued an amended preliminary injunction stating that the Class D Directors are entitled to receive written responses to requests for Board of Directors or Board committee minutes within seven (7) days of any such requests and copies of such minutes within fifteen (15) days of any such requests, as well as written responses to all other requests for information and/or documents related to their duties as directors within seven (7) days of such requests, and all Board of Directors appropriate information and/or documents within thirty (30) days of any such requests. The Court further stated that in all other respects, the preliminary injunction order of August 28, 2007 shall remain in full force and effect.

On April 16, 2008, the Company’s independent auditor, Reznick Group, P.C. (“Reznick”), resigned. In its resignation letter addressed to the Chairman of the Audit Committee, Reznick stated that it believed that its independence had been impaired due to communications from the Class D Directors that it perceived as threats of litigation and attempts to influence its opinion on certain accounting issues. The communications included a March 28, 2008 letter that was sent on the letterhead of Roark, Rearden & Hamot Capital Management, LLC (“RRHCM”), which is the general partner of Costa Brava, and of which Seth Hamot, Class D Director, is the managing member, to Goodman & Company, L.L.P. (“Goodman”), which had served as the Company’s independent auditor prior to the engagement of Reznick. The letter also was blind-copied to Reznick. The letter demanded that Goodman withdraw its audit opinion for the years 2006, 2005, and 2004, and threatened further legal action against Goodman, stating “Costa Brava reserves its right to bring claims against Goodman for any damages resulting from clean audit opinions relating to past or future financial statements.”

After Reznick resigned citing impairment to its independence as a result of communications from the Class D Directors, the Company filed a Counterclaim on April 23, 2008, in an effort to prevent the Class D Directors from engaging in any further acts of misrepresentation, interference and improper influence upon the Company’s independent auditors regarding, among other things, a specific accounting treatment (from that of a non-current liability to that of a current liability) for their holdings in the Company’s Public Preferred Stock. The Counterclaim states claims against the Class D Directors for Tortious Interference with Contractual Relationship with Goodman (Count I); Tortious Interference with Contractual Relationship with Reznick (Count II); Tortious Interference with Economic or Business Relations with Goodman (Count III); Tortious Interference with Economic or Business Relations with Reznick (Count IV); Breach of Fiduciary Duty by Hamot (Count V); and Breach of Fiduciary Duty by Siegel (Count VI).

On May 1, 2008, the Court issued an order “to preserve the status quo until a hearing may be conducted.” The Status Quo Order, among other things, stated that the Class D Directors must “cease, desist and refrain from any and all direct or indirect, verbal or written, contact or communication with the Company’s past, current and future auditors, including without limitation Goodman & Company, LLP, (“Goodman”) and Reznick Group (“Reznick”), acting either singly or in concert with others, and either directly with any such auditors and/or with their agents or employees.”

On June 20, 2008, the Company filed its First Amended Counterclaim supplementing and updating its allegations..

On June 27, 2008, the Court granted the Company’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction against the Class D Directors regarding their interference with the Company’s relationship with its current and former auditors. The Court ordered Hamot and Siegel to:

... cease, desist and refrain from any and all direct and indirect contact or communications (whether verbal, written, or otherwise) with Goodman, Reznick, or any other former, current or future auditors of Telos Corporation, or with any agents or representatives of any such auditors, regarding the conduct herein prohibited, during the pendency of this litigation or until such time as Telos obtains audited financial statements for 2007 and files its 10-K with the SEC.

The Court further prohibited Hamot and Siegel from:

... engaging in contacts, communications or other conduct prohibited by this Order acting either singly or in concert with others, including any entities that they control or through which they operate, including, but not limited to, Costa Brava, RRHCM and RRH [Roark, Rearden, & Hamot Capital Management, LLC and Roark, Rearden & Hamot entities, respectively]. It also specifically prohibits any such actions or conduct undertaken through or in concert or collusion with other persons or entities, including, but not limited to, Wynnefield Partners Small Cap Value, L.P. (“Wynnefield”), Paul Berger or any other holders of the Public Preferred Stock.

The Order further states:

In this case, Telos has contractual relationships with both Reznick and Goodman, which are reflected in their engagement letters with Telos, and Hamot and Siegel had knowledge of these relationships. The record further indicates that Hamot and Siegel intentionally interfered with these relationships, and that their interference caused the non-performance by Reznick and Goodman of the services they were engaged to perform, as well as Reznick’s termination of the engagement. Thus, Telos has raised a substantial claim for tortious interference with contract under the facts presented.

... As discussed above, the record indicates that Telos is likely to demonstrate that Hamot and Siegel intentionally sought to interfere with Reznick’s audit through questionable and potentially misleading communications and barely-veiled threats of litigation, and that their interference caused Reznick to resign. Telos, therefore, has also raised claims going to the merits of its count for tortious interference with business or economic relations.

The Order also states that “Telos is likely to demonstrate that their conduct was not just wrongful, but unlawful.” It further states that “Telos is likely to show that Hamot and Siegel used potentially misleading communications and threats of litigation in an effort to dictate the accounting treatment that Reznick should adopt, thereby running afoul of Sarbanes-Oxley section 303 and SEC Rule 13b2-2 and providing another basis for liability for tortious interference with business or economic relations.”

In addition, the Order states:

Here, the conduct by Hamot and Siegel indicates that they put their interests ahead of the corporation they were supposed to be serving and sought to disrupt the company’s essential relationships to serve their own ends. Indeed, even after being advised at Telos’ April 2, 2008, board meeting that their conduct was jeopardizing the company’s relationship with its auditor, they continued to send more communications to Reznick attempting to influence its opinions. ... Given the record before the Court, it appears that Telos likely will be able to demonstrate that Hamot and Siegel breached their fiduciary duties to the Company.

Lastly, the Order states that “the public interest favors Telos.” It states:

When directors with conflicted interests are allowed to interfere with [the audit] process, the public’s interest in the integrity of the process – and its interest in the integrity of the financial information that ultimately will be provided to the investing public – suffers. Moreover, it also is in the public interest to protect the operational status quo of an ongoing viable business, which employs over 500 people and provides essential services to the United States military.

The Class D Directors filed a Motion to Dismiss the Counterclaim on May 21, 2008 and it was denied on July 24, 2008.

On July 16, 2008, the Class D Directors filed a Motion for Stay of Enforcement of Interlocutory Order in the Circuit Court seeking a stay of enforcement of the June 27, 2008 preliminary injunction. The Circuit Court denied the Class D Directors’ motion on August 15, 2008.

On July 25, 2008, the Class D Directors filed a Notice of Appeal of the June 27, 2008 Preliminary Injunction .

On July 30, 2008, the Class D Directors filed in the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland a motion to stay enforcement of the June 27, 2008 preliminary injunction pending appeal of the preliminary injunction. The motion was denied without prejudice by the Court of Special Appeals on August 5, 2008. The Class D Directors filed a renewed motion to stay the preliminary injunction in the Court of Special Appeals on August 20, 2008 and that motion was denied on September 15, 2008.

On October 2, 2008, the Company filed a Second Amended Counterclaim which added a Count VII, requesting that the Court issue a declaratory judgment that the Class D Directors are not entitled to indemnification or the advancement of expenses under Maryland law.

The oral argument on the Class D Directors’ appeal of the June 27, 2008 preliminary injunction took place before the Court of Special Appeals on November 3, 2008. The Court of Special Appeals took the matter under advisement and, to date, has not issued a decision on the appeal.

Through a letter dated December 17, 2008, the Company informed the Court of Special Appeals that the audit of the Company’s 2007 financial statements had been completed and the Company had filed its 2007 Form 10-K with the SEC as of that date. In their response letter of December 19, 2008 to the Court of Special Appeals, the Class D Directors reiterated their position that the “controversy between the parties is capable of repetition, yet evading appellate review” and further argued that, in any event, the Court should decide the issue of whether the appeal was moot “only upon a fully-briefed motion.” The Company responded on December 23, 2008 that it would be amenable to additional briefing. Thus, on December 30, 2008, the Court of Special Appeals issued an order directing the parties to submit further briefing on the issue of whether the Company’s filing of its 2007 Form 10-K mooted the Class D Directors appeal of the June 27, 2008 preliminary injunction or whether the appeal remained justiciable, and if so, under what theory. The Company and the Class D Directors filed their respective Supplemental Memoranda on the mootness issue on January 14, 2009. On January 21, 2009, the Company and the Class D Directors filed their respective Supplemental Reply Memoranda on the mootness issue. On May 6, 2009, the Court of Special Appeals dismissed the appeal of the June 27, 2008 preliminary injunction as moot.

On April 1, 2009, the Class D Directors filed a Petition for Constructive Civil Contempt with the Circuit Court for Baltimore City. The Petition alleges that the Company violated the Court's August 28, 2007 and October 12, 2007 Orders, referenced above, for failing to provide requested documents or information that the Class D Directors allege is "pertinent and necessary to Plaintiffs' duties as Telos' directors." In addition, on April 21, 2009, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the petition and to dismiss the complaint as moot. On December 30, 2009, the Court ordered that the petition be dismissed. The Court stated "the remedy of civil contempt is not appropriate upon the circumstances before the court. Plaintiffs should not be permitted to resort to this remedy when they failed to engage in good faith exchange with defendant to address the concerns that were raised by defendant or even to acknowledge defendant's response to their demands for documents." However, the Court denied the Company's motion to dismiss the complaint but stated it would schedule a status conference at a later date to review the matter with counsel.

On April 12, 2010, regarding the Hamot et al. v. Telos matter, the Class D Directors filed a Motion for the Advancement of Legal Fees and Expenses. The Class D Directors demand that the Company advance legal fees and expenses incurred in defending against the counterclaim brought by Telos. The Class D Directors allege that since they were party to a lawsuit by reason of their service as Company board members, they should be indemnified. The Class D Directors claim that Maryland law and the Company bylaws entitle them to indemnification. In the counterclaim filed by the Company on April 23, 2008, the Company sought a preliminary injunction to prevent further unlawful interference with the Company's relationship with the former and current outside auditors, which eventually led to the resignation of the Company's outside auditors at the time. The Company was successful in obtaining a preliminary injunction against the Class D Directors. On June 25, 2008, the Class D Directors filed an appeal to the preliminary injunction but that appeal was dismissed as moot on May 6, 2009. On September 8, 2008, the Class D Directors submitted a letter through counsel demanding indemnification and reimbursement for expenses incurred related to the counterclaim. On October 2, 2008, the Board of Directors determined that the Class D Directors were not entitled to advancement or indemnification. That same day, the Company filed a second amended counterclaim, adding Count Seven which sought a declaratory judgment that as directors, the Plaintiffs were not entitled to advancement or indemnification of legal fees and expenses.

The Company filed its opposition brief on May 11, 2010 to the Plaintiffs' Motion for Advancement of Legal Fees and Expenses. The Company states that the Plaintiffs failed to meet the basic statutory requirements of the law in seeking indemnification. The hearing on this matter took place on August 17, 2010.

On November 3, 2011, the Court denied the Plaintiffs' Motion for Advancement, as well as the Plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment and request for fees. The Court found that the Plaintiffs had not met the statutory standard of appropriate standard of conduct. The Court stated:

It must be emphasized that a determination that an affirmation of good faith is insufficient is unusual, requiring a high degree of proof. Given the purpose of advancement provisions, they should be construed liberally in favor of advancement, and such a construction weighs against an overly intrusive examination into whether an affirmation was made in good faith. Furthermore, a court must take pains to avoid conducting an indemnification inquiry under the guise of an advancement determination. Therefore, it is a rare case where the court could conclude that a lack of good faith precludes advancement. This is such a rare case. Under the particular circumstances of this case, the court concludes that the record demonstrates that Hamot and Siegel could not have entertained a good faith belief that they met the statutory standards for indemnification.

The Court noted that the Plaintiffs filed their motion 18 months after the conclusion of the Company's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, "long after Telos rejected the demand for advancement and long after any other activity in the litigation." When the Plaintiffs sought reimbursement, it was for the expenses incurred in the unsuccessful defense of the preliminary injunction proceedings in which the court had concluded that they had been guilty of a breach of their duties as directors. The opinion notes that Judge Matricciani explicitly stated that the Plaintiffs' acts and omissions were committed in bad faith. "These findings are inconsistent with the conclusion that Hamot and Siegel met the statutory standard for indemnification." Therefore, the Plaintiffs "could not entertain a good faith belief that they met the standard, at least insofar as they requested advancement of expenses for litigation of the preliminary injunction." In addition, the court stated that since the Plaintiffs were "seeking reimbursement for expenses relating to the proceedings that culminated in those findings, those findings must furnish the standard by which their good faith relief should be measured. Their belief is inconsistent with those findings."

However, the court refused to rule at this time as to whether the Plaintiffs were entitled to an advance for future expenses incurred related to the counterclaim. In that case, the court stated that its analysis of the good faith affirmation will be different. It would depend on the nature of the relief sought by the Company and the basis for the claims asserted. Therefore, the court refused to determine at this time that the Plaintiffs were not entitled to advancement as a matter of law, and denied the Company's motion for summary judgment on the matter.

On November 18, 2011, the Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Order Denying Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Motion for Advancement of Legal Fees and Expenses. It alleged that the Court's Order disregarded the standards and procedures under which the 2009 preliminary injunction was granted when it ruled that the Class D Directors had failed to demonstrate good faith, and also that the Court failed to give proper deference to the undertakings in which the Class D Directors provided a written affirmation of good faith. The Company filed its Opposition to the Motion on December 12, 2011 and the Plaintiffs filed their Reply on December 22, 2011. The hearing on the motion was held on March 2, 2012, but no decision has yet been rendered.

On May 27, 2011, the Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Order Compelling Discovery and for Sanctions, alleging that the Company failed to provide full and complete responses to certain interrogatories and document requests. A hearing was held on November 30, 2011 and a decision was rendered by Judge W. Michele Pierson on December 1, 2011. The Order granted the motion in part, stating that the Company shall provide a supplemental response to one interrogatory and then to one document request in light of its revised answer to the one interrogatory. The remainder of the motion was denied. The Company provided its supplemental response to the Plaintiffs on January 4, 2012.

On December 12, 2011, the Class D Directors filed the Third Amended Verified Complaint alleging that they have been denied access and copies to requested books and records of the Company necessary to perform their duties as directors. The Company filed a Motion to Dismiss the Third Amended Verified Complaint on January 11, 2012. The Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss was filed by the Plaintiffs on January 27, 2012. The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss was held on March 2, 2012, but no decision has yet been rendered.

On December 12, 2011, the Company filed a Third Amended Counterclaim. The Class D Directors filed their Motion to Dismiss the Third Amended Counterclaim on January 3, 2012. The Company filed its Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss on January 18, 2012. The Reply to the Opposition was then filed on February 3, 2012. The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss was held on March 2, 2012, but no decision has yet been rendered.

At this stage of the litigation and appeal process, it is impossible to reasonably determine the degree of probability related to the Class D Directors' success in any of their assertions and claims. Although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, the Company and its officers and directors strenuously deny the Class D Directors' claims, and will vigorously defend the matter, and continue to oppose the relief sought.

Andrew Siegel v. Telos Corporation, et. al.

On June 30, 2010, Mr. Andrew Siegel filed a Complaint against Telos Corporation, Doe I-XX, and Roe Corporation I-XX in the U.S District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division. Subsequently, Mr. Siegel withdrew the lawsuit on August 19, 2010.

Other Litigation

In addition, the Company is a party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, while the results of such litigation cannot be predicted with any reasonable degree of certainty, the final outcome of such known matters will not, based upon all available information, have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Restricted Stock Grants

In March 2012, the Company granted an additional 10,000 shares of restricted stock to its employee. Such stock is subject to a vesting schedule as follows: 25% of the restricted stock vested immediately on the date of grant, thereafter, an additional 25% will vest annually on the anniversary of the date of grant subject to continued employment or services. As the value of the common stock was negligible, the Company does not expect the restricted stock grant would have a significant effect on the financial statements.

Note 15. Acquisition of IT Logistics, Inc.

On July 1, 2011, we entered into, and concurrently completed the transactions contemplated by, the Asset Purchase Agreement (the “Agreement”) with IT Logistics Inc., an Alabama Corporation (“ITL”), and its sole stockholder. The Agreement provides for the purchase of certain assets relating to the operation of ITL’s business of providing survey, design, engineering, and installation services of inside and outside plant secure networking infrastructure and program management expertise. Under the terms of the Agreement, Telos assumed certain liabilities of ITL, principally liabilities that accrue on or after July 1, 2011, under certain contracts assumed by Telos.

The purchase price for the assets (in addition to the assumed liabilities described above) consisted of (1) \$8 million payable on July 1, 2011 (the “Closing Date”), (2) \$7 million payable in ten monthly payments of \$700,000, together with interest on the unpaid balance of such amount at the rate of 0.50% per annum, beginning on August 1, 2011 and on the first day of each subsequent month thereafter, and (3) a subordinated promissory note (the “Note”) with a principal amount of \$15 million. The Note accrues interest at a rate of 6.0% per annum beginning November 1, 2012, and is payable on July 1, 2041. The entire unpaid principal balance plus accrued and unpaid interest is due and payable upon the occurrence of a Change in Control (as defined in the Note), provided that all “Senior Obligations” are satisfied prior to or concurrent with such Change in Control. For purposes of the Note, “Senior Obligations” means, collectively, all (1) outstanding indebtedness of Telos, and (2) amounts due to the holders of the outstanding shares of the Company’s Series A-1 Redeemable Preferred Stock, Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock, and 12% Cumulative Exchangeable Preferred Stock (or any securities redeemable or exchangeable for any of the foregoing) upon a Change in Control, upon the voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the affairs of Telos, or otherwise. Based on the total estimated fair value of the consideration paid, the total preliminary estimated purchase price is determined to be \$26.7 million.

ITL had been a Telos subcontractor for several years, utilized by our Secure Networks Solutions business line. The acquisition allows the Company to internally maintain the capacity for the work ITL performs, instead of subcontracting such work. Major General John W. Maluda, who serves on the Company’s board of directors, served on the advisory board of ITL, and this relationship was disclosed to the Company’s board of directors before its consideration of the acquisition. General Maluda did not have any financial stake in ITL and did not receive any benefit from the sale of its assets to Telos.

The Agreement and the complete terms of the notes are filed as exhibits to the Form 8-K filed by the Company on July 8, 2011. Borrowings of \$8.0 million were drawn from the Facility in order to finance the initial cash consideration.

The operating results of ITL have been included in the Company’s consolidated financial statements as of the acquisition date of July 1, 2011. The acquisition has been accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Under the purchase method of accounting, the total purchase price was allocated to ITL’s net tangible and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values as of the Closing Date of the acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the net tangible and intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. Goodwill is amortized and deducted over a 15-year period for tax purposes. Telos has made a preliminary estimated allocation of the purchase price as follows (amounts in thousands):

Inventories, net	\$	221
Property and equipment, net		108
Goodwill		15,058
Other intangible asset		11,286
Total preliminary estimated purchase price allocation	\$	<u>26,673</u>

Of the total purchase price, approximately \$11.3 million has been allocated to an amortizable intangible asset acquired and approximately \$0.3 million has been allocated to tangible net assets assumed in connection with the acquisition. The acquired intangible asset was an estimated enhancement of customer relationships over a 5-year term. This asset is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the term based on the anticipated realized benefit for the duration of the customer relationships. The amortization is based on a forecast of approximately equal annual customer orders. As of the measurement date, no material adverse conditions existed which would have led to the conclusion that the asset was impaired.

On a pro forma combined basis, the revenue effect of the ITL acquisition is immaterial as Telos was ITL’s primary revenue source prior to the acquisition. On a pro forma combined basis, net income attributable to Telos Corporation for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$1.3 million and \$2.7 million, respectively.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

N/A.

Item 9A Controls and Procedures

Inherent Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, believes that our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting are effective at the reasonable assurance level. However, management does not expect that such disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2011, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act), was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in its reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and Rule 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that:

- (1) Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;
- (2) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and
- (3) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 based on the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, known as COSO, in Internal Control — Integrated Framework. Based on that assessment, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K since we intend to file our definitive proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, or the Proxy Statement, pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and certain information to be included in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information required by this item regarding executive officers, directors and nominees for directors, including information with respect to our audit committee and audit committee financial expert, and the compliance of certain reporting persons with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, will be included under Election of Directors, Biographical Information Concerning the Company's Executive Officers, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, Corporate Governance, Independence of Directors, Board of Directors Nomination Process, Role in Risk Oversight, Meetings of the Board of Directors and Committees of the Board of Directors, as well as Audit Committee, Management Development and Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item will be included in our Proxy Statement under Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item will be included in our Proxy Statement under Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item will be included in our Proxy Statement under Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Independence of Directors and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item will be included in our Proxy Statement under Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

1. Financial Statements

As listed in the Index to Financial Statements and Supplementary Data on page 23.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules are omitted as the required information is not applicable or the information is presented in the consolidated financial statements or related notes.

3. Exhibits:

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of C3, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement No. 2-84171 filed June 2, 1983)
3.2	Articles of Amendment of C3, Inc. dated August 31, 1981 (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement No. 2-84171 filed June 2, 1983)
3.3	Articles supplementary of C3, Inc. dated May 31, 1984 (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-K report for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1987)
3.4	Articles of Amendment of C3, Inc. dated August 18, 1988 (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-K report for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1989)
3.5	Articles of Amendment and Restatement Supplementary to the Articles of Incorporation dated August 3, 1990. (Incorporated by reference to C3, Inc. 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1990)
3.6*	Articles of Amendment of C3, Inc. dated April 13, 1995
3.7	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, as amended on October 3, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on October 5, 2007)
10.1	1996 Stock Option Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.74 filed with the Company's Form 10-Q report for the quarter ended March 31, 1996)
10.2	Employment Agreement – Michael P. Flaherty (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.98 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2005)
10.3	Employment Agreement – Robert J. Marino (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.99 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2005)
10.4	Employment Agreement – Michele Nakazawa (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.100 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2005)
10.5	Employment Agreement – Edward L. Williams (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.101 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2005)
10.6	Employment Agreement – John B. Wood (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.102 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2005)
10.7	Membership Interest Purchase & Assignment Agreement and Other Related Transaction Documents among the Company, Telos Identity Management Solutions, LLC and Hoya ID Fund A, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 filed with the Company's Form 10-Q report for the quarter ended June 30, 2007)
10.8	Telos Corporation 2008 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2007)
10.9	Preferred Stockholders Standby Agreement between Wells Fargo Foothill, Inc. and North Atlantic Smaller Companies Investment Trust PLC, dated April 14, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2008)
10.10	Preferred Stockholders Standby Agreement between Wells Fargo Foothill, Inc. and North Atlantic Value LLP A/C B, dated April 14, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2008)
10.11	Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock Extension of Redemption Date – North Atlantic Smaller Companies Investment Trust PLC, dated April 6, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2008)
10.12	Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock Extension of Redemption Date – North Atlantic Value LLP A/C B, dated April 6, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2008)
10.13	Amendment to Employment Agreement – John B. Wood (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2007)
10.18	Amendment to Employment Agreement – Michele Nakazawa (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2007)
10.19	Amendment to Employment Agreement – Michael P. Flaherty (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 filed with the Company's Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2007)

10.20	Amendment to Employment Agreement – Edward L. Williams (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 filed with the Company’s Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2007)
10.21	Employment Agreement – Robert J. Marino (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 filed with the Company’s Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2007)
10.22	Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. dated May 17, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 filed with the Company’s Form 8-K report on May 21, 2010)
10.23	Preferred Stockholders Standby Agreement between Wells Fargo Foothill, Inc. and Toxford Corporation, dated May 17, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 filed with the Company’s Form 8- K report on May 21, 2010)
10.24	Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock Extension of Redemption Date – Toxford Corporation, dated May 17, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 filed with the Company’s Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2010)
10.25	First Amendment of Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. dated September 27, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 filed with the Company’s Form 10-Q report for the quarter ended September 30, 2010)
10.26	Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock Consent Letter pursuant to the First Amendment of Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. dated September 27, 2010 – Graphite Enterprise Trust LP (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 filed with the Company’s Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2010)
10.27	Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock Consent Letter pursuant to the First Amendment of Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. dated September 27, 2010 – Graphite Enterprise Trust PLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 filed with the Company’s Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2010)
10.28	Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock Consent Letter pursuant to the First Amendment of Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. dated September 27, 2010– North Atlantic Smaller Companies Investment Trust PLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 filed with the Company’s Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2010)
10.29	Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock Consent Letter pursuant to the First Amendment of Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. dated September 27, 2010 – North Atlantic Value LLP A/C B (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 filed with the Company’s Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2010)
10.30	Series A-1 and Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock Consent Letter pursuant to the First Amendment of Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc. dated September 27, 2010 – Toxford Corporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 filed with the Company’s Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2010)
10.31	Employment Agreement – Brendan D. Malloy (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 filed with the Company’s Form 10-K report for the year ended December 31, 2010)
10.32	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2011, by and among Telos Corporation, IT Logistics, Inc. and Tim Wilbanks (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 filed with the Company’s Form 8-K report on July 8, 2011)
10.33	Subordinated Non-Transferrable Promissory Note, dated July 1, 2011, issued to IT Logistics, Inc. by Telos Corporation in the principal amount of \$15 million (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 filed with the Company’s Form 8-K report on July 8, 2011)
21*	List of subsidiaries of Telos Corporation
31.1*	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2*	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32*	Certification pursuant to 18 USC Section 1350.
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* filed herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Telos Corporation has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TELOS CORPORATION

By: /s/ John B. Wood
 John B. Wood
 Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 30, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of Telos Corporation and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ John B. Wood</u> John B. Wood	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer)	March 30, 2012
<u>/s/ Michele Nakazawa</u> Michele Nakazawa	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 30, 2012
<u>/s/ Bernard C. Bailey</u> Bernard C. Bailey	Director	March 30, 2012
<u>/s/ David Borland</u> David Borland	Director	March 30, 2012
<u>/s/ William M. Dvoranchik</u> William M. Dvoranchik	Director	March 30, 2012
<u>Seth W. Hamot</u>	Director	
<u>/s/ Bruce R. Harris</u> Bruce R. Harris, Lt. Gen., USA (Ret.)	Director	March 30, 2012
<u>/s/ Charles S. Mahan, Jr.</u> Charles S. Mahan, Jr. Lt. Gen., USA (Ret)	Director	March 30, 2012
<u>/s/ John W. Maluda</u> John W. Maluda, Major Gen., USAF (Ret)	Director	March 30, 2012
<u>/s/ Robert J. Marino</u> Robert J. Marino	Director	March 30, 2012
<u>Andrew R. Siegel</u>	Director	
<u>/s/ Jerry O. Tuttle</u> Jerry O. Tuttle, Vice Admiral, USN (Ret.)	Director	March 30, 2012

C3 Inc.

ARTICLES OF AMENDMENT

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT:

FIRST: The charter of C3, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the "Corporation") hereby amended by deleting existing Article FIRST in its entirety and substituting in lieu thereof a new article to read as follows:

FIRST: The name of the corporation
(Which is hereafter called the "Corporation") is:

Telos Corporation

SECOND: The amendment to the charter of the Corporation as set forth above has been duly advised by the Board of Directors and approved by the stockholders of the Corporation as required by Law.

THIRD: The undersigned Vice President acknowledges these Articles of Amendment to be the corporate act of the Corporation and as to all matters or facts required to be verified under oath, the undersigned Vice President acknowledges that to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, these matters and facts are true in all material respects and that this statement is made under the penalties for perjury.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Corporation has caused these Articles to be signed in its name and on its behalf by its Vice President and attested to by its Secretary on this 13th day of April, 1995.

ATTEST:

C3, INC.

/s/ Gerald D. Calhoun
Secretary
Gerald D. Calhoun

By: /s/ William L.P. Brownley (SEAL)
Vice President
William L.P. Brownley, Esq.

C3, INC.

ARTICLES OF AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT

C3, Inc., a Maryland corporation, having its principal office at Baltimore, Maryland (hereinafter referred the "Corporation"), hereby certifies that:

FIRST: The Corporation's charter (the "Charter") is amended and restated by deleting in their entirety Articles FIRST through NINTH (including Exhibits A through D) therefrom and substituting in lieu thereof new Articles FIRST through EIGHTH and as so amended is restated in its entirety, as follows:

FIRST: That the name of the corporation (which is hereinafter called the "Corporation") is

C3, INC.

SECOND: The purpose for which the Corporation is formed is to carry on any lawful business within the State of Maryland or elsewhere, and the Corporation shall have, enjoy and exercise all of the powers and rights now or hereafter conferred by statute upon corporations.

THIRD: The current address of the principal office of the corporation in this State is c/o: The Corporation Trust Incorporated, 32 South Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202. The current Resident Agent of the Corporation is: The Corporation Trust Incorporated, whole post office address is: 32 South Street., Baltimore, Maryland 21202. The Resident Agent so designated is a Maryland corporation.

FOURTH: The Corporation shall have four (4) Directors, which number may be increased or decreased in accordance with the Charter or By-Laws of the Corporation. The following are the names of the current Directors who shall act as such until their successors are duly elected and qualified:

Anthony L. Craig
Fred Knoll
Elton Vogel
John Porter

STATE OF MARYLAND
I hereby certify that this is a true and complete copy of the
page document on file in this office. DATED January 14, 1992
STATE DEPARTMENT OF ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION,
BY: [Signature]

FIFTH: The total number of shares of capital stock that the Corporation has authority to issue is Sixty-One Million Thirteen Thousand Five Hundred (61,013,500) shares of which (i) Fifty million (50,000,000) shares shall be Class A Common Stock with no par value, (ii) Five Million (5,000,000) shares shall be Class B Common Stock with no par value, (iii) Six Million (6,000,000) shares shall be 12% Cumulative Exchangeable Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Exchangeable Preferred Stock") with a par value of \$.01 per share, (iv) Three Thousand (3,000) shares shall be Senior Exchangeable Preferred stock (the "Senior Preferred Stock") with a par value of \$.01 per share, (v) One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty (1,250) shares shall be Series A-1 Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series A-1 Preferred Stock") with a par value of \$.01 per share, (vi) one Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty (1,750) shares shall be Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series A-2 Preferred Stock") with a par value of \$.01 per share and (vii) Seven Thousand Five Hundred (7,500) shares of Series A-4 Preferred Stock which are redesignated as Class B Preferred Stock (the "Class B Preferred Stock") with a par value of \$.01 per share. The aggregate par value of all classes of stock having par value is Sixty Thousand One Hundred Thirty Five Dollars (\$60,135). The following is a description of each class of stock of the Corporation with the preferences, conversions and other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends and terms and conditions of redemption thereof:

(A) (1) Except as otherwise provided by the Charter of the Corporation, the rights, preferences and limitations of the Class A Common Stock and the Class B Common Stock shall be in all respects identical, share for share. The holders of the Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock shall have the right to vote on all matters requiring action of the stockholders or submitted to the stockholders for action; provided, however, that, in the event the United States Defense Investigative Service ("DIS") determines that such shares of Class B Common Stock may not be voting securities, the voting rights of the Class B Common Stock shall be suspended until such time as DIS approves the reinstatement of such voting rights. The suspension of the voting rights of any holder of Class B Common Stock shall have no effect on any other rights of a holder of Class B Common Stock. Unless limited by the above proviso, the holders of the Class A Common Stock and Class B Common stock shall have one vote for each share of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock held. Subject to the prior rights of the Preferred Stock, each holder of the Class A Common Stock and the Class B Common Stock shall be entitled to receive its pro rata share of such dividends as may be declared by the Board of Directors of the Corporation payable out of funds legally available therefor; provided that if dividends are declared which are payable in shares of Common Stock, such dividends paid to holders of Class A Common Stock shall be paid in shares of Class A Common Stock, and such dividends paid to holders of Class B Common Stock shall be paid in shares of Class B Common Stock, in each case at the same rate for each such class of Common Stock. The Corporation shall not make any split-up, division, consolidation or other reclassification of any class of Common Stock unless it makes a corresponding split-up, division, consolidation or reclassification in each other class of Common Stock.

(2) The holders of the Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock, voting as a single class, shall have the sole right to elect all members of the Board of Directors of the Corporation other than any members separately elected by any series of Preferred Stock of the Corporation.

(B) The Senior Preferred Stock, the Series A-1 Preferred Stock, the Series A-2 Preferred Stock and the Class B Preferred Stock shall have the preferences, conversion and other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends and terms and conditions of redemption, as set forth in Exhibits A through D attached hereto.

(C) The Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall have the following powers, preferences, rights, qualifications, limitations, restrictions and other provisions:

1. Rank. The Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall rank, with respect to dividend rights and rights on liquidation, winding up and dissolution, (a) junior to any other class or series of the Preferred Stock of the Corporation the terms of which shall specifically provide that such class or series shall rank prior to the Exchangeable Preferred Stock (any such other securities are referred to herein collectively as the "Senior Securities"), (b) on a parity with any other class or series of the Preferred Stock of the Corporation the terms of which shall specifically provide that such class or series shall rank on a parity with the Exchangeable Preferred Stock (the Exchangeable Preferred Stock and any such other securities are referred to herein collectively as the "Parity Securities"), and (c) prior to the Class A Common Stock and the Class B Common Stock of the Corporation (collectively, the "Common Stock") and any other class or series of the Preferred Stock of the Corporation the terms of which specifically provide that such class or series shall rank junior to the Exchangeable Preferred Stock (any of such other securities of the Corporation to which the Exchangeable Preferred Stock ranks prior, including the Common Stock, are referred to herein collectively as the "Junior Securities").

2. Dividends. (a) The holders of the shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock will be entitled to receive, when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors, out of funds legally available for the payment of dividends, cumulative dividends at the annual rate of 12% (\$1.20) per share and no more. Such dividends shall be payable, in preference to dividends on the Junior Securities, in equal semi-annual payments out of funds legally available therefore (a) commencing with the first sixth-month anniversary of the first of the following to occur after the effective date ("the Effective Date") of the Merger ("Merger") of C3 Acquisition Corp., a Delaware corporation, with and into the Corporation (i) the fifteenth day of the month in which the Effective Date occurs or (ii) the first day of the following month, and (b) on each sixth-month anniversary thereof (each of such dates being a "Dividend Payment Date"). Such dividends shall be paid to the holders of record at the close of business on the date specified by the Board of Directors at the time such dividends are declared; provided, however, that such date shall not be more than 90 days prior to the respective Dividend Payment Date. Dividends payable on shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock (whether payable in cash or in stock) shall be fully cumulative and shall accrue (whether or not earned or declared), without interest, from the date of issuance of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock at the Effective Date. Any dividends payable with respect to the Exchangeable Preferred Stock during the first six years after the Effective Date may be paid (subject to restriction under applicable state law), in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors, in cash or by issuing additional full paid and nonassessable shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock at the rate of 0.06 of a share for each \$.60 of such dividends not paid in cash, and the issuance of such additional shares shall constitute full payment of such dividends. The Corporation shall not issue fractions of Exchangeable Preferred Stock ("Fractional Shares") in payment of any such dividends. In lieu of any Fractional Shares, the Corporation will cause all Fractional Shares otherwise Issuable to be aggregated and sold on the open market by an agent of the corporation, and each holder of Exchangeable Preferred Stock otherwise entitled to receive a Fractional Share shall receive a cash payment in lieu thereof equal to such holder's proportionate interest in the net proceeds of the sale or sales of all such Fractional Shares in the open market within 20 days after the Dividend Payment Date. The Corporation's dividend payment obligations under this paragraph 2(a) shall be discharged upon the delivery to such agent of the certificate or certificates representing shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock equal to the aggregate of such Fractional Shares. All shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock which may be issued as a dividend with respect to the Exchangeable Preferred Stock will thereupon be duly authorized, validly issued, full paid and nonassessable and free of all liens and charges.

(b) All dividends paid with respect to shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock pursuant to paragraph 2(a) shall be paid pro rata to the holders entitled thereto.

(c) Notwithstanding anything contained herein to the contrary, no cash dividends (other than the payment of cash in lieu of the issuance of Fractional Shares) on shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock, the Parity Securities or other Junior Securities shall be declared by the Board of Directors and paid or set apart for payment by the Corporation at such time as the terms and provisions of any agreement regarding the borrowing of funds or the extension of credit which is binding upon the Corporation or any subsidiary of the Corporation (all such agreements of the Corporation and its subsidiaries are referred to collectively herein as the "Debt Agreements"), specifically prohibit such declaration, payment or setting apart for payment or provide that such declaration, payment or setting apart for payment would constitute a breach thereof or a default thereunder or, in the case of a Debt Agreement binding on a subsidiary of the Corporation, prohibit or restrict the payment of dividends or the making of loans to the Corporation by such subsidiary of the Corporation, prohibit or restrict the payment of dividends for the purpose of paying such dividends; provided, however, that nothing in this paragraph 2(c) shall in any way or under any circumstances be construed or deemed to require the Board of Directors to declare or the Corporation to pay or set apart for payment any cash dividends on shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock at any time, whether permitted by any of the Debt Agreements or not.

(d) (i) If at any time the Corporation shall have failed to pay full dividends which have accrued (whether or not declared) on any Senior Securities, no cash dividends (other than the payment of cash in lieu of the issuance of Fractional Shares) shall be declared by the Board of Directors or paid or set apart for payment by the Corporation on shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock or any other Parity Securities unless, prior to or concurrently with such declaration, payment or setting apart for payment, all accrued and unpaid dividends on all outstanding shares of such Senior Securities shall have been paid or declared and paid or set apart for payment. No full dividends shall be declared or paid or set apart for payment on any Parity Securities for any period unless full cumulative dividends have been or contemporaneously are declared and paid or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof set apart for such payment on the Exchangeable Preferred Stock for all dividend payment periods terminating on or prior to the date of payment of such full cumulative dividends. If any dividends are not paid in full, as aforesaid, upon the shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock and any other Parity Securities, all dividends declared upon shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock and any other Parity Securities shall be declared and paid pro rata so that the amount of dividends declared and paid per share on the Exchangeable Preferred Stock and such other Parity Securities shall in all cases bear to each other the same ratio that accrued dividends per share on the Exchangeable Preferred Stock and such other Parity Securities bear to each other. No interest, or sum of money in lieu of interest, shall be payable in respect of any dividend payment or payments on the Exchangeable Preferred Stock or any other Parity Securities which may appear in arrears.

(ii) The Corporation shall not declare, pay or set apart for payment any dividend on any of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock or make any payment on account of, or set apart for payment money for a sinking or other similar fund for the purchase, redemption or other retirement of, any of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock or any warrants, rights, calls or options exercisable for or convertible into any of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock, or make any distribution in respect thereof, either directly or indirectly, and whether in cash, obligations or shares of the Corporation, or other property (other than distributions or dividends in shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock to the holders thereof), and shall not permit any corporation or other entity directly or indirectly controlled by the Corporation to purchase or redeem any of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock or any warrants, rights, calls or options exercisable for or convertible into any of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock, unless prior to or concurrently with such declaration, payment, setting apart for payment, purchase or distribution, as the case may be, all accrued and unpaid dividends on shares of any Senior Securities shall have been or be duly paid in full and all redemption payments which have become due with respect to such Senior Securities shall have been or be duly discharged.

Any dividend not paid pursuant to this paragraph (2) shall be fully cumulative and shall accrue (whether or not declared), without interest, as set forth in paragraph (2)(a) hereof.

(e) Holders of shares of the Exchangeable Preferred stock shall be entitled to receive the dividends provided for in paragraph (2)(a) hereof in preference to and in priority over any dividends upon any of the Junior Securities.

(f) Subject to the foregoing provisions of this paragraph (2), the Board of Directors may declare, and the Corporation may pay or set apart for payment, dividends and other distributions on any of the Junior Securities, and may purchase or otherwise redeem any of the Junior Securities or any warrants, rights or options exercisable for or convertible into any of the Junior Securities, and the holders of the shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall not be entitled to share therein.

3. Liquidation Preference. (a) In the event of any voluntary or Involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of the Corporation, the holders of shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock then outstanding shall be entitled to be paid out of the assets of the Corporation available for distribution to its stockholders an amount in cash equal to \$10 for each share outstanding, plus an amount in cash equal to all accrued but unpaid dividends (whether or not earned or declared) thereon to the date fixed for liquidation, dissolution or winding up before any payment shall be made or any assets distributed to the holders of any of the Junior Securities; provided, however, that the holders of outstanding shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall not be entitled to receive such liquidation payment until the liquidation payments on all outstanding shares of Senior Securities, if any, shall have been paid in full. Except as provided in the preceding sentence, holders of Exchangeable Preferred stock shall not be entitled to any distribution in the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of the Corporation. If the assets of the Corporation are not sufficient to pay in full the liquidation payments payable to the holders of outstanding shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock and Parity Securities, then the holders of such shares shall share ratably in such distribution of assets in accordance with the amount which would be payable on such distribution if the amounts to which the holders of shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock and the holders of outstanding shares of such other Parity Securities are entitled were paid in full.

(b) For the purpose of this paragraph 3, neither the voluntary sale, lease, conveyance, exchange, or transfer (for cash, shares of stock, securities or other consideration) of all or substantially all the property or assets of the Corporation nor the consolidation or merger of the Corporation with one or more other corporations, shall be deemed to be a liquidation, dissolution or winding up, voluntary or involuntary, unless such voluntary sale, lease, conveyance, exchange or transfer shall be in connection with a plan of liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the business of the Corporation.

4. Redemption. (a) Subject to the legal availability of funds, any contractual restrictions then binding on the Corporation (including restrictions under Debt Agreements) and applicable state law, the Corporation may redeem at its option, at any time, the Exchangeable Preferred Stock, in whole or in part, at a redemption price of \$10 per share together with all accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not earned or declared) thereon to the date fixed for redemption, without interest.

(b) Subject to the legal availability of funds, any contractual restrictions then binding on the Corporation (including restrictions under Debt Agreements) and applicable state law, commencing on the first Dividend Payment Date (or, if any Exchange Debentures (as hereinafter defined) are outstanding, the first interest payment date for the Exchange Debentures) after the sixteenth anniversary of the Effective Date and on the first Dividend Payment Date (or, if any Exchange Debentures are outstanding, the first interest payment date for the Exchange Debentures) after each anniversary of the Effective Date thereafter (each date separately referred to as "Mandatory Redemption Date"), so long as any shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall be outstanding, the Corporation shall set aside, in trust, as and for a sinking fund for the Exchangeable Preferred Stock, a sum sufficient to redeem and shall redeem in each year an amount equal to at least 20% of the greatest number of shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock issued and outstanding at any time, at a redemption price of \$10 per share together with all accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not earned or declared) thereon to the date fixed for redemption, without interest, and on the first Dividend Payment Date following the twentieth anniversary of the Effective Date, the Corporation shall set aside pursuant to paragraph 6 hereof a sum sufficient to redeem and shall redeem all outstanding shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$10 per share together with all accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not earned or declared) to such date. The Corporation shall, at its option, be entitled to credit against the number of shares to be redeemed on any Mandatory Redemption Date a number of shares equal to the sum of (i) the number of shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock (A) acquired by the Corporation (other than through an exchange pursuant to paragraph 5 hereof) and surrendered to the transfer agent with respect to the Exchangeable Preferred Stock for cancellation or (B) redeemed other than pursuant to this paragraph 4(b), plus (ii) an amount equal to (x) divided by (y), where (x) equals the aggregate principal amount of Exchangeable Debentures which was previously or simultaneously acquired by the Corporation and surrendered for cancellation, optionally redeemed or redeemed, or which is to be called for redemption, pursuant to the mandatory redemption provisions of the Debenture relating to the Exchange Debentures on such Mandatory Redemption Date, and where (y) equals \$10; provided that such shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock or such Exchangeable Debentures have not been previously credited to the Exchangeable Preferred stock mandatory redemption requirement on such Mandatory Redemption Date.

(c) Shares of Exchangeable Preferred stock which have been issued and reacquired in any manner, including shares purchased or redeemed or exchanged, shall (upon compliance with any applicable provisions of law) have the status of authorized and unissued shares.

(d) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of paragraph 4, if full cumulative dividends on all outstanding shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall not have been paid or are not contemporaneously declared and paid for all past dividend periods, the Corporation may not redeem shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock pursuant to paragraph 4(a) unless the shares to be redeemed are selected pro rata (with rounding to the nearest whole share).

(e) If the Corporation shall fail to discharge its obligation to redeem shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock pursuant to paragraph 4(b) (the "Mandatory Redemption Obligation"), the Mandatory Redemption Obligation shall be discharged as soon as the Corporation is able to discharge such Mandatory Redemption Obligation.

5. Exchange. The Corporation may, at its sole option, exchange in whole or in part, on any Dividend Payment Date from and after the second anniversary of the Effective Date, shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock then outstanding for a new series of 12% Junior Subordinated Exchange Debentures due 2009 of the Corporation (the "Exchange Debentures") to be issued pursuant to an indenture between the Corporation and the trustee named therein (the "Indenture") filed as an exhibit to the Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 33-31671), as amended, a copy of which is on file with the secretary of the Corporation. In the event of any such exchange, holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall be entitled to receive on the date of exchange (i) Exchange Debentures in an aggregate principal amount equal to the aggregate liquidation preference of all shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock held by them being exchanged, plus (ii) an amount equal to all accrued but unpaid dividends on such Exchangeable Preferred Stock (whether or not earned or declared, without interest); provided, however, that in the event any such issuance of Exchange Debentures in exchange for shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock would result in the issuance of any Exchange Debentures in a principal amount which is less than \$10 or otherwise not an integral multiple of \$10 (such principal amount, if less than \$10, or, if such principal amount is greater than \$10, the difference between such principal amount and the highest integral multiple of \$10 which is less than such principal amount, is hereinafter referred to as the "Fractional Principal Amount"), the Corporation shall cause all Fractional Principal Amounts of Exchange Debentures otherwise issuable to be aggregated and sold on the open market by an agent of the Corporation, and each person otherwise entitled to such Fractional Principal Amounts of Exchange Debentures will receive a cash payment in lieu thereof equal to such holder's proportionate interest in the net proceeds of the sale or sales of all such Fractional Principal Amounts in the open market within 20 days after the Dividend Payment Date. On the date fixed for any such exchange, the rights of the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock so exchanged as stockholders of the Corporation shall cease and the person or persons entitled to receive the Exchange Debentures issuable upon exchange shall be treated for all purposes as the registered holder or holders of such Exchange Debentures. In accordance with paragraph 6 hereof, the Corporation shall mail to each record holder of Exchangeable Preferred Stock written notice of its intention to exchange not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days prior to the exchange. Prior to giving notice of its intention to exchange, the Corporation shall have executed and delivered to a bank or trust company selected by the Corporation the Indenture with such changes as may be required by stock exchange rules, law or usage or as may be agreed to by the Corporation and the holders of a majority of the then outstanding shares of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock. The Corporation shall have caused the Indenture to be qualified under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, as amended, and shall have caused the Indenture to be authenticated as of the date on which the exchange is effective, which date shall be a Dividend Payment Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Corporation may not exchange any shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock at any time that the terms or provisions of any indenture or agreement of the Corporation, including any Debt Agreements relating to its indebtedness, specifically prohibits such exchange or that such exchange constitutes or, after notice or lapse of time or otherwise, would constitute a breach of or a default under any such indenture or agreement. The person or persons entitled to receive Exchange Debentures issuable upon exchange shall be treated for all purposes as the registered holder or holders of such Exchange Debentures as of the Dividend Payment Date which coincides with the date of exchange.

6. Procedure for Redemption or Exchange. (a) In the event that fewer than all the outstanding shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock are to be redeemed or exchanged, the number of shares to be redeemed or to be exchanged shall be determined, subject to the provisions of paragraphs 4(b) and 4(d) hereof, by the Board of Directors of the Corporation and the shares to be redeemed or exchanged shall be selected by lot or pro rata as may be determined by the Board of Directors, except that in any redemption or exchange of fewer than all the outstanding shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock, the Corporation may first redeem or exchange all shares held by any holders of a number of shares not to exceed 100 as may be specified by the Corporation.

(b) In the event the Corporation shall redeem or exchange shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock, notice of such redemption or exchange shall be given by first class mail, postage prepaid, and mailed not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days prior to the redemption or exchange date, to each holder of record of the shares to be redeemed or exchanged at such holder's address as the same appears on the stock register of the Corporation; provided, however, that no failure to give such notice nor any defect therein shall affect the validity of the proceeding for the redemption or exchange of any shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock to be redeemed or exchanged except as to the holder to whom the Corporation has failed to give said notice or except as to the holder whose notice was defective. Each such notice shall state: (i) the redemption or exchange date; (ii) the number of shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock to be redeemed or exchanged and, if less than all the shares held by such holder are to be redeemed or exchanged, the number of shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock held by such holder to be redeemed or exchanged; (iii) the redemption price or exchange rate; (iv) the place or places where certificates for such shares are to be surrendered for payment of the redemption price or exchanged for the Exchange Debentures; and (v) that dividends on the shares to be redeemed or exchanged will cease to accrue on such redemption date or the date of exchange.

(c) Notice having been mailed as aforesaid and provided that on or before the redemption date or exchange date, as the case may be, specified in such notice all duly authenticated and valid Exchange Debentures necessary for such exchange shall have been provided by the Corporation and all funds necessary for such redemption shall have been set aside by the Corporation, separate and apart from its other funds, in trust for the pro rata benefit of the holders of the shares so called for redemption or exchange so as to be and to continue to be available therefor, then, from and after the redemption date or exchange date, as the case may be, dividends on the shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock so called for redemption or exchange shall cease to accrue, and said shares shall no longer be deemed to be outstanding and shall not have the status of shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock, and all rights of the holders thereof as stockholders of the Corporation (except the right to receive from the Corporation the redemption price or the Exchange Debentures upon exchange and any accrued and unpaid dividends, whether or not earned or declared) shall cease. Upon surrender, in accordance with said notice of the certificates for any shares so redeemed or exchanged (properly endorsed or assigned for transfer, if the Board of Directors shall so require and the notice shall so state), such shares shall be redeemed or exchanged by the Corporation at the redemption price or exchange rate aforesaid. In case fewer than all the shares represented by any such certificate are redeemed or exchanged, a new certificate or certificates shall be issued representing the unredeemed or unexchanged shares without cost to the holder thereof.

(d) If such notice of redemption shall have been duly given, and if, prior to the redemption date, the Corporation shall have irrevocably deposited the aggregate redemption price of the shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock to be redeemed in trust for the pro rata benefit of the holders of the shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock to be redeemed, so as to be and to continue to be available therefor, with a bank or trust company (having capital and surplus of not less than \$50,000,000) in the borough of Manhattan, City of New York, then, upon making such deposit, holders of the shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock called for redemption shall cease to be stockholders with respect to such shares and thereafter such shares shall no longer be transferable on the books of the Corporation and such holders shall have no interest in or claim against the Corporation, with respect to such shares (including dividends thereon accrued after such redemption date) except the right to receive payment of the redemption price (including all dividends (whether or not earned or declared) accrued and unpaid to the date fixed for redemption) upon surrender of their certificates, without interest. Any funds deposited and unclaimed at the end of one year from the date fixed for redemption shall be repaid to the Corporation upon its request, after which repayment the holders of shares called for redemption shall look only to the Corporation as a general creditor for payment of the redemption price.

7. Voting Rights. (a) The holders of record of shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall not be entitled to any voting rights except as hereinafter provided in this paragraph 7, as provided in the Indenture or as otherwise provided by law.

(b) (i) If at any time or times dividends payable on Exchangeable Preferred stock shall be in arrears and unpaid for three consecutive full semi-annual periods, then the number of directors constituting the Board of Directors, without further action, shall be increased by up to two directors and the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall have the exclusive right, voting separately as a class, to elect the directors of the Corporation to fill such newly created directorships, which directors shall be designated "Class D" directors, the remaining directors to be elected by the other class or classes of stock entitled to vote therefor, at each meeting of stockholders held for the purpose of electing directors.

(ii) Whenever such voting right shall have vested, such right may be exercised initially either at a special meeting of the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock, called as hereinafter provided, or at any annual meeting of stockholders held for the purpose of electing directors, and thereafter at each annual meeting. Such voting rights shall continue until such time as all dividends accumulated on Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall have been paid in full at which time such voting right of the holders of Exchangeable Preferred stock shall terminate, subject to reverting in the event of each and every subsequent failure of the Corporation to pay dividends for the requisite number of periods as described above.

(iii) At any time when such voting right shall have vested in the holders of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock and if such right shall not already have been initially exercised, a proper officer of the Corporation shall, upon the written request of any holder of record of Exchangeable Preferred Stock then outstanding, addressed to the Secretary of the Corporation, call a special meeting of holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock. Such meeting shall be held at the earliest practicable date upon the notice required for annual meetings of stockholders at the place for holding annual meetings of stockholders of the Corporation or, if none, at a place designated by the Secretary of the Corporation. If such meeting shall not be called by the proper officers of the Corporation within 30 days after the personal service of such written request upon the Secretary of the Corporation, or within 30 days after mailing the same within the United States, by registered mail, addressed to the Secretary of the Corporation at its principal office (such mailing to be evidenced by the registry receipt issued by the postal authorities), then the holders of record of 10% of the shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock then outstanding may designate in writing a holder of Exchangeable Preferred Stock to call such meeting at the expense of the corporation, and such meeting may be called by such person so designated upon the notice required for annual meetings of stockholders and shall be held at the same place as is elsewhere provided in this paragraph 7(b)(iii) or at such other place as is selected by such person so designated. Any holder of Exchangeable Preferred Stock which would be entitled to vote at any such meeting shall have access to the stock books of the Corporation for the purpose of causing a meeting of stockholders to be called pursuant to the provisions of this paragraph. Notwithstanding the provisions of this paragraph, however, no such special meeting shall be called during a period within 90 days immediately preceding the date fixed for the next annual meeting of stockholders.

(iv) At any meeting held for the purpose of electing directors at which the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall have the right to elect directors as provided herein, the presence in person or by proxy of the holders of a majority of the then outstanding shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall be required and be sufficient to constitute a quorum of such class for the election of directors by such class. At any such meeting or adjournment thereof, (x) the absence of a quorum of the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock and the absence of a quorum or quorums of the holders of capital stock entitled to elect such other directors shall not prevent the election of directors to be elected by the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock and (y) in the absence of a quorum of the holders of any such class of stock entitled to vote for the election of directors, a majority of the holders present in person or by proxy of such class shall have the power to adjourn the meeting insofar as it relates to the election of directors which the holders of such class are entitled to elect, from time to time, without notice (except as required by law) other than announcement at the meeting, until a quorum shall be present.

(v) The term of office of all directors elected by the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock pursuant to paragraph 7(b)(i) in office at any time when the aforesaid voting rights are vested in the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall terminate upon the election of their successors at any meeting of stockholders for the purpose of electing directors. Upon any termination of the aforesaid voting rights in accordance with this paragraph 7(b) (v), the term of office of all directors elected by the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock pursuant to paragraph 7(b)(i) then in office shall thereupon terminate and upon such termination the number of directors constituting the Board of Directors shall, without further action, be reduced by the number of directors by which the number of directors constituting the Board of Directors shall have been increased pursuant to paragraph 7(b)(i), subject always to the increase of the number of directors pursuant to paragraph 7(b)(i) in case of the future right of the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock to elect directors as provided herein.

(vi) In any case of any vacancy occurring among the directors so elected, the remaining director, if any, who shall have been so elected may appoint a successor to hold office for the unexpired term of the director whose place shall be vacant. If all directors so elected by the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall cease to serve as directors before their terms shall expire, the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock then outstanding may, at a special meeting of the holders called as provided above, elect successors to hold office for the unexpired terms of the directors whose places shall be vacant.

(c) The holders of record of shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock shall be entitled to vote on or consent to any amendment or supplement to the Indenture in accordance with Section 9.02 of the Indenture on a basis as if such Exchangeable Preferred Stock had been exchanged for Exchange Debentures prior to the record date for such vote or consent.

(d) So long as any shares of Exchangeable Preferred Stock are outstanding the Corporation shall not, without the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the then outstanding Exchangeable Preferred Stock voting separately as a class (i) change by amendment to the Corporation's Charter or otherwise, the terms or provisions of the Exchangeable Preferred Stock so as to adversely affect the powers, special rights and preferences of the holders thereof, and (ii) amend the Indenture, except for amendments of the nature described in Section 9.01 of the Indenture.

(e) Any alteration or change which would not affect adversely the powers, preferences, and special rights of shares of Exchangeable Preferred stock may be effected without the consent of holders thereof, including, without limitation, the (i) creation, authorization or issuance of any other class of stock of the corporation senior, pari passu or subordinated as to dividends and upon liquidation to the Exchangeable Preferred Stock, (ii) creation of any indebtedness of any kind of the Corporation, (iii) increase or decrease in the amount or authorized capital stock of any class or series, including the Exchangeable Preferred Stock, or any increase, decrease or change in the par value of any such class other than the Exchangeable Preferred Stock, or (iv) merger or consolidation or similar plan or acquisition in which securities of the Corporation held by the holders of Exchangeable Preferred Stock will become or be exchanged for securities of any other person, if the sole purpose of the transaction is to change the Corporation's domicile solely within the United States

SIXTH: In furtherance and not in limitation of the powers conferred by statute, the powers of the Corporation and of the Directors and stockholders shall include the following:

(1) the Corporation reserves the right, from time to time, to make any amendment of its Charter, now or hereafter authorized by law, including any amendment that alters the contract rights, as expressly set forth in its Charter, or any outstanding stock.

(2) Except as otherwise provided in the Corporation's Charter, as may be from time to time amended, the business of the Corporation shall be managed by its Board of Directors, which shall have and may exercise all powers of the Corporation except such as are by law, the Charter or the By-Laws of the Corporation, conferred upon or reserved to the stockholders. Additionally, the Board of Directors of the Corporation is hereby specifically authorized and empowered from time to time in its discretion:

(a) To authorize the issuance of shares of the Corporation's stock of any class, whether now or hereafter authorized, or securities convertible into shares of its stock, of any class or classes, whether now or hereafter authorized, for such considerations as said Board of Directors may deem advisable;

(b) To classify or reclassify any unissued shares by setting or changing in any one or more respects, from time to time before issuance of such shares, the preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, qualifications, or terms or conditions of redemption of such shares, and the foregoing authority shall include, but not be limited to, the reclassification of unissued common shares to preferred shares, or unissued preferred shares to common shares;

(c) To borrow and raise money without limit, and upon any terms, for any corporate purposes, and, subject to applicable law, to authorize the creation, issue, assumption or issue, assumption or guaranty of bond, debenture notes or other evidences of indebtedness for moneys so borrowed, to include therein such provisions as to redeemability, convertibility or otherwise, as the Board of Directors, in its sole discretion, may determine, and to secure the payment of principal, interest or sinking fund in respect thereof by mortgage upon, on the pledge of, or the conveyance or assignment in trust of, the whole or any part of the properties, assets and goodwill of the Corporation then owned or thereafter acquired.

(3) Notwithstanding any provision of law requiring any action to be taken or authorized by a greater proportion of affirmative votes of all classes or of any class of stock, such action shall be effective and valid if taken or authorized by a majority of all votes entitled to be cast on the matter, except as otherwise provided in the Corporation's Charter.

(4) No holder of stock of any class shall have any preemptive right to subscribe to or purchase any additional shares of any class, or any bonds or convertible securities of any nature; provided, however, that the Board of Directors may, in authorizing the issuance of stock of any class, confer any preemptive right that the Board of Directors may deem advisable in connection with such issuance.

SEVENTH:

(a) To the fullest extent that limitations on the liability of directors and officers are permitted by the Maryland General Corporation Law, no director or officer of the Corporation shall have any liability to the Corporation or its stockholders for damages. This limitation on liability applies to events occurring at the time a person serves as a director or officer of the Corporation whether or not such person is a director or officer at the time of any proceeding in which liability is asserted.

(b) The Corporation shall indemnify and advance expenses to its currently acting and its former directors to the fullest extent that indemnification of directors is permitted by the Maryland General Corporation Law. The Corporation shall indemnify and advance expenses to its officers to the same extent as its directors and to such further extent as is consistent with law. The Board of Directors may by By-Law, resolution or agreement make further provision for indemnification of directors, officers, employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by the Maryland General Corporation Law.

(c) References to the Maryland General Corporation Law in this Article are to that law as from time to time amended. No amendment to the Charter of the Corporation shall affect any right of any person under this Article based on any event, omission or proceeding prior to the amendment.

EIGHTH: (a) Until July 14, 1993 or such earlier time as may become permissible under Maryland law, any person who becomes an "interested stockholder" as defined by Section 3-601(j) of the Corporations and Associations Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, as amended (the "Code") on or after February 9, 1984 with respect to any voting stock of the Corporation shall be subject to the requirements of Section 3-602 of the Code; provided, however, that specifically exempted from the foregoing are all persons who are stockholders of the corporation as of February 9, 1984, and who became interested stockholders prior to such date (regardless of date) and such person's existing or future affiliates; further, provided, that nothing in this article shall be deemed to prevent the Board of Directors from exempting any person or transaction from the requirements of Section 3-602 of the Code pursuant to section 3-603(c)(l)(ii) of the Code; and

(b) After July 14, 1993 or such earlier time as may become permissible under Maryland law, Section 3-602 of the Code shall not apply to transactions between the Corporation and any person; provided that to the extent required by Maryland law, Section 3-602 of the Code shall continue to apply to transactions between the Corporation and persons who were interested stockholders on January 14, 1992 or affiliates of such interested stockholders.

(c) Paragraphs (a) and (b) above shall not affect or limit any exemption granted at any time by the Board of Directors from the requirements of Section 3-602 of the Code pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Company's Charter and the Code.

SECOND: The amendment and restatement of the Charter of the Corporation has been duly advised and approved by a majority of the entire Board of Directors of the Corporation and has been approved by the stockholders of the Corporation entitled to vote thereon.

THIRD: (a) Prior to the effectiveness of these Articles of Amendment and Restatement, the Corporation had authority to issue Forty-Seven Million Forty-Eight Thousand One Hundred Fifty-Three (47,048,153) shares, consisting of (i) Twenty-Six Million (26,000,000) shares of Class A Common Stock with no par value, (ii) Five Million (5,000,000) shares of Class B Common Stock with no par value, (iii) Ten Million (10,000,000) shares of Class C Common Stock with no par value, (iv) Six Million (6,000,000) shares of 12% Cumulative Exchangeable Redeemable Preferred Stock, with a par value of \$.01 each, (v) One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty (1,250) shares of Series A-1 Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01 each, (vi) One Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty (1,750) shares of Series A-2 Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01 each, (vii) Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty (3,750) shares of Series A-3 Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01 each, and (viii) Forty-One Thousand Four Hundred and Three (41,403) shares of Series A-4 Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01 each. Prior to the filing of these Articles of Amendment and Restatement, the aggregate par value of all shares of all classes of stock having par value was Sixty Thousand Four Hundred Eighty-One Dollars and Three Cents (\$60,481.03).

(b) Upon effectiveness of these Articles of Amendment and Restatement, the Corporation is authorized to issue Sixty-One Million Thirteen Thousand Five Hundred (61,013,500) shares consisting of (i) Fifty Million (50,000,000) shares of Class A Common Stock with no par value, (ii) Five Million (5,000,000) shares of Class B Common Stock with no par value, (iii) Six Million (6,000,000) shares of 12% Cumulative Exchangeable Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01 per share, (iv) Three Thousand (3,000) shares of Senior Exchangeable Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01 per share, (v) One-Thousand Two Hundred Fifty (1,250) shares of Series A-1 Redeemable Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01 per share, (vi) One Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty (1,750) shares of Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01 per share, and (vii) Seven Thousand Five Hundred (7,500) shares of Class B Preferred Stock with a par value of \$.01 per share. The aggregate par value of all classes of stock having par value is Sixty Thousand One Hundred Thirty Five Dollars (\$60,135).

FOURTH: Upon effectiveness of these Articles of Amendment and Restatement, each outstanding share of Series A-3 Redeemable Preferred Stock and each outstanding share of Class C Common Stock shall be cancelled, without any action on the part of the holder, and the holders of such shares shall surrender the certificates representing such shares for cancellation. Upon effectiveness of these Articles of Amendment and Restatement, the outstanding shares of Series A-4 Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share, are redesignated Series B Preferred Stock, the number of outstanding shares (all of which are held by one stockholder) is changed to 7,500 shares, the rights of the holder to receive dividends which have accrued but have not been declared are cancelled and the preferences, conversion and other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends, qualifications and terms and conditions of redemption of the Series B Preferred Stock are changed to and are as set forth in these Articles of Amendment and Restatement.

Upon effectiveness of these Articles of Amendment and Restatement, the charter is amended to authorize the purchase and cancellation at the effective time of all of the Corporation's shares of Series A-3 Redeemable Preferred Stock then outstanding in accordance with the terms of the letter agreement dated the effective date between the Corporation and the holder thereof without the purchase or redemption of any of the outstanding shares of the Corporation's Series A-1 Redeemable Preferred Stock or Series A-2 Redeemable Preferred Stock.

FIFTH: A description, as amended, of each class of stock the Corporation is authorized to issue, including the preferences, conversion and other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends, qualifications, and terms and conditions of redemption is included in Article FIFTH above and in Exhibits A through D hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, C3, Inc. has caused these presents to be signed in its name and on its behalf by its President and attested by its Assistant Secretary on this 14th day of January, 1992, and its President acknowledges that these Articles of Amendment and Restatement are the act and deed of C3, Inc. and, states under the penalties of perjury, that the matters and facts set forth herein with respect to authorization and approval are true in all material respects to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

ATTEST:

C3, INC.

/s/ Terrence Ford
Terrence Ford
Assistant Secretary

By:
/s/ Anthony L. Craig
Anthony L. Craig
President

STATE OF MARYLAND

355482

DEPARTMENT OF ASSESSMENTS AND TAXATION

301 West Preston Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

DATE: APRIL 14, 1995

THIS IS TO ADVISE YOU THAT THE ARTICLES OF AMENDMENT WITH A NAME
CHANGE FOR C3, INC. CHANGED TO: TELOS CORPORATION
WERE RECEIVED AND APPROVED FOR RECORD ON APRIL 14, 1995 AT 11:38 AM.

FEE PAID:

77.00



ATS-031

WILLIAM B. MARKER
CHARTER SPECIALIST

List of Subsidiaries

Active subsidiaries of the Company as of December 31, 2011:

Name of Subsidiary	State/Country of Incorporation
Ubiquity.com, Inc.	Delaware
Xacta Corporation	Delaware
Telos Delaware, Inc.	Delaware
Teloworks, Inc.	Delaware
Telos Identity Management Solutions, LLC (DBA Telos ID)	Delaware
Teloworks Philippines, Inc.	Philippines

CERTIFICATION

I, John B. Wood, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Telos Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 30, 2012

/s/ John B. Wood

John B. Wood

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

CERTIFICATION

I, Michele Nakazawa, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Telos Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 30, 2012

/s/ Michele Nakazawa

Michele Nakazawa

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Exhibit 32

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Telos Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), we, John B. Wood and Michele Nakazawa, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to our knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: March 30, 2012

/s/ John B. Wood

John B. Wood

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 30, 2012

/s/ Michele Nakazawa

Michele Nakazawa

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
